

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
92/2940

COMPLAINT INDEX NO.

-----X JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
HON. JUDGE MUKASEY
GARY MOSKOWITZ,

PLAINTIFF,

-AGAINST-

COMMISSIONER LEE BROWN AND THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT
&
MAYOR DAVID DINKINS AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK
&
CHANCELLOR JOSEPH FERNANDEZ, THOMAS RYAN, AND THE BOARD OF EDUCATION
OF NEW YORK

DEFENDANTS.

-----X

PRO SE

COMPLAINT AND MOTION FOR OTHER RELIEF

THE FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION IS BROUGHT PURSUANT TO TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED, FOR EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION. JURISDICTION IS SPECIFICALLY CONFERRED ON THIS COURT BY 42 U.S.C. § 2000E-5. EQUITABLE AND OTHER RELIEF ARE ALSO SOUGHT UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 2000E-5(G). JURISDICTION IS ALSO BASED ON 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 ET SEQ. AND 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1343 AND ON THE 1983 CIVIL ACT RIGHTS ACT.

ACTION FOR JOB DISCRIMINATION BASED VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS (JEWISH)- TITLE VII 42 U.S.C. § 2000E

THE SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION IS BROUGHT PURSUANT TO U.S. CONST. ammend. I, FOR DEFENDANTS WANTON VIOLATIONS OF PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF FREE SPEECH, FREE PRESS, AND FOR WRONGFULLY PUNISHING PLAINTIFF FOR PETITIONING THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION ON HUMAN RIGHTS (EEOC) TO REDRESS GRIEVANCES REGARDING RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATORY VIOLATIONS.

PLAINTIFF, BEING REPRESENTED PRO SE, AS FOR MY COMPLAINT, ALLEGES, UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF THE FOLLOWING:

1. AT ALL TIMES HEREINAFTER MENTIONED PLAINTIFF, GARY MOSKOWITZ, 35 YEARS OF AGE, RESIDES AT 73-05 150TH ST. FLUSHING NEW YORK 11367 AND WAS IN THE EMPLOY OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AS A POLICE OFFICER FROM 1/26/82 - 1/14/91 UNTIL TERMINATED UNJUSTLY.

PLAINTIFF ALSO WAS HIRED IN JUNE OF 1992 AND IN THE EMPLOY BY THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION AS A SECURITY COORDINATOR SPECIALIST IN THE FOURTH LARGEST SCHOOL IN THE COUNTRY, STEVENSON HIGH SCHOOL, FROM 8/24/92-9/21/92. THE ALLEGED DISCRIMINATORY ACTS OCCURRED THROUGHOUT PLAINTIFF'S CAREER CONTINUOUSLY. PLAINTIFF HAS EXHAUSTED ALL ADMINISTRATIVE AND EEOC REMEDIES AS EEOC CHARGES WERE FILED 10/19/90, A COPY OF WHICH IS ENCLOSED. ADDITIONALLY THE EEOC OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT INTERVIEWED MY COMPLAINT FILED WITH THEM AS WELL AND DID NOTHING ABOUT IT. THE NEW YORK STATE EEOC ISSUED THE ATTACHED NOTICE OF RIGHT TO SUE LETTER, WHICH WAS RECEIVED ON 1/23/92 ENCLOSED.

THE ACTS COMPLAINED IN THIS SUIT, CONCERN DEFENDANT'S FAILURE

TO PROMOTE PLAINTIFF, AND FOR RETALIATION, TERMINATION, AND A HOST OF OTHER TORTS LISTED FURTHER IN THIS COMPLAINT.

2. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AT ALL TIMES HEREINAFTER MENTIONED LEE BROWN WAS THE SUPERIOR OFFICIAL WHO DISMISSED ME FROM MY JOB AND IS NO LONGER CURRENTLY EMPLOYED BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO ADMINISTRATE AND SUPERVISE THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT WHICH IS A POLICE AGENCY DULY ORGANIZED AND EXISTING BY VIRTUES OF THE LAWS OF THE STATE AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK WITH ITS CENTRAL HEADQUARTERS AT ONE POLICE PLAZA IN THE BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN NY 10038.

LEE BROWN HAD ALL THE INFORMATION BEFORE HIM REGARDING MY VICTIMIZATION AS A JEWISH ORTHODOX POLICE OFFICER AND FROM MY FORCED ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND YET HE CHOSE TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYMENT FROM THE NYPD WITHOUT REGARD TO THE EVIDENCE. A PERSONAL PLEA WAS MADE TO MADE IN PERSON, BY PHONE AND IN WRITING. IN ADDITION, LEE BROWN AND THE PRESIDING COMMISSIONER KNEW OF THE YEARS OF HARDSHIP I WAS EXPERIENCING BECAUSE OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND BOTH CHOSE NOT TO INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF. **LEE BROWN PERMITTED A CLIMATE OF ANTI-SEMITISM TO FESTER AMONG HIS HIERARCHY AND WITH THE RANK AND FILE POLICE OFFICER BY REFUSING TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE WHICH WAS HIS RESPONSIBILITY AS THE "TOP COP". IF AND WHEN THIS GOES TO TRIAL PLAINTIFF WILL DEMONSTRATE THAT ANTI-SEMITISM IS INSTITUTIONALIZED IN THE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, ESPECIALLY IN THE HIERARCHY. ADDITIONALLY SPECIFIC RANKING SUPERVISORS WILL BE BROUGHT TO ATTEST TO THIS AS WELL.**

3. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AT ALL TIMES HEREINAFTER MENTIONED DAVID DINKINS WAS AT THE TIME OF MY DISMISSAL AND IS

CURRENTLY, THE MAYOR OF NEW YORK CITY AND THE DIRECT BOSS OF THE POLICE COMMISSIONER. IT IS THE MAYOR'S RESPONSIBILITY AS THE SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIAL TO EITHER PREVENT THE FOLLOWING TORTS LISTED FROM OCCURRING OR IN THE VERY LEAST RECTIFY THE SITUATION ONCE IT HAD BEEN BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION. THE MAYOR KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF SAME AND DESPITE WHAT SHOULD HAVE BEEN OR WHAT WAS HIS KNOWLEDGE THEREOF, UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, DID NOTHING WHATSOEVER TO PREVENT THE CONTINUANCE OF SAME. THE MATTER SPECIFICALLY WAS BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION AND TO THE ATTENTION OF HIS ENTIRE SENIOR STAFF VERBALLY, IN WRITING, AND THROUGH INTERMEDIARIES. THE MAYOR STILL HAS NOT ACTED TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF'S INTEREST IN BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST IN THE PLACE OF PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYMENT. THE MAYOR'S PLACE OF BUSINESS IS CITY HALL NY NY 10007.

4. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AT ALL TIMES HEREINAFTER MENTIONED THOMAS RYAN WAS THE SUPERIOR OFFICIAL WHO DISMISSED ME FROM MY JOB AT THE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND IS STILL CURRENTLY EMPLOYED BY THE CITY OF NEW YORK TO ADMINISTER AND SUPERVISE THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION DIVISION OF HUMAN RESOURCES. 5. UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF AT ALL TIMES HEREINAFTER MENTIONED CHANCELLOR FERNANDEZ WAS AT THE TIME OF MY DISMISSAL AND IS CURRENTLY, THE CHANCELLOR OF NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION AND EVERYONE IN THE BOARD OF EDUCATION IS ACCOUNTABLE TO HIM. IT IS THE CHANCELLOR'S RESPONSIBILITY AS THE SENIOR PUBLIC OFFICIAL TO EITHER PREVENT THE FOLLOWING TORTS LISTED FROM OCCURRING OR IN THE VERY LEAST RECTIFY THE SITUATION ONCE IT HAD BEEN BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION. THE CHANCELLOR KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN OF SAME AND DESPITE WHAT SHOULD

HAVE BEEN OR WHAT WAS HIS KNOWLEDGE THEREOF, UPON INFORMATION AND BELIEF, DID NOTHING WHATSOEVER TO PREVENT THE CONTINUANCE OF SAME.

THE MATTER SPECIFICALLY WAS BROUGHT TO HIS ATTENTION AND TO THE ATTENTION OF HIS ENTIRE SENIOR STAFF VERBALLY, IN WRITING, AND THROUGH INTERMEDIARIES. THE CHANCELLOR STILL HAS NOT ACTED TO PROTECT THE PLAINTIFF'S INTEREST IN BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST IN THE PLACE OF PLAINTIFF'S EMPLOYMENT. THE CHANCELLOR'S PLACE OF BUSINESS IS IN BROOKLYN NY AND HAS JURISDICTION THROUGHOUT THE ENTIRE CITY OF NEW YORK. THE DISCRIMINATION OF PLAINTIFF AND OTHER CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATIONS OCCURRED WHILE PLAINTIFF WAS WORKING AT STEVENSON HIGH SCHOOL IN THE BOROUGH OF THE BRONX.

6. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER THIS MATTER BECAUSE THE ACTS WHICH ARE DESCRIBED BELOW WERE PERPETRATED IN THE BOROUGH OF MANHATTAN AND THE BRONX WHERE PLAINTIFF PERFORMED MOST OF HIS DUTIES AS A NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER AND LATER AS SECURITY COORDINATOR FOR THE BOARD OF EDUCATION. IN ADDITION THE HEADQUARTERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND FOR THE POLICE COMMISSIONER IS IN MANHATTAN.

THE FACTS OF MY CASE ARE AS FOLLOWS:

THE FOLLOWING ARE JUST A PARTIAL LISTING OF THE ABUSIVE BEHAVIOR AND ILLEGAL CONDUCT PLAINTIFF RECEIVED AT THE HANDS OF VARIOUS SUPERVISORS AND POLICE OFFICERS OFTEN AT THE BEHEST OF THE HIERARCHY. I BELIEVE THAT THE DEFENDANTS ARE STILL COMMITTING SOME OF THESE ACTS AGAINST ME EVEN THOUGH I AM NO LONGER IN THEIR EMPLOY.

7. EVER SINCE I TOOK THE INITIAL WRITTEN EXAM TO BECOME A NEW

YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER (WHICH WAS IN 1981) I HAVE EXPERIENCED DEEP RESENTMENT DISPLAYED BY OTHER OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS. THE FIRST PROBLEM I HAD WAS WHEN ONE SUPERVISOR (MALE WHITE APPROXIMATELY 5'7 170 LBS) STATED "WHY DO YOU HAVE TO WEAR THAT FUNNY LOOKING BEANY ON YOUR HEAD". THE NEXT PROBLEM I INCURRED WAS WHEN I WAS ASKED TO COME IN ON A SATURDAY INTERVIEW WHICH I COULD NOT, FOR IT VIOLATED MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AS I EXPLAINED AT THE TIME. I RECEIVED AGAIN MORE NASTY COMMENTS FROM OTHER SUPERVISORS (MALE WHITE) LIKE "YOU TYPE OF JEWS SHOULDN'T BE PERMITTED ON THE FORCE AND ANYWAY WE CAN'T TRUST YOU PEOPLE". THROUGHOUT MY CAREER EACH TIME I WAS ORDERED IN TO WORK ON THE SABBATH I ALWAYS EXPLAINED TO THE SUPERVISOR WHO GAVE THE ORDER THAT I WAS PERMITTED TO WORK ANOTHER DAY IN SATURDAY'S PLACE.

REGARDLESS I NEVER CAME IN ON ANY SATURDAYS UNLESS THERE WAS A CITY-WIDE EMERGENCY AS THIS UNDERSTANDING WAS REACHED WITH THE POLICE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE AND WITH LEADERS FROM PROMINENT JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS. I PERSONALLY RECEIVED THESE ASSURANCES FROM THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL (WHICH IS SUPPOSE TO BE THE COORDINATING BODY OF ALL JEWISH POLITICAL AND COMMUNAL LIFE IN NEW YORK CITY FUNDED BY THE FEDERATION OF JEWISH PHILANTHROPISTS) AND THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY (JEWISH FRATERNAL POLICE ORGANIZATION) AMONG OTHERS.

AT THE TIME I WAS CONSIDERED A PILOT PROJECT BY BOTH MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY COUNCILS AND ORGANIZATIONS AND BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO SEE IF AN ORTHODOX JEW COULD FUNCTION AS A POLICE OFFICER.

PLAINTIFF BECAME THE SECOND ORTHODOX JEW EVER TO ENTER THE POLICE

ACADEMY AND WAS CONSIDERED THE "EXPERIMENTAL TOKEN JEWISH COP". HOWEVER BOTH THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL AND THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY WERE EITHER ILL EQUIPPED TO ASSIST PLAINTIFF WITH RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION OR BLATANTLY REFUSED TO AS THEY HAD THEIR OWN POLITICAL AGENDA.

THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL BELIEVED IN ADVANCE THAT THERE WOULD BE A STRONG POSSIBILITY OF ANTI-SEMITISM WITHIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TOWARDS ITS NEW ORTHODOX JEWISH OFFICERS. THIS WAS EVIDENT WHEN PLAINTIFF WAS PRE-ASSIGNED TO THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT AFTER GRADUATING THE POLICE ACADEMY. THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT IS IN THE MANHATTAN SOUTH BOROUGH WHERE AT THE TIME, THE CHIEF OF THAT BOROUGH WAS CHIEF MILTON SCHWARTZ, A JEWISH CHIEF WHO WAS CLOSELY ALIGNED WITH THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL. BOTH THE COUNCIL AND THE CHIEF AGREED THAT THE SAFEST PLACES FOR AN ORTHODOX JEWISH COP TO BE ASSIGNED WOULD BE EITHER IN BROOKLYN WORKING WITH THE LUBAVICHER CHASSIDIM OR WORKING SOMEWHERE UNDER CHIEF SCHWARTZ' JURISDICTION.

THE REASONING WAS THAT THE LUBAVITCHER HAD A LOT OF CLOUT IN THE LOCAL PRECINCT AND CHIEF SCHWARTZ COULD SEE TO IT IF THIS NEW "EXPERIMENTAL ORTHODOX JEWISH COP GOT INTO ANY TROUBLE WITH SUPERVISORS OR COPS REGRADING DISCRIMINATION THEN HE WOULD HAVE TO THE COMMAND INFLUENCE TO STOP IT. THE REALITY WAS THAT THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BELIEVED IN ADVANCE OF THE PROBLEMS THAT WOULD LIE AHEAD FOR AN ORTHODOX JEWISH COP AND DID NOT INFORM PLAINTIFF OF THIS AT THE TIME. PLAINTIFF OFTEN WOULD SPEAK TO THE THEN HEAD OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL MR. MALCOLM HOENLEIN AND HIS ASSISTANT TO POLICE MATTERS MR. DAVID

POLLACK. PLAINTIFF MET WITH THEM ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS AND WHILE THEY CLAIMED THEY WOULD ATTEMPT TO RECTIFY THE SITUATION THEY WERE JUST PLAIN INTIMIDATED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THEMSELVES AND WERE MORE CONCERNED WITH THEIR OWN CAREERS RATHER THAN MAKING ANY WAVES.

8. THERE ARE HUNDREDS OF ACTUAL INCIDENTS OF CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS I HAVE EXPERIENCED AND HAVE WITNESSED. BELOW ARE THE MORE FREQUENT REOCCURRING VIOLATIONS.

A. NAZI SWASTIKAS AND ANTI-SEMITIC STATEMENTS WRITTEN ON MY LOCKER AND LOCKER BEING DAMAGED AND OVERTURNED.

B. ORDERED AT VARIOUS TIMES TO REMOVE KIPA (SKULLCAP) FROM HEAD.

C. ORDERED TO WORK ON THE SABBATH AND RECEIVED DISCIPLINARY MEASURES FOR BEING AWOL WHEN PLAINTIFF DID NOT SHOW UP.

D. RELIGIOUS AND ETHNIC SLURS HURLED AT PLAINTIFF BY BOTH SUPERVISORS AND OTHER OFFICERS.

E. THREATS AND ACTS OF PHYSICAL VIOLENCE AGAINST PLAINTIFF IN THE ATTEMPT TO PREVENT PLAINTIFF FROM MAKING ANY COMPLAINT AGAINST ANY SUPERVISOR OR OFFICER FOR THEIR ABUSE TOWARD PLAINTIFF AND TO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH AND NON-JEWISH COMMUNITY. ADDITIONALLY PLAINTIFF WAS ATTACKED ON A PARTICULAR OCCASION BY OFFICERS (AND LATER THE SAME WAS REPEATED BY A SUPERVISOR AS WELL) RIPPING OPEN PLAINTIFF'S UNIFORM SHIRT AND ILLEGALLY SEARCHING PLAINTIFF TO SEE IF PLAINTIFF WAS WIRED AND WORKING FOR IAD.

F. OTHER POLICE OFFICERS REFUSING TO WORK WITH PLAINTIFF BECAUSE OF HIS RELIGIOUS AND ETHNIC BACKGROUND.

G. RECEIVING UNWARRANTED DISCIPLINARY ACTION FOR MINOR INFRACTIONS OF THE PATROL GUIDE MOST OF WHICH ARE NOT ENFORCED BECAUSE THEY ARE OUTDATED. HOWEVER THEY ARE SELECTIVELY ENFORCED TO BE ABUSED POLITICALLY AND DISCRIMINATORY AGAINST AN OFFICER BY HIS/HER SUPERIORS FOR SUCH INFRACTIONS AS UNNECESSARY CONVERSATION (TALKING TO PEOPLE ON THE STREET WITH NO POLICE NECESSITY), CONDUCTING PERSONAL BUSINESS ON DEPARTMENT TIME, (MAKING A PERSONAL PHONE CALL FROM A PAY-PHONE WHILE IN UNIFORM WITH NO EMERGENCY PENDING OR ANY CONDUCT CONSTRUED AS SOCIALIZING WHERE THE OFFICER OFFERS HIS PHONE # OR RECEIVES ONE) OR WEARING WHAT THE SUPERVISOR PERCEIVES AS A WRINKLED SHIRT, DIRTY TIE, NOT IN PROPER UNIFORM OR FAILURE TO TAKE A HAIRCUT, LATENESS, AND THE LIST OF TRUMPED UP CHARGES GOES ON. THESE ARE KNOWN AS COMMAND DISCIPLINES AND ARE USUALLY ABUSED WHEN A SUPERVISOR ALSO NEEDS A SCAPEGOAT FOR SOMETHING THEY OR ANOTHER OFFICER DID OR DID NOT DO PROPERLY. THESE COMMAND DISCIPLINES HAVE A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON AN OFFICER'S RECORD AND ADDITIONALLY THE COMMANDING OFFICER USUALLY TAKES VACATION TIME AWAY FROM THE OFFICER.

H. TAKING AWAY PLAINTIFF'S MEAL TIME. POLICE OFFICERS AND OFFICIALS ARE ENTITLED TO HAVE UNLESS THERE IS A STATE OF EMERGENCY WHICH THERE WEREN'T AT THE TIMES PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED.

I. CONSISTENTLY TRYING TO GET PLAINTIFF TO WORK ON THE SABBATH AND JEWISH HOLIDAYS WITH NO COMPELLING REASON.

J. ACCUSED BY POLICE OFFICIALS WITH NO SUBSTANTIATION OF MISREPRESENTING MYSELF AS AN ORTHODOX JEW SO I COULD "GET OFF" WORK ON SATURDAYS TO WORK ANOTHER JOB TEACHING OR IN THE FIELD OF SECURITY.

K. ACCUSED BY POLICE OFFICIALS AND OFFICERS OF NOT BEING LOYAL

TO THE NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT AND TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA BECAUSE OF MY OPEN POLITICAL POSITION IN SUPPORT FOR THE DEMOCRATIC STATE OF ISRAEL AND BECAUSE OF MY PRACTICING MY RELIGION OPENLY. ALSO POLICE OFFICIALS MAINTAINED A SECRET FILE ON PLAINTIFF.

L. CONSISTENTLY RECEIVING THE WORST UNDESIRABLE ASSIGNMENTS THAT USUALLY NEW OFFICERS GET ASSIGNED TO LIKE GUARDING PRISONERS IN HOSPITALS, GUARDING FOREIGN CONSULATES BY STANDING IN FRONT OF THEM ALL DAY OR NIGHT, GUARDING THE PRIVATE VEHICLES OF POLICE OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS, GUARDING DEAD BODIES, BEING FORCED TO WRITE A QUOTA OF SUMMONSES A DAY, ETC. THESE ASSIGNMENTS WERE GIVEN TO VETERAN COPS FOR PUNITIVE REASONS AS WAS TOLD TO PLAINTIFF BY VARIOUS SUPERVISORS BECAUSE OF THE COMPLAINTS I WAS MAKING.

M. CONSISTENTLY DENIED THE OPPORTUNITY TO TRANSFER OUT OF THE PRECINCT WHERE THE PROBLEM WAS PERPETUATING ITSELF AND BEING DENIED THE RIGHT TO WORK IN VARIOUS UNITS UNDER THE PRETENSE THAT I CANNOT WORK ON THE SABBATH OR THE FACT THAT THE POSITION IS FILLED ALREADY WHEN THEY IN FACT CALLED ME IN ORIGINALLY. N.

DENIED CAREER ADVANCEMENT AND PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES TO DETECTIVE AND OTHER ADVANCED POSITIONS WHILE PLAINTIFF HAD THE CAREER POINTS NEEDED TO OBTAIN THE POSITION AND HAD MORE EXPERIENCE, TIME, AND EXPERTISE THAN OTHER OFFICERS COMPETING FOR THE SAME POSITION. MANY POSITIONS WERE EVEN OFFERED TO ME FIRST AND LATER DENIED TO ME BY ANOTHER SOURCE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

O. INVADING THE PRIVACY OF PLAINTIFF BY FOLLOWING PLAINTIFF AROUND ON AND OFF DUTY WITH THE SLEAZY EXCUSE THAT THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT CLAIMED THAT THEY RECEIVED A COMPLAINT AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF

FOR WORKING ANOTHER SECURITY JOB AT THE SAME TIME PLAINTIFF WAS WORKING AS AN OFFICER. NATURALLY THIS WAS UNFOUNDED AS IT NEVER HAPPENED AND INSTEAD OF THE IAD UNIT CLOSING OUT THE CASE IN THE TWO MONTHS THEY ARE SUPPOSE TO FOR THIS NONSENSE THEY KEPT THE CASE OPEN INDEFINITELY. AT VARIOUS TIMES THERE WERE OVER SEVEN OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO FOLLOW AND ANNOY PLAINTIFF WHICH INCLUDED IAD OFFICERS SITTING IN FRONT OF PLAINTIFF'S HOME TRYING TO SEE TO SEE IF PLAINTIFF WOULD VIOLATE SICK LEAVE WHEN I WAS OUT SICK FOR A WEEK. THIS IS ATTEMPTED ENTRAPMENT AS THEY HAD NO REASON TO DO THIS UNLESS IT WAS JUST FOR HARASSMENT BECAUSE PLAINTIFF WAS NEVER ON THE "CHRONIC SICK LIST THAT WOULD PERMIT THEM TO DO THIS" AND PLAINTIFF NEVER AT ANY POINT VIOLATED ANY PROVISION OF THE SICK REGULATION GUIDELINES.

P. DENIAL OF SICK LEAVE PRIVILEGES - PLAINTIFF ON OCCASION WAS INJURED IN THE LINE OF DUTY DEFENDING OTHER OFFICERS. UPON GOING ON SICK REPORT ALONG WITH OTHER POLICE OFFICERS, PLAINTIFF WAS THE ONLY OFFICER TO HAVE HIS FOUR HOUR PASS REVOKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF LEAVING HIS RESIDENCY TO ATTEND A COUPLE OF GRADUATE CLASSES. WHEN AN OFFICER IS OUT ON SICK REPORT THEY MUST STAY HOME AT ALL TIMES UNLESS THEY ARE OUT ON THEIR LIBERALLY GRANTED PASSES TO LEAVE THEIR RESIDENCE FROM ANYWHERE FROM FOUR TO TWELVE HOURS AT A TIME. IF AN OFFICER IS DENIED A PASS OR IF IS REVOKED THEN THE ONLY WAY TO GO OUT OUTSIDE IS TO RETURN TO WORK. PLAINTIFF WAS PHYSICALLY INJURED AND EXAMINED BY A POLICE DEPT. DOCTOR THAT FOUND INJURES SEVERE ENOUGH TO KEEP PLAINTIFF FROM WORK FOR AT LEAST TWO WEEKS AND AT THE SAME TIME PLAINTIFF WAS REFERRED TO RECEIVE PHYSICAL THERAPY. PLAINTIFF WAS GIVEN A PASS TO GO OUT FOR THE PURPOSE OF ATTENDING CLASSES.

THE PASS WAS REVOKED BY A POLICE SUPERVISOR (NOT A MEDICAL DOCTOR) STATING THAT "IF YOU ARE WELL ENOUGH TO GO TO SCHOOL YOU ARE WELL ENOUGH TO GO TO WORK". PLAINTIFF WAS IN BANDAGES FROM SOME OF THE INJURIES AND ON PRESCRIPTION PAIN-KILLERS. THE SUPERVISOR CONTINUED TO SAY "IF YOU WANT TO GO TO SCHOOL THEN RETURN TO WORK". THE DOCTOR DID NOT WANT PLAINTIFF TO RETURN TO WORK FOR SHE KNEW IT COULD BE UNSAFE SO AFTER BEING ORDERED TO DO SO SHE STATED IN HER REPORT THAT THE PLAINTIFF SHOULD BE ON LIMITED WORK CAPACITY AND SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO TAKE PRESCRIPTIVE MEDICINES THAT COULD MAKE HIM DROWSY AND THAT PLAINTIFF SHOULD BE PERMITTED TO USE A HEATING PAD AT WORK THROUGHOUT THE DAY, HAVE NO CONTACT WITH PRISONERS OR OTHERS, AND LASTLY PLAINTIFF CANNOT WRITE AS HIS FINGERS WERE SPRAINED. THIS IS HOW PLAINTIFF WAS SENT BACK TO WORK.

PLAINTIFF APPEALED THIS DECISION BY WRITING AND VISITING THE CHIEF MEDICAL SURGEON AND THE CHIEF OF OPERATIONS AND PERSONNEL BUT TO NO AVAIL.

Q. LOCAL SUPERVISORS FRIENDLY TO PLAINTIFF AT THE TIME INFORMED PLAINTIFF THAT AT SEVERAL OF THE SUPERVISORS MEETINGS LED BY THE COMMANDING OFFICER THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S NAME AROSE BY THE COMMANDING OFFICER INSTRUCTING THE SUPERVISOR'S TO "MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR THE PLAINTIFF AND LET'S JUST GET HIM TO QUIT". ALSO THE COMMANDER STATED TO "WRITE PLAINTIFF UP FOR ANY INFRACTION AS TO GENERATE PAPERWORK ON HIM SO IF HE MAKES A COMPLAINT AGAINST ANY ONE OF US WE CAN NULLIFY HIS COMPLAINT BY SUBSTANTIATING AND ENUMERATING HIS DISCIPLINARY RECORD".

R. PLAINTIFF WAS ORDERED TO SEE A POLICE PSYCHOLOGIST (BY A

POLICE SUPERVISOR WHO HAD ONLY MET PLAINTIFF ONCE FOR FIVE MINUTES) FOR NO LEGITIMATE REASON FOR A PROFESSIONAL EVALUATION TO TRY AND GET PLAINTIFF OUT OF THE DEPARTMENT ON GROUNDS OF PSYCHOLOGICAL PROBLEMS EVEN THOUGH PLAINTIFF HAD NO PRIOR HISTORY ON OR OFF THE JOB OF ANY PSYCHOLOGICAL OR EMOTIONAL PROBLEMS. THE POLICE DEPT. PSYCHOLOGIST FOUND NOTHING WRONG WITH PLAINTIFF AND FURTHER GOT A SECOND OUTSIDE OPINION CONCURRING.

S. PLAINTIFF WAS PHYSICALLY SEARCHED ON THE STREET WHILE IN UNIFORM BY TWO INTERNAL AFFAIRS DETECTIVES ONE BEING A SUPERVISOR. AGAIN FOR NO LEGITIMATE REASON OTHER THAN TO HARASS PLAINTIFF INTO RESIGNING FROM THE FORCE. THE ONLY THING THAT WAS FOUND ON THE PLAINTIFF WAS A LAW BOOK AND THE IAD OFFICERS BROUGHT CHARGES AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF FOR HOLDING A BOOK IN UNIFORM WHICH THE WORDING STATED THAT THE OFFICER "WAS CARRYING AN UNAUTHORIZED PACKAGE".

T. IAD OFFICERS DEFAMED PLAINTIFF'S CHARACTER BY GOING TO HIS NEIGHBORHOOD WHERE HE LIVES AND INTERVIEWING PEOPLE THERE DISPLAYING PLAINTIFF'S PHOTO TO THEM INFORMING THEM THAT THE PLAINTIFF WAS THE SUBJECT OF AN INVESTIGATION. THIS WAS ALSO DONE IN THE GEOGRAPHIC AREA WHERE THE PLAINTIFF WAS FORMERLY WORKING AS WELL. THIS CRITICALLY DAMAGED PLAINTIFF'S REPUTATION AS PLAINTIFF HAD BEEN ENGAGED IN BOTH PAID AND IN VOLUNTEER WORK WITH WORKING AS A YOUTH DIRECTOR, LECTURER, AND AS A PROFESSIONAL WRITER. PLAINTIFF LOST OPPORTUNITIES AS A DIRECT RESULT. ALSO THEY STRIPPED PLAINTIFF OF HIS GUN AND SHIELD PLACING HIM ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT FOR WHICH IS ALMOST NEVER DONE FOR THE REASONS STATED AT THE TIME. THIS INFORMATION WAS PRINTING IN THE OFFICIAL POLICE ORDERS WHICH GO TO ALL POLICE

PERSONNEL AND IS PUBLIC RECORD.

U. PLAINTIFF WAS THREATENED BY SUPERVISORS AND RECEIVED NEGATIVE EVALUATION REPORTS AFTER PLAINTIFF EXERCISED HIS RIGHT TO FILE CHARGES IN THE POLICE EEOC OFFICE WHICH WAS A SHAM BY ITSELF.

A SUPERVISOR ALLEGEDLY WAS ORDERED TO GIVE PLAINTIFF A "BELOW STANDARDS" EVALUATION WHEN THE SUPERVISOR HAD ONLY MET THE PLAINTIFF A COUPLE OF TIMES THEREBY MAKING IT IMPOSSIBLE FOR TO ACCURATELY AND FAIRLY EVALUATE PLAINTIFF'S PERFORMANCE. PLAINTIFF PROTESTED THIS AND REFUSED TO SIGN THIS EVALUATION AS IS THE PROCEDURE. IT WENT BEFORE A COMMANDING OFFICER WHERE PLAINTIFF CHARGED THE SUPERVISOR WITH BIAS RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION WHICH MEANT THAT THE SUPERVISOR WOULD HIMSELF HAVE TO GO BEFORE A REVIEW BOARD SO HE CHANGED THE EVALUATION TO 'MEETS STANDARDS" AFTER CONCURRING WITH THE COMMANDING OFFICER.

V. WAS ACCUSED BY SUPERVISORS THAT PLAINTIFF COULD NOT BE TRUSTED TO WORK ON CONFIDENTIAL CASES BECAUSE OF THEIR PERCEPTION OF THE THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE.

W. A SUPERVISOR WOULD PURPOSELY SPEAK AND GIVE ORDERS IN THE GERMAN LANGUAGE TO PLAINTIFF AND WOULD SPEAK GERMAN WORDS IN FRONT OF PLAINTIFF TO IRRITATE, ANNOY, HARASS, AND TO PROVIDE AN ANTI-SEMITIC CLIMATE FOR THE OTHER OFFICERS TO REALIZE IF THEY WANT TO BE ON GOOD BEHAVIOR LIST WITH HIM AND THE REST OF THE CHAIN OF COMMAND THEN BY ISOLATING PLAINTIFF IS A STEP IN THE RIGHT DIRECTION.

X. PLAINTIFF WAS BROUGHT UP ON BOGUS CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS FORCING PLAINTIFF TO INCUR A HUGE EXPENSE FOR LEGAL FEES AT FIRST BECAUSE PLAINTIFF NOW HAD TO FACE AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING. BEFORE

THE HEARING PLAINTIFF WAS OFFERED FIRST A CHANCE TO RESIGN WITHOUT PREJUDICE AND NO HEARING WOULD OCCUR. WHEN PLAINTIFF REFUSED THIS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFERED PLAINTIFF THEIR OPPORTUNITY FOR HIM TO PLEA BARGAIN TO CHARGES PLAINTIFF DID NOT COMMIT BUT FOR THE SAKE OF EXPEDIENCY IF PLAINTIFF WOULD PLEA BARGAIN AND PLEAD GUILTY TO SOME OF THE AMENDED CHARGES THEN IN EXCHANGE MOST OF THE CHARGES WOULD BE DROPPED AND A GUARANTEE WOULD BE RECEIVED THAT PLAINTIFF WOULD NOT BE FIRED BUT ONLY PUT ON PROBATION. PLAINTIFF REFUSED THIS UNDERHANDED DEAL.

Y. PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED DUE PROCESS CONTINUOUSLY BEFORE THE HEARING, DURING THE HEARING AND AFTERWARDS. PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED DISCOVERY MATERIAL NECESSARY TO THE CASE, DENIED THE RIGHT TO QUESTION IMPORTANT WITNESSES THAT WERE FORMERLY REQUESTED, DENIED THE RIGHT TO LISTEN TO THE IAD INTERROGATION TAPES UNTIL THE MIDDLE OF THE HEARING, DENIED THE RIGHT TO QUESTION POLICE OFFICIALS REGARDING SOME OF THE COMPLAINTS PLAINTIFF HAS STATED ABOVE WHICH WHICH WAS CRITICAL TO THE CASE, WAS INFORMED DURING CROSS EXAMINATION BY THE IAD DETECTIVE THAT THE INTERROGATION TAPE WHICH WAS OFFICIAL EVIDENCE IN PLAINTIFF'S CASE THEN AS A RESPONDENT AND WOULD BE NOW AS EVIDENCE FOR THIS CASE - THAT THERE IS A GAP OF OVER TWENTY FIVE OR SO MINUTES ESPECIALLY ON THE PART WHERE PLAINTIFF'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND SINCERITY WERE QUESTIONED. THERE WAS AN ATTORNEY PRESENT AT THE TIME AND WILL ATTEST TO THIS AS WELL. THE IAD DETECTIVE CLAIMED UNDER OATH THAT SHE "INADVERTENTLY FORGOT TO TURN OVER TAPE IN THE RECORDER".

Z. CREATING A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT TOWARDS ME WHERE OTHER OFFICERS FELT IT WOULD BE IN THEIR INTEREST TO HARASS ME AS WELL

9. THE FOLLOWING ARE SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WHAT PLAINTIFF HAD BEEN EXPERIENCING THROUGH A DETAILED LIST OF NAMES, DATES, AND PLACES.

NAMES, DATES, AND PLACES

1/25/82 DURING THE 4X12 TOUR, THE NIGHT BEFORE I WAS SUPPOSE TO GO INTO THE ACADEMY, I WAS ORDERED DOWN AND INTERROGATED BY THREE WHITE MALE SUPERVISORS OF THE APPLICATIVE INVESTIGATIVE UNIT AND THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION WARNING ME THAT SOME OF THEM BELIEVED I WAS TRYING TO INFILTRATE THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO SPY ON THEM FOR THE STATE OF ISRAEL AND TO POSSIBLY GET GUNS AND SUPPLY THEM TO MILITANT JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS. THEY QUESTIONED ME FOR A COUPLE OF HOURS WITH A LIGHT IN MY FACE BADGERING ME ON WHAT WOULD I DO AS A COP AT A SOVIET JEWRY DEMONSTRATION IF ARRESTS WERE NEEDED TO BE MADE AND OTHER RIDICULOUS QUESTIONS WHICH I FOUND OFFENSIVE REGARDING MY POLITICAL AND RELIGIOUS BELIEFS. OTHER RECRUITS FROM OTHER ETHNIC BACKGROUNDS WERE NOT QUESTIONED ON ANY OF THEIR POTENTIAL DUAL LOYALTY CONFLICTS OR FOR THEIR POLITICAL OR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS. I BURST OUT LAUGHING BECAUSE I COULD NOT BELIEVE THE NYCPD COULD ACTUALLY BELIEVE THAT THE GOVERNMENT OF ISRAEL COULD NEED OR WANT A "SPY" IN THEIR DEPARTMENT AND I COULD NOT BELIEVE THAT THE NYCPD INTELLIGENCE DIVISION WAS SO "UNINTELLIGENT" AS TO BELIEVE THAT ANY OF THESE MILITANT JEWISH GROUPS WERE SO SOPHISTICATED. I WAS WARNED THAT I WOULD BE MONITORED CLOSELY AND IF THEY FOUND ME DOING ANYTHING ILLEGAL, THEY WOULD "LOCK ME UP FOR A LONG TIME". THEY CONTINUED RANTING THAT IF IT WAS UP TO THEM THEY WOULD NOT LET ME BECOME A COP AND THAT I WAS ON NOTICE

THAT IT WOULD BE A VERY SHORT CAREER.

2/11/82 WHILE ATTENDING THE POLICE ACADEMY I HAD TO MEET WITH OFFICER DAVID GOLDBERG WHO WAS THE SCHEDULING OFFICER AND A MEMBER OF THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY (JEWISH FRATERNAL ORGANIZATION) REGARDING SCHEDULING MY TOUR ADJUSTMENT FOR THE SABBATH. I ALSO TOLD HIM ABOUT SGT. SASSMAN WHO WAS HARASSING ME.

THE BLATANT HARASSMENT BEGAN THE VERY FIRST DAY I ENTERED THE POLICE ACADEMY IN FEBRUARY OF 1982. I WAS ORDERED INTO WORK ON THE SABBATH, ORDERED TO REMOVE MY KIPA (ALSO KNOWN AS SKULLCAP OR A YAMULKA), AND RECEIVED DISCIPLINARY MEASURES IMMEDIATELY FOR MINOR INFRACTIONS WHICH WERE SELECTIVELY ENFORCED AGAINST ME. I WAS IN THE LARGEST GRADUATING ACADEMY CLASS IN THE HISTORY OF THE POLICE DEPT. WITH OVER 3,000 OFFICERS AND I BELIEVED I RECEIVED PERHAPS THE MOST DISCIPLINARY MEASURES WHILE STILL AT THE ACADEMY. SOME OF THE FOLLOWING ARE EXAMPLES:

1. I HAD TO TAKE FOUR HAIRCUTS IN ONE WEEK, MY SHOES WERE NEVER SHINED ENOUGH, SHIRT NEVER PRESSED ENOUGH ETC.

2. ONE SUPERVISOR WHO THEN WORKED IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE BY THE NAME OF SGT. SASSMAN WOULD HARASS ME CONTINUOUSLY UNTIL HE WAS FINALLY ORDERED TO STAY AWAY FROM ME. HE TOLD ME PERSONALLY THAT HE DIDN'T BELIEVE "YOU'RE TYPE (MEANING AN ORTHODOX JEW) FITTED THE MOLD TO BE A REAL COP". AT FIRST HE STRONGLY SUGGESTED I LEAVE ON MY OWN AND LATER HE BECAME OVERT ABOUT STATING THAT HE, "I WILL MAKE SURE YOU WILL NEVER GRADUATE THE ACADEMY".

HE ALSO SAID THAT "OTHER COPS WOULD GROW TO HATE YOU BEING OFF EVERY

SATURDAY AND BECAUSE THEY WOULD ASSUME YOU ARE SECRETLY WORKING FOR THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION" (IAD). I NEVER WORKED FOR IAD AT ANY POINT IN MY CAREER AND IT WAS THIS SUPERVISOR THAT SPREAD THIS VICIOUS RUMOR ABOUT ME TO GET OTHER COPS NOT TO ASSOCIATE WITH ME. I WAS AFRAID TO REPORT THIS SUPERVISOR'S BEHAVIOR TO ANY POLICE OFFICIAL AT FIRST FOR I WAS FOREWARNED THAT IT WOULD BE PROFESSIONAL SUICIDE IF I DID.

HOWEVER AT THIS POINT I HAD NO OTHER RECOURSE BUT TO REPORT THIS BEHAVIOR TO MEMBERS OF VARIOUS JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS WHO THEN IN TURN REPORTED THIS DIRECTLY TO THE POLICE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. NO RELIEF WAS GRANTED UNTIL A JEWISH OFFICER WORKING AT THE POLICE ACADEMY INTERVENED ON PLAINTIFF'S BEHALF. OFFICER DAVID GOLDBERG THEN THE SCHEDULING COORDINATOR IN THE ACADEMY TOOK IT UPON HIMSELF TO GO OVER THE HEAD OF THIS SGT. SASSMAN. AS A DIRECT RESULT THIS SUPERVISOR WAS ORDERED TO STAY AWAY FROM ME. ADDITIONALLY IT WAS OFFICER GOLDBERG WHO MADE IT POSSIBLE FOR PLAINTIFF TO HAVE TOUR CHANGES ACCOMMODATING PLAINTIFF'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS REGARDING THE SABBATH.

2/25/82 BOXING INCIDENT - OTHER EXAMPLES OF ANTI-SEMITISM WERE DISPLAYED AGAINST ME IN THE GYMNASIUM WHERE SUPERVISORS WOULD GIVE ME SPECIAL SUPERVISION TO TRY AND MAKE ME FAIL OUT. BUT WORST OF ALL THEN, IS WHERE A COUPLE OF INDIVIDUAL COPS ATTEMPTED TO PHYSICALLY AND VICIOUSLY ASSAULT ME IN THE GUISE OF PRACTICING SOME BOXING TECHNIQUES. AN INDIVIDUAL FELLOW OFFICER BEGAN HURLING ABUSIVE ANTI-SEMITIC TAUNTS AT ME.

HE THEN SAID ALOUD FOR MANY OF THE RECRUITS AND SUPERVISORS TO HEAR THAT "I AM GOING TO KNOCK THIS JEW OUT AND GET ME MY OWN BEANY".

IMMEDIATELY HE BEGAN ATTACKING ME AND I REQUESTED HE STOP FORTHWITH.

HE REFUSED SAYING THAT, "IF THE JEW WIMP CAN'T TAKE IT THEN YOU SHOULDN'T BE ONE OF US". I INSISTED HE STOP AND CALLED OUT FRUITLESSLY FOR ASSISTANCE TO A COUPLE OF LAUGHING SUPERVISORS WHO WITNESSED THIS.

I WAS FORCED INTO A REAL FIGHT SITUATION WHICH I DID EVERYTHING HUMANLY POSSIBLE TO AVOID. I WAS NOT HURT BECAUSE IT NEVER OCCURRED TO THIS OFFICER AND HIS COHORTS THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAD AT THE TIME OVER FOURTEEN YEARS OF MARTIAL ARTS TRAINING AND TEACHING EXPERIENCE.

2/82 I WAS CONTACTED BY DET. JOE CAMPISI WHO WAS ASSIGNED TO THE INTELLIGENCE UNIT OF THE NYPD. HE WAS ASSIGNED TO WORK WITH JEWISH "SUBVERSIVE" ORGANIZATIONS AND PEOPLE. HE ASKED ME IF I WOULD BE ABLE TO ASSIST HIM REGARDING HIS WORK. I SAID I WAS AND WOULD BE AT THE DISPOSAL OF THE NYPD. HE ASKED HOW I FELT ABOUT INVESTIGATING AND POSSIBLY ARRESTING JEWISH PEOPLE. I TOLD HIM I WOULD BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO HELP HIM INVESTIGATE ANY CRIME COMMITTED BY ANYONE JEWISH OR NOT. I CONTINUED TO ASK HIM "WHAT DO YOU THINK THE ISRAELI POLICE DO? NOT LOCK UP JEWISH PEOPLE IF THEY COMMIT CRIMES? HE CALLED A FEW TIMES BUT NEVER ASKED ME ANYTHING SUBSTANTIAL REGARDING ANY SPECIFIC CASE. HE ASKED ME "PROFESSIONALLY" ABOUT "THE JOB", MEANING HOW I LIKED THE POLICE ACADEMY". IT WAS MORE LIKE HE WAS KEEPING TABS ON ME. I TOLD HIM REGARDLESS OF THE HARASSMENT THAT I WAS GOING THROUGH I WOULD STICK IT OUT.

3/14/82 SICK REPORT - I WAS GIVEN A COMMAND DISCIPLINE BY SGT. SASSMAN FOR NOT CALLING IN THE SICK DESK PROPERLY. I CALLED NINETY

MINUTES BEFORE MY TOUR. REGULATION STATES THAT AN OFFICER CALLS TWO HOURS PRIOR TO YOUR TOUR. THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME I WENT SICK IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I WOKE UP SICK WITH THE FLU. I WAS OUT FOR A WEEK.

3/22/82 I SAW CAPT. ALEXANDER REGARDING MY COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR WHEN I WAS OUT SICK. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THE HARASSMENT I WAS RECEIVING FROM SGT. SASSMAN AND THAT I FELT THE DISCIPLINARY MEASURE WAS UNJUST.

3/30/82 I SAW THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE POLICE ACADEMY REGARDING OTHER HOSTILE INCIDENTS WHICH WERE OCCURRING AGAINST ME CONTINUOUSLY. AT ONE POINT A MALE WHITE OFFICER BEGAN TO VERBALLY AND PHYSICALLY ABUSE PLAINTIFF WHILE IN ONE THE CLASSROOMS. THIS OTHER RECRUIT BEGAN HURLING ANTI-SEMITIC TAUNTS WHILE THREATENING PLAINTIFF. PLAINTIFF BROUGHT THIS TO THE ATTENTION TO THE COMPANY SGT. THEN PROBATIONARY POLICE OFFICER TONY AMPLO AND TO ONE OF TWO OF PLAINTIFF'S ACADEMY INSTRUCTORS THEN POLICE OFFICER MCNAIR AND TO SGT. HIGGINS. NO HELP WAS OFFERED. IN FACT PLAINTIFF WAS THEN BROUGHT TO CAPTAIN FRANKEL AND TO CAPTAIN ALEXANDER OF THE POLICE ACADEMY FOR ADDITIONAL DISCIPLINARY PROBLEMS. PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD THAT HE WAS CONSIDERED TO BE A "TROUBLE MAKER" AND PLAINTIFF IS BEING WARNED AND ADMONISHED, AND WOULD BE ON NOTICE TO STRAIGHTEN OUT OR GET KICKED OUT. PLAINTIFF EXPLAINED THE GRAVITY OF HIS PLIGHT TO BOTH CAPTAINS AND NEITHER TOOK PROPER POLICE ACTION WHICH WOULD HAVE BEEN TO EITHER ADMONISH THE OFFENDING RECRUIT AND SUPERVISORS AND/OR TO NOTIFY THE BIAS UNIT OR INTERNAL AFFAIRS OF THIS ONGOING

DISCRIMINATORY SITUATION. THE OTHER RECRUIT INVOLVED WAS EVENTUALLY DISMISSED FROM THE POLICE ACADEMY BECAUSE HE SHOWED UP DRUNK TO WORK ON A NUMBER OF OCCASIONS.

4/29/82 I AGAIN WAS DIRECTED TO SEE THE CAPT. FOR DISCIPLINARY MEASURES.

5/9/82 I MADE MY FIRST ARREST OFF-DUTY WHILE STILL IN THE ACADEMY. IT WAS AN ARMED ROBBERY ARREST WHICH WAS DROPPED TO A MENACING CHARGE.

A JEWISH KID WAS BEING THREATENED AT KNIFE POINT AND I INTERVENED. THE RESPONDING COPS FROM THE 107 PRECINCT WHERE THE INCIDENT OCCURRED NEVER SAW A COP WEARING A YARMULKE AND FIRST DIDN'T BELIEVE ME WHEN I TOLD THEM I WAS AN OFFICER. THEY DIDN'T TAKE THE INCIDENT SERIOUSLY AND WHEN I INSISTED THEY DO THEY TOLD ME THAT I HAD TO MAKE THE ARREST THEN AND FIGURE OUT HOW TO DO THE PAPERWORK.

5/16/82 MOST PEOPLE THOUGHT THAT ARREST WAS GREAT POLICE WORK BUT **PO MCNAIR** MY POLICE SCIENCE INSTRUCTOR TOLD ME I MADE A FOOL OUT OF MYSELF BY TRYING TO BE A "REAL COP". HE TOLD ME THAT HE DIDN'T FEEL I COULD "CUT IT OUT THERE ON THE STREETS".

5/26/82 I WAS DIRECTED TO SEE CAPT. ALEXANDER FOR MORE DISCIPLINARY MEASURES AND MORE THREATS.

6/18/82 I ATTENDED THE SHOMRIM MEETING (JEWISH FRATERNAL GROUP IN THE NYPD) AND TOLD SEVERAL OF THEIR OFFICIALS OF PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING

BECAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION.

MY FIRST ASSIGNMENT WORKING AS A NYC POLICE OFFICER WAS AT THE MIDTOWN SOUTH PRECINCT IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD STABILIZATION UNIT KNOWN AS MTS - NSU 111.

7/82 DEPUTY INSPECTOR MARTIN COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE MIDTOWN SOUTH PRECINCT ORDERED ME INTO WORK ON THE SABBATH. I TOLD HIM THAT I NEEDED A TOUR CHANGE. I WAS SCHEDULED TO WORK FRIDAY EVENING DURING THE SABBATH. I EXPLAINED TO THE PRECINCT ROLL CALL THAT I WAS EXEMPT FROM WORKING ON THE SABBATH AND THAT I WOULD WORK ON ONE OF MY REGULAR DAYS OFF INSTEAD. THEY TOLD ME IT WAS UP TO THE COMMANDING OFFICER WHO TOLD ME TO "SHOW UP TO WORK WHEN I WAS SCHEDULED TO OR HE WOULD GIVE ME A DISCIPLINARY COMPLAINT FOR BEING AWOL. HE CONTINUED LECTURING ME THAT, "IF I COULD NOT WORK ON THE SABBATH THAT I SHOULD LEAVE AND GET ANOTHER JOB AND THAT HE SAW NO REASON TO GIVE ME SPECIAL TREATMENT".

I IMMEDIATELY CALLED THE CHAPLAIN'S OFFICE AND SPOKE WITH RABBI ALVIN KASS WHO THEN CALLED THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE WHO THEN IN TURN CALLED DEPUTY INSPECTOR MARTIN. THE INSPECTOR WAS ORDERED TO MAKE THE NECESSARY ACCOMMODATIONS FOR PLAINTIFF. THE INSPECTOR CALLED ME IN TO HIS OFFICE TELLING ME THAT "HE WAS UNDER ORDERS TO ACCOMMODATE ME AND THAT IS THE ONLY REASON WHY HE IS DOING IT." HE CONTINUED STATING THAT HE FELT, "YOUR KIND SHOULD NOT BE WORKING FOR THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THAT YOU GOT MY YOUR WAY BECAUSE OF THE JEWISH INFLUENCE IN CITY GOVERNMENT." HE TOLD ME TO BE CAREFUL FROM HERE ON IN.

7/82 IN THE VERY SAME WEEK PLAINTIFF HAD HIS PERSONAL LOCKER OVERTURNED BY SEVERAL POLICE OFFICERS AND SCRAWLED WITH NAZI SWASTIKAS. STATEMENTS WERE WRITTEN ALSO ON PLAINTIFF'S POLICE LOCKER LIKE "WE DO NOT WANT JEWS HERE" AND "JEW GO HOME TO ISRAEL". SOME OF PLAINTIFF'S EQUIPMENT AND PERSONAL BELONGINGS WERE DAMAGED AS A RESULT AND PLAINTIFF HAD THE RESPONSIBILITY OF REPAIRING IT AND PAYING FOR IT. PLAINTIFF WENT IMMEDIATELY TO TWO OF HIS SUPERVISORS, SGT. GRAHAM AND SGT. ANSALONE. SGT. GRAHAM TOLD PLAINTIFF THE FAMILIAR LINE, "DON'T MAKE ANY WAVES, IF YOU MAKE ANY OFFICIAL REPORT YOUR CAREER IS ENDED. I WAS TOLD THAT "COPS DO NOT RAT ON OTHER COPS" OR THERE WOULD BE A LOT MORE TROUBLE AND, "NO COP WOULD EVER WORK WITH YOU AGAIN OR BACK YOU UP ON THE STREETS." I INSISTED THAT THIS MUST STOP AND WANTED HIS ASSISTANCE. THE SGT. TOLD ME, "DON'T BE A "SISSY" AND BE A MAN AND HANDLE IT YOURSELF." THE SGT. WAS LEGALLY RESPONSIBLE TO REPORT THIS INCIDENT TO THE COMMANDING OFFICER, TO THE DETECTIVE BUREAU, TO THE BIAS CRIME UNIT, AND TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION. HE MADE NO REPORTS. PLAINTIFF WAS INFORMED BY OTHER FELLOW OFFICERS WHICH OFFICERS WERE INVOLVED WITH THIS CRIME. THESE FELLOW OFFICERS POINTED OUT THE PERPETRATORS BUT INFORMED PLAINTIFF THEY WOULD NOT ACKNOWLEDGE IT ON ANY WRITTEN REPORT FOR FEAR OF REPRISALS. PLAINTIFF CONFRONTED THE ALLEGED FIVE POLICE PERPETRATORS IN THE MID-TOWN SOUTH COMMAND AND DEMANDED THAT THIS BEHAVIOR CEASE. THEY TOLD ME THEY WERE HAPPY IT HAPPENED AND THAT "YOU DON'T BELONG IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, AND THAT MAYBE YOU SHOULD BECOME A RABBI." THESE PERPETRATORS PHYSICALLY THREATENED PLAINTIFF

BY REMOVING THEIR GUN BELTS TO BEGIN HOSTILITIES. PLAINTIFF REMOVED HIS GUN-BELT ACCORDINGLY AND NO HOSTILITIES OCCURRED. EXAMPLES OF THE ABOVE MENTIONED BEHAVIOR FOLLOWED ME TO THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT AND AT OTHER POLICE FUNCTIONS AND DUTIES.

8/19/82 I MADE AN OFF DUTY ARREST COMING OFF THE "E" TRAIN FOR ROBBERY AT 1645 HOURS. WHEN I BROUGHT THE PERPETRATOR IN THE PRECINCT I WAS ACCUSED BY THE SUPERVISOR OF TRYING TO "BE A SMART JEW", TRYING TO MAKE EXTRA MONEY BY LOCKING UP PEOPLE OFF DUTY.

I HAVE A LIST OF VARIOUS POLICE OFFICERS, SUPERVISORS, POLICE CHAPLAINS, PROFESSIONAL AND LAY LEADERS IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY, RABBIS, POLITICIANS, GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS, FRIENDS AND FAMILY OF WHOM I RELATED THESE MATTERS TO AT FIRST VERBALLY AND THEN IN 1988 IN WRITING WITH THE EXPRESS INTENTION ON HAVING THEM INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF DIPLOMATICALLY AND FOR THE PURPOSE OF RELIEVING THIS STRESSFUL SITUATION. MANY WILL EITHER ATTEST TO MY COMPLAINT THROUGH AN AFFIDAVIT OR THROUGH ACTUAL TESTIMONY.

9/82 IN SEPTEMBER OF 1982 PLAINTIFF HAD COMPLETED THE OFFICIAL NSU TRAINING AND ASSIGNED TO THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT UNDER THE COMMAND OF THE THEN CAPTAIN SELVAGGI WHO IS CURRENTLY NOW CHIEF OF PATROL.

PLAINTIFF IMMEDIATELY WAS TOLD HE HAD TO WORK ON THE SABBATH BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE SUPERVISOR **LT. QUINN AND CAPTAIN SELVAGGI**. WHEN PLAINTIFF POINTED OUT THAT THERE WAS AN ORDER OUT THAT SABBATH OBSERVERS SHOULD HAVE TOUR CHANGES TO ACCOMMODATE RELIGIOUS BELIEFS,

PLAINTIFF WAS THEN ORDERED TO WORK IN A SUMMONS UNIT FORCING PLAINTIFF TO WRITE A QUOTA OF THIRTY PARKING SUMMONSES A DAY OR WRITE SEVEN MOVING VIOLATIONS A DAY. THIS IS CONSIDERED A PUNITIVE ASSIGNMENT WHICH MOST OFFICERS HATE TO DO. THIS IS ALSO A CLEAR VIOLATION OF LAW BUT MOST IMPORTANTLY PLAINTIFF PROTESTED THIS ASSIGNMENT AS BEING DISCRIMINATORY BECAUSE HE COULDN'T WORK ON THE SABBATH. PLAINTIFF CALLED RABBI ALVIN KASS AND SEVERAL OTHERS IN AND OUTSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR ASSISTANCE. PLAINTIFF WANTED TO WORK ON PATROL LIKE THE OTHER NEW POLICE OFFICERS. OFTEN IT WAS ADDITIONALLY DIFFICULT TO MEET THE ASSIGNED QUOTAS SO IT WAS EXPECTED OF PLAINTIFF AND HIS FELLOW COLLEAGUES WORKING IN THIS UNIT TO "WRITE THE MAGIC NUMBER REGARDLESS", OR IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO TAKE OUR VACATION DAYS WHEN WE REQUESTED THEM. THE IMPLICATION WAS CLEAR; FALSIFYING SUMMONS BEHAVIOR WAS ACCEPTABLE TO MEET THE QUOTA. I NEVER DID THIS.

I APPROACHED CAPTAIN SELVAGGI THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE MTN PRECINCT REGARDING A REQUEST FOR A CHANGE OF ASSIGNMENT IN THE PRECINCT. I WAS FORCED INTO A SUMMONS UNIT BECAUSE THEY SAID THAT I CLAIMED I WAS A SABBATH OBSERVER. I WAS TOLD BY THE ADMINISTRATIVE LT. IF I WANT TO BE A COP AND BE OFF ON SATURDAYS I WOULD BE WRITING SUMMONS FOR THE REST OF MY CAREER. THE CAPTAIN LAUGHED AT ME AND TOLD ME THAT HE FELT THAT BECAUSE I WAS JEWISH I WASN'T ENTITLED TO ANY SPECIAL FAVORS FROM HIM, WHICH APPARENTLY TO HIM I WAS GETTING.

I FELT THAT HE RESENTED THAT. HE TOLD ME IF I DIDN'T LIKE IT I SHOULD QUIT NOW. IN FACT HE ENCOURAGED ME TO QUIT AS HE SAID HE FELT THAT AN ORTHODOX JEW COULDN'T AND WOULDN'T DO A GOOD JOB AS A COP. HE CONTINUED SAYING, HE HAD HEARD I WAS A TROUBLE MAKER AND THAT IF I

GIVE HIM ANY REASON HE WOULD BOOT ME OUT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE DENIED MY REQUEST AND SAID "REMEMBER, I WILL BE WATCHING YOU CLOSELY". HE MADE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ME.

9/82 PLAINIFF WAS ASSIGNED TO A RADIO CAR SECTOR ON A 4X12 TOUR WITH PO JOE. I KNEW THIS OFFICER FROM THE ACADEMY WHILE WE WERE BOTH RECRUIT OFFICERS. HE HAD ALWAYS BEEN NASTY TO ME HURLING ANTI-SEMITIC STATEMENTS WHICH PLAINTIFF IGNORED. THIS OFFICER UPON HEARING HIS NAME AT ROLL CALL THAT HE WAS ASSIGNED TO PATROL WITH PLAINTIFF FOR THE FULL TOUR COMPLAINED IMMEDIATELY. FIRST THE OFFICER APPROACHED ME AND INSISTED THAT HE WOULD NOT EVEN SIT IN THE CAR WITH ME AS HE TOLD ME "I DON'T WORK WITH FUCKEN JEWS". THEN HE WENT TO THE SUPERVISING SGT. AND HE REQUESTED THAT HE COULD HAVE ANOTHER PARTNER FOR THE TOUR. THIS WAS DENIED. POLICE OFFICER JOE INITIALLY REFUSED TO ENTER THE RADIO MOTOR PATROL VEHICLE (RMP) AND WORK WITH ME OBJECTING ON GROUNDS THAT HE HATES JEWS.

THAT EVENING WHILE WE WERE ON PATROL CRUISING ON W. 48TH ST. OFF 10TH AVE. AT APPROXIMATELY TEN O'CLOCK IN THE EVENING HE SHOUTED TO ME TO "STOP THE CAR, THERE'S MY NIGGER AND MY OVER-TIME". I DEMANDED TO KNOW WHAT THIS OFFICER SAW AND WHY HE WAS STOPPING THIS MALE BLACK.

HE TOLD ME, "THIS IS OUR LAST TOUR AND I NEED AN ARREST. I FIGURE ONE OUT OF EVERY FIVE NIGGERS HERE IS CARRYING A GUN OR SOME DRUGS."

(THIS MEANS THAT IF AN ARREST IS EFFECTED THEN AUTOMATICALLY THE OFFICER WILL INCUR OVERTIME BECAUSE HE MUST BE IN THE NEXT DAY TO MEET WITH THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ON THE NEXT DAY TOUR WHICH BRINGS THE OFFICER IN ON HIS DAY OFF AT OVERTIME.)

HE JUMPED OUT OF THE CAR AND GRABBED A MALE BLACK PEDESTRIAN AND ASSAULTED HIM THROWING HIM AGAINST THE WALL. JOE BEGAN SEARCHING THE MAN FOR ANY CONTRABAND ON HIS PERSON. WHEN HE DIDN'T FIND ANYTHING HE THREW THE BLACK MAN ON THE FLOOR AND SHOUTED RACIAL OBSCENITIES AT HIM. THIS HAPPENED SUDDENLY. I GRABBED MY POLICE RADIO AND SHOUTED TO THIS OFFICER "WHAT'S GOING ON, WHAT DID HE DO." THE OFFICER IGNORED ME. THE BLACK MAN WHO WAS BEING SEARCHED INSISTED HE HAD DONE NOTHING WRONG AND BEGGED THE OFFICER TO JUST LEAVE HIM BE. THE OFFICER STRUCK HIM ONCE AND THEN THREW HIM ON THE FLOOR AND SAID, "GET THE FUCK OUT OF HERE". I WENT OVER TO THE MAN AND HELPED HIM STAND UP. HE WAS AFRAID OF ME BECAUSE I WAS A COP. I APOLOGIZED TO HIM FOR WHAT HAD HAPPENED AS I HADN'T HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO STOP OFFICER JOE INITIALLY. I OFFERED HIM MEDICAL ASSISTANCE WHICH HE REFUSED. HE JUST SAID I WANT TO GET AWAY FROM HERE. I TOLD THE VICTIM IF HE WANTS TO FILE CHARGES I WOULD SUPPORT HIM. JOE BECAME VERY HOSTILE AND BEGAN SHOUTING AT ME, SAYING, "YOU JEWS REALLY LOVE NIGGERS". OFFICER JOE WAS ENRAGED BY MY BEHAVIOR STATING THAT I BETRAYED HIM AS A FELLOW OFFICER. HE YELLED AND SCREAMED AT PLAINTIFF ON THE STREET IN FRONT OF OUR RADIO CAR STATING, "YOU FUCKEN JEWS JUST CAN'T BE TRUSTED, HITLER SHOULD HAVE FINISHED THE JOB". HE BEGAN TO THREATEN ME WITH HIS NIGHTSTICK AS I STOOD IN A DEFENSIVE POSTURE PROTECTING THE VICTIM. HE STOPPED SUDDENLY WHEN HE REALIZED THAT HE WOULD LOSE THIS ALTERCATION WITH ME AS I REMINDED HIM VISUALLY OF MY MARTIAL EXPERTISE. LATER HE RETURNED BACK TO THE PRECINCT AND TOLD MANY OTHER COPS THAT I DIDN'T BACK HIM UP ON THE STREETS. I TOLD A SUPERVISOR WHAT HAD HAPPENED AND HE JUST TOLD ME TO AVOID JOE

OR ELSE IT COULD A LONG TWENTY YEARS OF SERVICE.

9/30/82 WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE SUMMONS UNIT IN THE PRECINCT I OBSERVED TWO MEN BREAKING INTO A VAN. I INTERVENED AND ARRESTED THEM FOR GRAND LARCENY AUTO. WHEN I ARRIVED AT THE PRECINCT WITH MY PRISONERS, LT GALLAGHER, THE INTEGRITY CONTROL OFFICER, GRABBED ME BY MY SHIRT AND ASKED ME WHAT I WAS DOING. I TOLD HIM WHAT HAD HAPPENED AND I EXPECTED HIM TO CONGRATULATE ME. INSTEAD HE BEGAN SCREAMING AT ME SAYING, "YOU PEOPLE THINK YOU'RE REAL SMART DON'T YOU". HE CONTINUED, "I PUT YOU IN THE SUMMONS UNIT TO WRITE SUMMONSES EVERY DAY NOT MAKE ARRESTS. IF IT EVER HAPPENS AGAIN YOU MAY NOT BE WORKING HERE OR ANYWHERE ELSE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." HE THOUGHT I WAS PURPOSELY TRYING TO AVOID GIVING OUT SUMMONSES BECAUSE THE ARREST PROCESS COULD TAKE UP TO TWO DAYS IN ITS ENTIRETY.

10/82WHILE I WAS ON FOOT PATROL IN THE MID-TOWN AREA, A CALL FOR BACK-UP CAME OVER THE AIR BY **POLICE OFFICER SWEDISH**. I WAS THE FIRST OFFICER TO ARRIVE. THE PLACE WAS NEAR 45 W. 47TH ST. IN FRONT OF THE RESTAURANT DELI CITY WHICH SELLS KOSHER FOOD. UPON ARRIVAL I OBSERVED A YOUNG JEWISH MALE WEARING A LARGE BLACK VELVET YARMULKE LAYING ON THE FLOOR IN A POOL OF BLOOD BEING MANHANDLED BY OFFICER SWEDISH. STANDING AROUND WERE FOUR MALE HISPANIC MEN WHO WORKED IN THE RESTAURANT WHO APPARENTLY WERE IN A FIGHT WITH THE YOUNG JEWISH KID. I ASKED PO SWEDISH WHAT THE PROBLEM WAS AND HE RESPONDED "EVERYTHING IS UNDER CONTROL NOW, I AM LOCKING UP THIS JEW BOY WHO THINKS HE IS A SMART ASS." THE JEWISH KID WAS HURT AND KEPT HIS HANDS IN FRONT OF HIS FACE

TO WARD OFF ATTACKS. PO SWEDISH SHOUTED AT THE BOY TO PUT HIS HANDS BEHIND HIS BACK BUT THE BOY KEPT HIS HANDS IN FRONT OF FACE OUT OF FEAR. PO SWEDISH DREW HIS NIGHTSTICK AND WENT TO STRIKE THE BOY. I IMMEDIATELY GRABBED PO SWEDISH'S NIGHTSTICK IN FLIGHT AND PREVENTED THIS UNWARRANTED ASSAULT.

I NOW STOOD IN BETWEEN PO SWEDISH AND THE FOUR MALE HISPANICS PREVENTING EVERYONE FROM COMING NEAR TO THE BOY. I TRIED SPEAKING TO THE BOY BUT HE AT FIRST DID NOT REPLY AS HE DIDN'T SPEAK ENGLISH WELL. I COMMUNICATED WITH THE BOY IN HEBREW.

HE TOLD ME THAT HE WAS A TOURO COLLEGE STUDENT WHICH WAS THEN A FEW BLOCKS AWAY. HE RECENTLY IMMIGRATED FROM IRAN AND SPOKE FARCI AND HEBREW. HE ENTERED THE RESTAURANT BECAUSE IT WAS ADVERTISED AS KOSHER WITH A LARGE SIGN. AFTER HE ORDERED AND PAID FOR HIS FOOD HE ASKED ONE WORKER BEHIND THE COUNTER USING SIGN LANGUAGE AND SAYING THE HEBREW WORDS FOR "WASHING THE HANDS BEFORE EATING BREAD" WHERE THE SINK WAS? IN TRADITIONAL KOSHER ESTABLISHMENTS THERE ARE USUALLY PUBLIC SINKS FOR PEOPLE TO WASH THEIR HANDS AS JEWISH RITUAL REQUIRES BEFORE EATING BREAD. THIS RESTAURANT DID NOT HAVE ONE. WHEN THE JEWISH KID FURTHER EXPLAINED THE COUNTER MAN TOLD HIM TO GO BEHIND THE COUNTER AND WASH IN THE KITCHEN. AS THE BOY BEGAN WASHING HIS HANDS ANOTHER HISPANIC WORKER CHALLENGED THE BOY, SAYING "WHAT ARE YOU DOING HERE. THE BOY AGAIN TRIED TO EXPLAIN THAT HE WAS THERE TO WASH HIS HANDS AND SUDDENLY HE STARTED GETTING PUSHED OUTSIDE THE KITCHEN. BEFORE HE KNEW IT ANOTHER THREE MALE HISPANICS BEGAN HITTING HIM AND DRAGGING OUT OF THE RESTAURANT. THEY BEAT HIM MERCILESSLY WHEN SOME PEOPLE CALLED THE OFFICER WORKING WHO HAPPENED TO BE PO

SWEDISH.

PO SWEDISH HAD BEEN WORKING THIS AREA FOR AWHILE AND LIKE MOST COPS IN THE AREA THEY WERE IN THE HABIT OF EATING AS WE SAY IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, "ON THE ARM" MEANING FOR FREE. NO MATTER WHAT HAPPENED SWEDISH COULD NOT ARREST THESE FOUR WORKERS AFTER EATING THERE OFTEN FOR FREE. BECAUSE PEOPLE CALLED THE POLICE AND BECAUSE THERE WAS AN INJURY (THE JEWISH KID) A REPORT MUST BE FILLED OUT. THE EASIEST WAY TO COVER EVERYONE CONCERNED WOULD BE TO ARREST THE KID FOR ATTEMPTED ASSAULT, DISORDERLY CONDUCT, AND RESISTING ARREST, WHICH ARE THE USUALLY COVER-UP CHARGES WHEN AN OFFICER DOESN'T WANT TO ARREST THE REAL OFFENDERS OR IS COVERING UP HIS OWN BRUTALITY. SWEDISH WAS PROTECTING THE FOUR WORKERS FROM BEING ARRESTED BY ARRESTING THE VICTIM.

I REFUSED TO ALLOW THIS TRAVESTY TO GO ON ESPECIALLY WHEN THE BOY NEEDED MEDICAL HELP. I EXPLAINED TO THE VICTIM THAT IF HE IS ARRESTED BY OFFICER SWEDISH THEN HE HIMSELF COULD PRESS A COMPLAINT OF ASSAULT AGAINST THE OTHER FOUR WORKERS WHO BEAT HIM AND THAT I WOULD BE THE ARRESTING OFFICER. I TOLD OFFICER SWEDISH THIS AND HE BECAME ENRAGED WITH THE AGE OLD COMMENTS THAT "YOU ARE NOT ONE OF US. "YOU ARE A BACK-STABBING JEW". HE APPROACHED ME ON THE STREET IN FRONT OF PEOPLE IN A THREATENING MANNER WITH HIS NIGHTSTICK DRAWN TELLING ME HE WILL "TEACH ME A LESSON". I RESPONDED THAT I AM MORE THAN ABLE TO HANDLE MYSELF FROM YOU AND THESE HOODS AND THAT IT WOULD NOT BE WORTH IT FOR BOTH OF US TO GET INTO AN ALTERCATION PUBLICLY.

SWEDISH INSISTED ON ARRESTING THE JEWISH KID WHICH I HAD NO AUTHORITY TO PREVENT BUT I HAD THE AUTHORITY TO ARREST THE OTHER FOUR INVOLVED

WHICH I BEGAN DOING AS I ORDERED THEM ALL UP AGAINST THE WALL. AT THIS POINT SWEDISH ACQUIESCED AND SAID NO WILL BE GET ARRESTED.

LATER IN THE PRECINCT ECHOES OF "THAT JEW WHO THINKS HE IS A COP, IS NOT SAFE TO WORK WITH" BEGAN CIRCULATING FROM SWEDISH. FOR A LONG WHILE MANY OFFICERS WOULDN'T TALK WITH ME.

10/82WHILE I WAS ON PATROL IN A RADIO CAR, POLICE OFFICER BARRY ON FOOT PATROL CALLED FOR ASSISTANCE, NO EMERGENCY. HE RAN OUT OF SUMMONSES AND SO HE RADIOED ANOTHER CAR TO BRING HIM ADDITIONAL SUMMONSES. A CAR WAS PARKED IN FRONT OF AN ISRAELI MECHANIC AUTO SHOP AND THE MECHANIC WAS WORKING ON IT, AS ALL THE AUTOMOTIVE SHOPS AROUND IN THE WEST SIDE DO. I HAPPENED TO KNOW THIS MAN PERSONALLY AND WHEN I ARRIVED HE TOLD ME THAT THIS OFFICER BARRY WAS INSISTENT THAT HE MOVE THE CAR IMMEDIATELY. WHEN THE ISRAELI OWNER REQUESTED A FEW MOMENTS UNTIL HE FINISHED WORKING ON THE CAR OFFICER BARRY BECAME ENRAGED AND YELLED OUT ANTI-JEWISH OBSCENITIES. OFFICER BARRY TOLD ME TO WRITE A SUMMONS TO THIS VEHICLE. I REFUSED AT THIS POINT BECAUSE 1, THE CAR HAD BEEN MOVED ALREADY AND WAS NOW PARKED LEGALLY ON HIS LOT. ANY OFFICER ISSUING A SUMMONS MUST HAVE IN FACT KNOWLEDGE OF THE OFFENSE THROUGH PERSONAL OBSERVANCE. 2. I FELT THAT OFFICER BARRY'S REACTION WAS OFFENSIVE AND OBNOXIOUS. 3. I CONFRONTED OFFICER BARRY PRIVATELY AND TRIED TO RESOLVE THE MATTER QUIETLY SAYING THAT THIS MAN IS A PERSONAL FRIEND AND IS A LAW ABIDING CITIZEN. OFFICER BARRY THEN ASKED ME TO GIVE HIM ONE OF MY SUMMONSES AND I COULD NOT BECAUSE AS IS THE PRACTICE THEY WERE PRE-SIGNED. THEN OFFICER BARRY SHOUTED AT ME THAT HE WOULD LOSE FACE IF HE DIDN'T SUMMONS THE ISRAELI

MAN. "WE GOT TO TEACH **THESE PEOPLE** WHO'S BOSS". I SAID THAT WAS NONSENSE. OFFICER BARRY SHOUTED AGAIN THAT, "YOU ARE NOT REALLY ONE OF US, BUT YOU'RE JUST ANOTHER DIRTY JEW". "YOU ARE ON HIS SIDE". HE CONTINUED SAYING THAT IF I DO NOT SUMMONS THIS ISRAELI MAN HE WOULD MAKE LIFE VERY DIFFICULT FOR ME AT THE PRECINCT. I DID NOT KOWTOW.

OFFICER BARRY THEN BEGAN TO SPREAD VICIOUS RUMORS THAT I REFUSED "TO BACK HIM UP IN THE STREET" TRYING TO INFER THAT I ACTED IN A COWARDLY MANNER WHICH IS A SERIOUS CHARGE IMPLYING THAT HIS "LIFE" COULD HAVE BEEN IN DANGER AS OPPOSED TO HIS EGO. HE CONTINUED STATING, "HE WAS DEFENDING ONE OF HIS JEW FRIENDS OVER US". THE GROUND WAS NOW FERTILE FOR FURTHER OUTBREAKS OF ANTI-SEMITIC BEHAVIOR. MANY OFFICERS WOULD NOT SPEAK WITH ME AS A RESULT. I TOLD MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR AND HE JUST TOLD ME NOT TO WORRY THAT IT WOULD BLOW OVER.

11/4/82 SWASTIKAS ON LOCKER - I REPORTED IT TO MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR AND TO SEVERAL COPS. THEY ALL CONCLUDED THAT IF I MADE AN OFFICIAL REPORT THAT INTERNAL AFFAIRS WOULD HAVE TO INVESTIGATE AND THAT NO COP EVEN THE GOOD COPS WOULD FORGIVE ME FOR BRINGING THE "WRATH OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS " DOWN ON THE PRECINCT. PLAINTIFF COMPLAINED TO SEVERAL OTHER FELLOW COLLEAGUES REQUESTING THEM TO HELP PLAINTIFF FIND OUT WHO WAS DRAWING THESE EPTITHATS OR TO AT LEAST INFORM THE PERPETRATOR THAT PLAINTIFF WILL PRESS CRIMINAL CHARGES IF CAUGHT.

ADDITIONALLY PLAINTIFF COMPLAINED TO POLICE OFFICER BRUCE ROBERTSON WHO WAS THE HEAD PBA (PATROLMAN'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION) DELEGATE. THE PBA DELEGATE AS OTHER OFFICERS DIDN'T WANT ANY OFFICIAL

REPORT MADE FOR THE SAME REASON AS STATED ABOVE. PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD HE WOULD BE BLAMED FOR THIS PRESSURE. HOWEVER, THE DELEGATE DID SPEAK TO MANY OF THE MID-TOWN NORTH OFFICERS AT VARIOUS ROLL-CALLS AND INFORMED THEM THAT THIS BEHAVIOR WILL NOT BE TOLERATED AS PLAINTIFF IS "ONE OF US" AND THE NEXT TIME A REPORT WOULD BE MADE.

11/82 I WAS ASSIGNED TEMPORARILY TO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR A PREVIOUS ARREST, OTHER OFFICERS WERE VERY JEALOUS, THINKING THAT I WAS PERMANENTLY TRANSFERRED TO THE DA'S OFFICE BECAUSE I WAS A JEW AND EVERY KNOWS "JEWS CONTROL THE CITY". I WAS TOLD THIS BY SEVERAL OFFICERS AND STATEMENTS WENT UP ON THE BATHROOM WALLS AS SUCH.

12/82 THE BATHROOM AND HALLWAY WALLS IN THE LOCKER ROOM HAD ANTI-SEMITIC EPITHETS DRAWN ALL OVER WITH LARGE SYMBOLS OF ATTEMPTED DRAWINGS OF ME WITH A STAR OF DAVID AROUND THE NECK AND WITH A BIG SKULLCAP, BIG NOSE AND LARGE WADS OF MONEY SPILLING OUT OF POCKETS. SOME STATEMENTS READ, "YOU CAN'T TRUST THE JEW PIECE OF SHIT", AND "MOSKOWITZ WILL BE THE FIRST JEW PUT IN THE OVENS HERE". THIS PIECE OF GARBAGE STAYED ON THE WALLS FOR MONTHS.

4/21/83 ON OR ABOUT THIS DATE LT. QUINN ISSUED ME TWO COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR COMING IN LATE FROM MY MEAL AND FOR BEING OFF POST FOR MEAL WHICH WAS IMPOSSIBLE BECAUSE I WAS IN THE PRECINCT.

6/24/83 THE PROMOTIONAL SGT'S EXAM WAS GIVEN ON FRIDAY FOR SABBATH OBSERVERS INSTEAD OF SATURDAY FOR THE REST OF THE DEPARTMENT. I WAS ONE OF THREE COPS WHO TOOK THE TEST ON FRIDAY. OTHER COPS WERE ANGRY AT ME BECAUSE THEY THOUGHT I WAS GETTING SPECIAL TREATMENT AND BECAUSE I WOULDN'T GIVE AWAY ANSWERS TO THEM FROM THE EXAM I TOOK ON FRIDAY.

MY SUPERVISORS KNEW OF THESE TENSIONS AND THEY DID NOTHING TO QUELL IT.

10/14/83 YESHIVA UNIVERSITY CASE - I MET LT. KELLY AND THE THEN INSPECTOR HILL NOW CHIEF THOMAS HILL WHO TOLD ME THAT I WAS IN A POSITION TO CRACK THIS CASE WIDE OPEN. THE INCIDENT BEGAN WHEN SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS DROVE BY ON A FEW OCCASIONS AND MACHINE GUNNED THE YESHIVA BUILDING AND A KOSHER RESTAURANT ACROSS THE STREET. LATER THEY SHOT A JEWISH KID ON THE HIGHWAY AND THEY KILLED AN INNOCENT HISPANIC FEMALE BYSTANDER DRIVING BY.

THE CASE WAS REPORTED AS A BIAS CRIME INCIDENT IN ALL THE PAPERS AND ON THE OFFICIAL POLICE REPORTS. BUT IT WAS SUSPECTED THAT THE CASE WAS REALLY ABOUT DRUG DEALING IN WASHINGTON HEIGHTS AND WITH SOME JEWISH KIDS DEALING DRUGS WHICH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT DID NOT WANT TO EXPOSE FOR POLITICAL REASONS. I WAS ASKED TO GO UNDERCOVER SECRETLY AND MAKE INQUIRIES WITH THE JEWISH KIDS TO FIND OUT INFORMATION. I WAS ASKED TO DO THIS ON MY OWN TIME SO THERE WOULD BE NO PAPERWORK ON THIS. HOWEVER THE INSPECTOR TOLD ME, IF I AM INSTRUMENTAL IN SOLVING THIS CASE THEN THE INSPECTOR NOW ONE THE TOP CHIEFS WOULD SEE TO IT THAT I RECEIVE MY GOLD DETECTIVE SHIELD. I DID THIS ON MY DAY OFF AND AFTER MY NORMAL WORK DAYS ONLY TO DISCOVER THE REALITY

OF JEWISH KIDS BEING INVOLVED. I RECEIVED NO OVERTIME FOR MY WORK. UPON HEARING OF MY WORK IN THE AREA COMPLAINTS WERE MADE BY RABBI MILLER, VICE PRESIDENT OF THE YESHIVA DEMANDING WHY THEY HAD ASSIGNED AN OFFICER TO INVESTIGATE FROM WITHIN. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT DENIED IT AND SAID I WAS DOING IT ON MY OWN. I CAN DOCUMENT THAT I WAS WORKING FOR INSPECTOR HILL. THE INSPECTOR TOOK ADVANTAGE OF ME BECAUSE I WAS YOUNG AND BECAUSE I WAS JEWISH. ON 11/3/83 I WAS TEMPORARILY ASSIGNED TO THE YESHIVA UNIVERSITY TASK FORCE UNDER SGT. MARCUS OFFICIALLY.

11/83LT. QUINN SWITCHED MY SCHEDULE MAKING ME WORK AROUND THE CLOCK PUNITIVELY; CREATING HAVOC FOR MYSELF AND PROBLEMS FOR ROLL-CALL IN SWITCHING MY TOURS WEEKLY BECAUSE OF THE SABBATH. PLAINTIFF THEN WAS ASSIGNED TO THE NINE SQUAD CHART WHICH MEANT PLAINTIFF WAS A HUGH ADMINISTRATIVE HEADACHE TO THE PRECINCT ROLL CALL. THIS ABSURD CHART SYSTEM WHICH HAS BEEN PHASED OUT OVER THE YEARS MADE OFFICERS WORK ON A ROTATIONAL SCHEDULE. ONE WEEK STEADY DAYS, WORKING 8X4, THE NEXT WEEK EVENINGS, 4X12, THE NEXT WEEK 8X4, THE NEXT WEEK 4X12, THE NEXT WEEK ANOTHER 4X12, THE FOLLOWING WEEK MID-NIGHTS, 12X8. OFFICERS HAD DIFFERENT DAYS OFF USUALLY EVERY WEEK AS A RESULT OF THIS SCHEDULE.

SINCE PLAINTIFF EVERY FRI EVENING AND SATURDAY OFF PLAINTIFF HAD TO MAKE AN AN ADDITIONAL WORK DAY. SO PLAINTIFF'S DAYS OFF WERE ALMOST ALWAYS SPLIT ADDING A GREAT BURDEN ON PLAINTIFF'S PERSONAL LIFE. FOR OTHER ADDITIONALLY SINCE PLAINTIFF HAD TO BE OFF EVERY SATURDAY FOR OTHER OFFICERS WHO HAVE OTHER BURDENSOME PROBLEMS ALLOWANCES ARE MADE BY GIVING THE OFFICERS A STEADY SCHEDULE. PLAINTIFF REQUESTED

THIS ON A NUMBER OF OCCASION AND WAS CONTINUOUS DENIED BY THE **ADMINISTRATIVE LT. QUINN**. IT WAS NOT A PROBLEM TO DO THIS. IN FACT IT CREATED HAVOC WITH THE OFFICERS WORKING IN THE ROLL CALL SECTION WHICH THE LT. WANTED. THIS LT. SET OUT TO MAKE PLAINTIFF SO UNCOMFORTABLE SO HE WOULD QUIT AS HE WOULD OFTEN TELL ME, "IF YOU DON'T LIKE IT LEAVE". HE KNEW THAT THIS SCHEDULE WOULD CREATE ANIMOSITY TOWARDS PLAINTIFF THEREBY CREATING A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT FOR PLAINTIFF TO WORK IN. HE WOULD SPREAD THE RUMOR AROUND THE PRECINCT THAT "MOSKOWITZ IS GETTING PREFERENTIAL TREATMENT BECAUSE HE IS A JEW AND THE JEWS CONTROL THE GOVERNMENT". HE CONTINUED THAT ALTHOUGH HE HAS TO GIVE PLAINTIFF THIS SCHEDULE HE COULD STILL "MAKE THINGS RIGHT" IMPLYING TO OTHER OFFICERS THAT NOT ONLY WOULD HE MAKE LIFE DIFFICULT FOR PLAINTIFF BUT IT IS ALRIGHT FOR OTHER OFFICERS TO ASSIST IN THIS ADVENTURE THEREBY GETTING ON THE LT'S GOOD SIDE. AS A RESULT OF THIS CONFUSING SCHEDULE WHICH BECAME MORE COMPLICATED WHEN THE JEWISH HOLIDAYS CAME AROUND EVERY SO OFTEN THE ADMINISTRATIVE ROLL CALL WOULD SOMETIMES ERR AND SOMETIMES PURPOSELY SCHEDULE PLAINTIFF TO WORK ON THE SABBATH. ALL THE SUPERVISORS AND PLAINTIFF'S FELLOW OFFICERS KNEW THAT PLAINTIFF IS OFF ON THE SABBATH. ON OR ABOUT JULY OF 1983 PLAINTIFF WAS INADVERTENTLY OR PURPOSELY PUT ON SATURDAYS ROLL CALL. WHEN THE SUPERVISING SGT. READ THE ROLL CALL AND SAW PLAINTIFF NOT PRESENT HE IMMEDIATELY WROTE OUT A COMMAND DISCIPLINE (COMPLAINT) AGAINST THE PLAINTIFF FOR BEING AWOL BEING FULLING COGNIZANT OF THE FACT THAT PLAINTIFF'S REGULAR DAY OFF IS SATURDAY THE SABBATH. IN FACT OTHER OFFICERS TRIED TO EXPLAIN THIS TO HIM BUT TO NO AVAIL. FOR A PERIOD OF ABOUT SIX MONTHS THE ADMINISTRATIVE LT. QUINN MADE

LIFE MISERABLE FOR PLAINTIFF. FINALLY A NEW COMMANDING OFFICER, THEN **CAPTAIN SIMMONETTI**, WAS ASSIGNED TO THIS PRECINCT. SINCE THE POLICE CHAPLAIN **RABBI ALVIN KASS** HAD INFLUENCE WITH HIM IT ENABLED THE RABBI TO CONVINCED THE NEW CAPTAIN TO KEEP THIS LT QUINN FROM HARASSING PLAINTIFF. CAPTAIN SIMMINETTI ORDERED LT. QUINN TO PUT ON STEADY DAYS WHICH HE BEGRUDGINGLY DID.

LIFE BRIEFLY BECAME EASIER AT THE PRECINCT UNTIL THE VARIOUS SUPERVISORY LTS AND SGT. WERE INFORMED BY LT. QUINN THAT, "THAT JEW DID IT AGAIN". HE GOT HIS RABBI FRIEND TO FIX THINGS FOR HIM" WHICH WAS THE QUOTE GOING AROUND THE PRECINCT. THE WORD WAS OFFICIALLY UNOFFICIAL FROM THIS LT. THAT THE SUPERVISORS SHOULD JOIN IN SOLIDARITY IN SUPPORT OF THIS LT. QUINN WHO BELIEVED THAT AN INJUSTICE WAS DONE TO OVERRIDE HIS AUTHORITY. MANY SUPERVISORS FELT NOW AND EXPRESSED TO PLAINTIFF THAT "JEWS LIKE PLAINTIFF DO NOT BELONG IN THEIR DEPARTMENT".

PLAINTIFF BEGAN RECEIVING NUMEROUS COMMAND DISCIPLINES FROM ALMOST EVERY SUPERVISOR HE HAD DURING THE YEARS OF 1982-1984. MANY OF THE CHEAP DISCIPLINARY MEASURES WAS FOR COMING LATE BY A FEW MINUTES SEVERAL TIMES OVER THE YEAR WHICH MOST COPS DO AND A HOST OF OTHER MINOR INFRACTIONS WHICH WERE SELECTIVELY ENFORCED AGAINST PLAINTIFF.

A MAJOR OUTSTANDING VIOLATOR OF PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL RIGHTS WAS DESCRIBED BY MANY COPS IN THE PRECINCT AS THE SELF HATING JEW". THIS SUPERVISOR, THEN **SGT. MICHAEL HERER** MADE LIFE VERY DIFFICULT FOR PLAINTIFF. PLAINTIFF AT FIRST WAS NOT AWARE OF THE FACT THAT SGT. MICHAEL HERER WAS JEWISH. IT WAS NOT COMMON KNOWLEDGE AND THE SGT. TRIED TO KEEP

HIS ETHNIC AND RELIGIOUS BACKGROUND TO HIMSELF. HE WAS EMBARRASSED BY THE FACT OF HIS JEWISHNESS AND LIKE MANY OTHER JEWISH OFFICERS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THEY FELT THAT IT WAS A LIABILITY FOR THEIR CAREERS. PLAINTIFF ONCE AGAIN ENLISTED SEVERAL PEOPLE TO ASSIST HIM IN KEEPING SGT. HERER FROM HARASSING PLAINTIFF. PLAINTIFF WAS NOW INFORMED THAT THIS SGT. HERER WAS JEWISH AND THAT PLAINTIFF SHOULD CALL THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY (JEWISH FRATERNAL POLICE) AND RABBI ALVIN KASS AS THEY SHOULD HAVE SOME POSITIVE INFLUENCE WITH HIM. PLAINTIFF THEN INITIALLY BELIEVED THAT HIS PROBLEMS COULD STEM FROM A PERSONALITY PROBLEM BECAUSE NOW PLAINTIFF WAS MADE AWARE THAT THIS SGT. WAS ALSO JEWISH. PLAINTIFF APPROACHED THIS SUPERVISOR ON OR ABOUT JUNE OF 1984 AND TRIED TO REASON WITH THIS SGT. WHO WAS CONTINUOUS NASTY WITH PLAINTIFF AND WHO CONSISTENTLY WROTE COMPLAINTS AGAINST PLAINTIFF.

WHEN PLAINTIFF MENTIONED TO THE SGT. OF THE DIFFICULTY HE HAD REGARDING BEING JEWISH IN THE POLICE FORCE AND THAT PLAINTIFF KNEW THAT THE SGT WAS JEWISH, THE SGT. BECAME ANGRY STATING "DON'T USE THAT JEWISH BULLSHIT ON ME. DON'T THINK BECAUSE I HAPPEN TO BE JEWISH IT WILL HELP YOU OUT ANY. I CAN'T STAND YOU OR JEWS LIKE YOU". PLAINTIFF NOTIFIED RABBI ALVIN KASS WHO CALLED SGT HERER ON PLAINTIFF'S BEHALF. THIS MADE MATTERS WORSE. SGT. MICHAEL HERER ORDERED ME TO NEVER TO GO OVER HIS HEAD AGAIN AND NOT TO EMBARRASS HIM WITH THIS JEWISH BULLSHIT." HE CONTINUED THAT "I'M NOT JEWISH, I'M BLUE. YOU ARE JEWISH, PERIOD. I'M TIRED OF HEARING JEWS COMPLAIN ABOUT EVERYTHING INCLUDING THE HOLOCAUST. THAT IS WHY THEY (GENTILES) HATE US SO MUCH. IF YOU CAN'T HANDLE THE JOB, GET OUT, BUT STOP YOUR COMPLAINING AND CRYING TO YOUR RABBIS." HE CONTINUED SAYING, "I'M

GOING TO GET YOU FOR THIS (CALLING THE POLICE CHAPLAIN WHICH HE PERCEIVED WAS AGAINST HIM) LATER ON IN JULY OF 1989 IT WAS SGT. HERER WHO MADE FALSE VICIOUS ALLEGATIONS AGAINST PLAINTIFF TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION THAT PLAINTIFF WAS OPERATING AN ILLEGAL SECURITY BUSINESS IN THE PRECINCT. HE DID UNDER THE CLOAK OF HIS DOING HIS DUTY OF REPORTING SUSPECTED CORRUPTION. HIS REASONING AS STATED IN HIS REPORT THAT PLAINTIFF WAS "RUMORED TO BE RUNNING A SECURITY BUSINESS ILLEGALLY". HE OFFERED NO OTHER PROOF AND HE DIDN'T BOTHER TO CHECK THE OFF-DUTY RECORDS THAT IN FACT PLAINTIFF WAS PERMITTED TO RUN A SECURITY COMPANY AND DO SECURITY WORK AS PLAINTIFF WAS ONE OF THE TWENTY THREE OFFICERS OUT OF THE WHOLE POLICE DEPT. OF 28,000 WHO ACTUALLY FILED FOR THIS RIGHT. THIS FALSE CHARGE WAS INVESTIGATED AND EVEN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION FOUND THIS TO BE UNSUBSTANTIATED AND UNFOUNDED.

1/1/84 - 2/19/84 I TRAVELED TO ISRAEL. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OPENED UP AN INVESTIGATION ON ME AS A RESULT.

THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE AROSE ONCE AGAIN. BECAUSE I HAD TRAVELED TO ISRAEL A FEW TIMES I WAS LABELED A "SECURITY RISK".

3/84 CAPT. STANLEY STONE, A COMMANDER IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION, WHO IS A JEWISH MAN WHO BECAME MORE RELIGIOUSLY OBSERVANT (AND A FRIEND) INFORMED ME THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS LOOKING TO MAKE TROUBLE FOR ME. HE TOLD THAT HE HAD HEARD OTHER COMMANDERS TALKING ABOUT SERIOUSLY INVESTIGATING ME AS A SECURITY RISK BECAUSE

I WAS AN "OPENLY OBSERVANT JEW" WHO COULDN'T POSSIBLY HAVE MY FIRST LOYALTIES TO THE NYPD OR TO THIS COUNTRY. HE BELIEVED THAT THESE COMMANDERS WERE MOTIVATED PURELY BY ANTI-SEMITISM AND THAT I SHOULD BE CAREFUL AND THAT HE WOULD SEE WHAT HE COULD DO TO HELP ME OUT.

FOR THE NEXT SEVERAL MONTHS WE WENT THROUGH DIFFERENT COMMANDING OFFICERS. EACH ONE I HAD APPROACHED INDIVIDUALLY REGARDING THE DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING. DEPUTY INSPECTOR COYLE, AND LATER RAYMOND ABRUZZI NOW ONE OF THE CHIEF OF DETECTIVES. ONLY CAPT. SIMMINETTI NOW ONE OF THE CHIEFS OF POLICE WAS HELPFUL TO ME AFTER RABBI KASS THE POLICE CHAPLAIN, HAD CALLED ON MY BEHALF. CAPTAIN SIMMINETTI HAD ORDERED LT. QUINN TO REVERSE HIS DECISION AND PLACE ME BACK ON STEADY TOURS AND TO LEAVE ME ALONE REGARDING ANY DISCRIMINATORY GESTURES, STATEMENT OR ACTIONS.

9/84 I WAS REASSIGNED TO THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU (OCCB) OF MANHATTAN SOUTH NARCOTICS AS AN UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER DURING THE PERIOD OF 9/84-1/85. IT WAS A THREE MONTH ASSIGNMENT AND WAS TOLD BY THE HEAD OF THE "BUY AND BUST" OPERATION LT. JOE LISI THAT I COULD HAVE REMAINED. I CHOSE TO LEAVE TO BEGIN ANOTHER ASSIGNMENT IN THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT.

THE BUY AND BUST UNIT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS WHERE PLAINTIFF ALONG WITH OTHER OFFICERS WORKED UNDERCOVER BUYING DRUGS ON THE STREET WITH MARKED MONEY. THIS ENABLED OTHER INVESTIGATORS TO ARREST THE DRUG SELLERS AND PLAINTIFF WAS RESPONSIBLE TO TESTIFY AGAINST THEM IN COURT.

PLAINTIFF'S UNDERCOVER NARCOTICS WORK WAS KNOWN AS THE FAMOUS "OPERATION PRESSURE POINT" TO THE PUBLIC. IT WAS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S PSEUDO MESSAGE TO THE CITIZENS OF NEW YORK THAT THEY WERE GOING TO CLEAN UP THE DRUGS IN THE CITY BEGINNING WITH SOUTH OF 14TH ST. OF MANHATTAN. IT WAS A MASSIVE OPERATION WHICH LASTED OVER A COUPLE OF YEARS WHICH COMMITTED THOUSANDS OF OFFICERS AND MILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN POLICE MANPOWER AND THROUGH OVER-TIME. THE OFFICERS HAD DUBBED THIS "OPERATION REAL ESTATE", BECAUSE IT WAS COMMON KNOWLEDGE THAT INSIDE DEALS WERE MADE AMONG VARIOUS POLICE CHIEFS, GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AND REAL ESTATE MOGULS TO GENTRIFY THE NEIGHBORHOOD. THE POLICE WERE MISUSED TO CLEAN UP AN AREA OF THE CITY IMMEDIATELY AFTER MAJOR REALTORS INVESTED IN THE AREA BUYING BUILDINGS AT ONE OR TWO TIMES THE RENT ROLL. AFTER THE POLICE CLEANED UP, MANY BUILDINGS BECAME COOPERATIVES AND THE BUILDINGS WERE FLIPPED OVER FOR A HEFTY PROFIT. THIS IS KNOWN AS POLICE INSIDE INFORMATION. PLAINTIFF WAS DISGRUNTLED BY THIS AND OFTEN VOICED HIS OBJECTIONS ABOUT THIS TO FELLOW OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS.

ON OR ABOUT DECEMBER OF 1984 PLAINTIFF IN HIS POSITION AS AN UNDERCOVER POLICE OFFICER BOUGHT DRUGS AND CAUSED TWO MALES TO BE APPREHENDED AND ARRESTED. THEIR CASES CAME UP A FEW MONTHS LATER BEFORE THE COURTS AND PLAINTIFF WAS TO TESTIFY AGAINST THEM IN CRIMINAL COURT. THESE TWO MALES WERE ALREADY WANTED FOR SEVERAL SERIOUS FELONIES ALREADY BEFORE WE EFFECTED THEIR LAST ARREST. PLAINTIFF WHO ALWAYS KEEPS HIS HEAD COVERED AS AN ORTHODOX JEW WAS FIRST ASKED AND THEN DEMANDED BY THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ASSIGNED TO THE NARCOTICS DIVISION TO REMOVE PLAINTIFF'S SKULLCAP WHEN TESTIFYING

IN COURT. PLAINTIFF REFUSED AND ASKED WHY. THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY FELT THAT IT WOULD JEOPARDIZE HIS CASE AND THAT I AS A POLICE OFFICER MUST ABIDE BY HIS DECISION AND THAT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD THAT IF HE DIDN'T ABIDE BY THIS DECISION THAT THE PRESIDING JUDGE WOULD ISSUE THIS RULE; THAT PLAINTIFF WOULD HAVE TO REMOVE HIS SKULLCAP IN HIS COURT OR FACE A CONTEMPT OF ORDER CHARGE AND SUSPENSION FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. PLAINTIFF WAS FURTHER TOLD THAT THE DEFENSE ATTORNEYS WERE CREATING AN ISSUE OF THE FACT THAT PLAINTIFF WEARS A SKULLCAP AND CLAIMED THAT PLAINTIFF ONLY WAS WEARING IT SUDDENLY NOW TO IMPRESS THE JURY. PLAINTIFF WAS SUBJECTED TO A HALF AN HOUR OF QUESTIONING BY THE DEFENSE ATTORNEY AND BY THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY UNDER OATH REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND CONVICTIONS AT A NARCOTICS TRIAL. AT THE END THE JUDGE ORDERED PLAINTIFF TO REMOVE HIS SKULLCAP (KIPA) OFF. PLAINTIFF OBJECTED PROFUSELY AND INFORMED THE JUDGE HE HAD NO RIGHT TO VIOLATE PLAINTIFF'S CIVIL RIGHTS IN SUCH A MANNER. PLAINTIFF ALSO TOLD THE JUDGE THAT, "IF PLAINTIFF WOULD BE CITED FOR CONTEMPT OF COURT THEN PLAINTIFF WOULD CALL THE NEW YORK TIMES AND TELL THEM THAT TWO PRISONERS WERE NOW FREE FROM A MISTRIAL BECAUSE THE STAR UNDERCOVER POLICE WITNESS WHO IS AN ORTHODOX JEW REFUSED TO REMOVED HIS SKULLCAP."

THE JUDGE IMMEDIATELY LEFT HIS BOX AND WALKED TO THE JURY BOX AND STATED FOR THE RECORD THAT, "THE OFFICER TESTIFYING HAS CURLY BROWN HAIR AND HIS SKULLCAP IS HARDLY NOTICEABLE." HE CONTINUED STATING FOR THE RECORD THAT, "THE OFFICER WILL BE SEATED BEFORE THE JURORS COME IN SO HIS SKULLCAP WOULD BE UNNOTICEABLE." THE JUDGE ALSO DIRECTED PLAINTIFF NOT TO TILT HIS HEAD DURING HIS TESTIMONY.

PLAINTIFF REMINDED THE JUDGE THAT, "THIS WAS A NARCOTICS TRIAL NOT A SKULLCAP FASHION SHOW."

1/85 I WAS ASSIGNED TO THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT AND WORKED OUT OF ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL IN THE BRONX. AS AN OFFICER I WORKED ALONE IN THE SCHOOLS AND WAS ASSIGNED TO WORK WITH A TEACHER WITH THIS PROGRAM. FOR THE FIRST TIME I FELT RELIEVED. THERE WAS VERY FEW INCIDENTS OF ANY ANTI-SEMITIC BEHAVIOR WHICH I ENCOUNTERED. I BEGAN EXPERIENCING DISCRIMINATION TOWARDS THE END OF THIS ASSIGNMENT BY MY SUPERVISORS.

I WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN THIS SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT BECAUSE I HAD COMPLAINED AT ONE POINT TO A LT. GREENBERG FORMERLY OF THE MISSING PERSON'S BUREAU WHO FELT I WAS BEING DISCRIMINATED AGAINST. HE WAS ABLE TO ASSIST ME TO GET INTO THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT THEREBY GETTING OUT OF THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT AND AWAY FROM THE OTHER COPS.

5/20/85 WHILE WORKING AT THE SCHOOL I WAS TEMPORARILY ASSIGNED TO ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY SOLOWAY WHO WAS PROSECUTING A CASE I WORKED ON WHEN I WAS AN UNDERCOVER OFFICER IN MANHATTAN SOUTH NARCOTICS. THE ADA ATTEMPTED TO COERCE ME TO TAKE OFF MY KIPA (YARMULKE) BEFORE I WAS TO TESTIFY. I WAS THE KEY WITNESS IN THE NARCOTICS TRIAL. I VEHEMENTLY OBJECTED AND WAS LATER ORDERED TO DO SO BY THE JUDGE. I REFUSED ON THE GROUNDS THAT IT WAS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND WAS PREPARED TO FACE SUSPENSION. ONLY AT THE MENTIONING TO BOTH THE JUDGE AND THE ADA THAT I WOULD CALL THE NY TIMES OVER THIS DID I WIN MY POSITION. THERE IS A TRANSCRIPT OF THIS.

WHEN PLAINTIFF WAS TRANSFERRED INTO THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT **P.O. PAT GERAZE** WAS INITIALLY IN CHARGE AND LATER **PO LOUIS SALGADO** WAS APPOINTED AS HEAD OF THE **YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT**. THINGS WERE WELL THEN, WITH PLAINTIFF RECEIVING LETTERS OF RECOMMENDATIONS OF HIS SERVICE TO THIS UNIT FROM THE PRINCIPLE OF THE SCHOOL. PLAINTIFF WAS ASSIGNED TO ROOSEVELT HIGH SCHOOL IN THE BRONX. THINGS BECAME WORSE AS THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT RECEIVED NEW LEADERSHIP AND CAME UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF THE NEW DIVISION CALLED SPECDA (A POLICE DRUG EDUCATION PROGRAM) LED BY LT. LEINEN. LT. LEINEN MADE LIFE QUITE DIFFICULT FOR MYSELF AND HE TRIED AND WAS LATER SUCCESSFUL IN REMOVING PLAINTIFF FROM HIS POSITION. PLAINTIFF WOULD GIVE PRACTICAL IDEAS AND SUGGESTIONS TO AMELIORATE TENSIONS IN THE SCHOOLS WHICH INCLUDED DEVELOPING NEW CREATIVE PROGRAMS TO LESSEN BIAS CONFLICTS. ANOTHER COMPLAINT PLAINTIFF BROUGHT TO THE ATTENTION OF THIS COMMANDER WAS THAT MOST OF THE STUDENTS WERE COMPLAINING OF THE LACK OF SENSITIVITY THAT POLICE HAD TOWARDS THEM AND THAT COPS WERE ETHNICALLY INSULTING THE STUDENTS CONTINUOUSLY. FURTHER MANY STUDENTS COMPLAINED THAT THEY AND THEIR FRIENDS WERE VICTIMS OF POLICE BRUTALITY. I INFORMED LT. LEINEN OF THESE PROGRAMS AND SPECIFICALLY SHOWED HIM THE PROPOSALS WHICH I DEVELOPED ON MY OWN TIME OFF DUTY TO MEET THESE PROBLEMS. PLAINTIFF WAS TOLD HE WAS MEDDLING IN AFFAIRS THAT WASN'T HIS BUSINESS. PLAINTIFF EXPLAINED TO THIS LT. THIS WAS EXACTLY OUR BUSINESS AND THAT PLAINTIFF WAS ESPECIALLY ATTUNED TO THIS PROBLEM AS HE HAD SUFFERED FROM SIMILAR SITUATIONS GROWING UP AND FROM WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT. PLAINTIFF REQUESTED THIS SUPERVISOR, LT. LEINEN TO SUBMIT THESE PROPOSALS TO THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE OF COMMUNITY

AFFAIRS BUT HE REFUSED SAYING, "THE PROPOSALS WERE NOT GOOD AND I SHOULD BE CAREFUL IN WHAT I'M DOING REGARDING SPEAKING ABOUT BIAS CRIMES". I REQUESTED PERMISSION TO SEND THESE PROPOSALS DIRECTLY TO THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS COMMISSIONER WHICH WAS GRANTED AND LATER HE DENIED THIS.

12/19/85 AN INCIDENT OCCURRED WHERE PLAINTIFF REQUESTED ASSISTANCE AT ROOSEVELT H.S. REGARDING A MAJOR YOUTH GANG WHICH WAS ABOUT TO DO BATTLE OUTSIDE THE SCHOOL. ONE RELIABLE "CHINGALING" YOUTH GANG MEMBER GAVE ME THE INFORMATION. I CALLED MY DIVISION, THE YOUTH OUTREACH UNIT, SPECDA, THE 48 COMMAND WHERE THE SCHOOL IS LOCATED IN, THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, THE BOROUGH COMMAND, THE BRONX TASK FORCE, AND AFTER EVERYONE TURNED ME DOWN, I FINALLY CALLED 911. OVER 200 YOUTH CAME FOR BATTLE AND FINALLY THE POLICE SHOWED UP IN RIOT GEAR AFTER THE FACT. I COMPLAINED OF THE DANGER BUT I WASN'T BELIEVED.

5/86 THE NARCOTIC DIVISION OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS SEEKING HEBREW SPEAKING OFFICERS TO WORK UNDER-COVER IN THE ISRAELI-JEWISH COMMUNITY TO CATCH A GROUP OF ISRAELI DRUG DEALERS. I WAS APPROACHED AND OFFERED THIS ASSIGNMENT WHICH WAS STRICTLY ON A VOLUNTEER BASIS AS IN ALL UNDER-COVER WORK. THIS IS BECAUSE UNDER-COVER WORK IS INHERENTLY DANGEROUS AND IT IS NOT FOR ALL TYPES OF COPS. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS ABLE TO RECRUIT COPS BY OFFERING THEM DETECTIVE STATUS IN A SHORT TIME AFTERWARDS IF THEIR WORK IS SATISFACTORY.

I TOLD THEM MY HEBREW WASN'T NATIVE AND THAT IT WAS FAR FROM PERFECT. ADDITIONALLY I TOLD THEM THAT I WAS APPREHENSIVE FOR TAKING

THIS POSITION BECAUSE I FELT I WOULD BE IN MORE DANGER PERSONALLY AND I WOULD ENDANGER OTHER OFFICERS WORKING ALONGSIDE OF ME FOR FEAR OF HAVING MY COVER BLOWN. I WAS DEVELOPING MY ALTERNATE CAREER AS A WRITER. I WAS OFTEN IN THE MEDIA, ESPECIALLY IN THE JEWISH AND HEBREW NEWSPAPERS WHICH HAD WRITTEN ARTICLES ABOUT ME WORKING AS A JEWISH NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER WITH MY PICTURE BEING PRINTED.

IT STATED THAT THERE WAS JUST A FEW HEBREW SPEAKING COPS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I REFUSED THE ASSIGNMENT AND TOLD THEM THAT IF I COULD HELP IN ANY OTHER WAY LIKE POSSIBLY TRANSLATING ANY AUDIO TAPES THEY SHOULD CALL ME.

THE DETECTIVE SUPERVISOR WHO WAS TRYING TO RECRUIT ME I FELT BELIEVED THAT I WAS REFUSING TO TAKE THE CASE BECAUSE I DIDN'T WANT TO BE INVOLVED IN ARRESTING MY OWN KIND. FURTHERMORE HE IMPLIED COWARDICE ON MY PART (THAT ALL JEWS ARE WIMPS). BOTH ASSUMPTIONS ON HIS PART WERE FALSE AND I FELT GREATLY OFFENDED.

6/86 SGT. JACKSON ISSUED ME TWO COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR BEING LATE. THESE WERE CLEARLY SELECTIVELY ENFORCED AS MOST OF THE UNIT DIDN'T SHOW UP OFTEN UNTIL A HALF AN HOUR LATER. THEY JUST ADJUSTED THEIR TOUR TO STAY LATER IF THEY GOT CAUGHT SIGNING IN LATE. YET I WAS WRITTEN UP AND WAS IMMEDIATELY DISMISSED FROM THE UNIT AFTER RECEIVING STRONG RECOMMENDATION ON MY BEHALF. I WAS ABLE TO CHOOSE ONLY A PRECINCT OF CHOICE.

I WAS RE-ASSIGNED TO THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT IN JULY OF 1988 AFTER BEING ADMINISTRATIVELY TRANSFERRED AND FORCED TO WORK BACK ON PATROL WHICH WAS PUNITIVE.

6/86 I DEVELOPED BOTH THE SENIOR CITIZEN'S OUTREACH UNIT TEAM AND THE STUDENT COMMUNITY OUTREACH UNIT TEAM KNOWN AS THE SCOUT PROGRAM. I WAS TOLD BY SUPERVISORS THAT, "YOU AM STILL THINKING LIKE A JEW. HERE IN THE NYPD YOU DO NOT GET PAID TO THINK."

6/12/86 I WON AN AWARD AT CITY HALL FROM MAYOR KOCH FOR THE WORK I DO WITH THE JEWISH POOR. I MET DEPUTY WILLHEMINA COMMISSIONER HOLIDAY SOCIALLY AND I DISCUSSED WITH HER MY PROPOSALS WHICH INFURIATED LT. LEINEN AND OTHER SUPERVISORS. I FELT INTENSE DISCRIMINATION FROM LT. LEINEN.

6/19/86 SGT. FRANKLYN GAVE ME 2 COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR 5 TIMES LATE 5-15 MIN EACH WHICH NO ONE ELSE RECEIVED EVEN THOUGH THE WHOLE UNIT CAME IN TOGETHER (ALL EIGHT OF US) EACH TIME.

7/86 I WAS PREVIOUSLY PROMISED BY BOTH SGT. HERER OF THE SUPERVISOR OF THE SPECIAL DAY SQUAD AND BY THE COMMANDING OFFICER THAT UPON ENTERING THE MTN PRECINCT ONCE AGAIN THAT I WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ENTER THE (SDS) SPECIAL DAY SQUAD WHICH MEANT STEADY DAYS IN A STEADY SCHEDULE. THIS WOULD ENABLE ME TO CONTINUE WITH MY STUDIES AND NOT HAVE A PROBLEM WITH CHANGING MY SCHEDULE AROUND TO COMPENSATE FOR BEING A SABBATH OBSERVER. AT THIS POINT IN MY CAREER IT WAS NOT UNUSUAL FOR THIS TO BE GRANTED TO ME AS I ALREADY HAD SENIORITY. ADDITIONALLY I WAS TOLD BY CAPT. FITZGERALD THAT I WOULD BE ABLE TO TRANSFER BACK INTO NARCOTICS OR TO ANY UNIT WHO WANTED

TO PICK ME UP AFTER A FEW WEEKS.

AFTER I GOT REASSIGNED TO THE MTN PCT. I WAS NOT PLACED IN THE "DAY SQUAD" BUT AROUND THE CLOCK. I WENT IN TO SEE CAPT. FITZGERALD AND **CAPT FITZGERALD** TOLD ME THAT HE HAS TO HONOR A REQUEST, (WHICH TO HIM IS A COMMAND AS IT CAME FROM A MUCH HIGHER AUTHORITY IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT) TO "FUCK ME". HE CONTINUED TELLING ME THAT, "THEY WANT YOU OUT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND I CAN SEE WHY", POINTING TO MY YARMULKE. WHEN I PROTESTED THIS HE TOLD ME TO LEAVE OR HE WILL GIVE ME A COMMAND DISCIPLINE. THIS MEANT THAT HIS WORD WOULD BE BROKEN.

THERE WOULD BE NO STEADY DAYS. NO TRANSFERS EVEN THOUGH OTHER UNITS WANTED TO PICK ME UP. I WAS FIRST PLACED AROUND THE CLOCK AND ASSIGNED MOSTLY TO FIXERS WHICH WERE PUNITIVE POSTS AS THEY WERE THE LEAST DESIRABLE BECAUSE IT MEANT BEING ASSIGNED TO SUCH POSTS AS GUARDING FOREIGN CONSULATES WHERE YOU WOULD HAVE STAND FOR SEVERAL HOURS AT A TIME IN THE COLD, GUARDING EMACIATED DEAD BODIES AT VARIOUS LOCATIONS, GUARDING PRISONERS, OR BEING SENT TO POLICE HEADQUARTERS TO GUARD THE BUILDING. THESE FIXERS DENIED THE OFFICER THE OPPORTUNITY FOR ANY OVERTIME. CAPT. FITZGERALD APPRISED HIS SUPERVISORY STAFF (SGTs AND LTs) OF "MY SITUATION." ALL THE SUPERVISORS WHO CAME IN CONTACT WITH ME KNEW IF THEY DIDN'T WANT A DIFFICULT TIME WITH CAPT. FITZGERALD THEN THEY HAD BETTER HARASS ME AS WAS THE GAME PLAN.

CAPT. FITZGERALD MADE MY LIFE ABSOLUTELY MISERABLE DURING HIS ENTIRE DURATION AND AFTERWARDS. HE PREVENTED ME OFTEN FROM TAKING OFF CERTAIN DAYS WHEN NO POLICE EMERGENCY EXISTED.

8/86 I HAD COMPLAINED THAT BEING SCHEDULED AROUND THE CLOCK WAS A SERIOUS IMPOSITION AS I WAS ATTENDING GRADUATE STUDIES A COUPLE OF EVENINGS A WEEK. ALONG WITH THE COMPENSATION OF ADJUSTING MY SCHEDULE FOR THE SABBATH IT MEANT OFTEN I WAS WORKING DOUBLE TOURS OR THAT I WOULD WORK 8 HOURS AND THEN WAIT 8 HOURS AND THEN HAVE TO REPORT IN AGAIN. I WAS GETTING PHYSICALLY SICK.

CAPTAIN FITZGERALD TRIED TO DENY THE "RIGHT TO GO TO SCHOOL" BUT THERE WAS AN INTERIM ORDER OUT TO ALL COMMANDERS TO ENCOURAGE OFFICERS TO CONTINUE WITH THEIR STUDIES AND CHANGE THEIR SCHEDULES AS NEEDED AS LONG AS IT DIDN'T POSE A THREAT TO THE MANAGEMENT OF THEIR PRECINCT. CHANGING MY TOURS WAS NOT ENOUGH AS IT WAS ALMOST PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO MANAGE SUCH A SCHEDULE. I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD FILE A GRIEVANCE WITH THE PATROLMAN'S BENEVOLENT ASSOCIATION WHICH ALL COPS ARE MEMBERS OF.

FITZGERALD THEN DID THE NEXT WORST THING. HE MADE ME WORK STEADY MID-NIGHTS WHICH HELPED MY OBSERVING THE SABBATH BUT MADE LIFE MISERABLE IN EVERY OTHER AREA OF MY LIFE. THIS WAS DONE OUT OF SPITE.

8/17/86 2 SWASTIKAS FOUND ON LOCKER AND DEFAMING WORDS "COCKSUCKER". ALSO BURNT MATCHES IN PAPER IN THE FORM OF A CROSS SIGNIFYING AND "SAYING THE KKK WILL GET THE JEW". I TOLD MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR. NOTHING WAS DONE.

8/86 SGT. FINN ONE OF THE MIDNIGHT SUPERVISORS CONTINUED FOLLOWING THE COMMANDING OFFICER'S LEAD IN PERSECUTING ME. HE WOULD PUBLICLY RIDICULE ME AND WOULD ASSIGN THE WORST POSTS TO WORK ON THE

MID-NIGHT SHIFT WHICH MEANT GUARDING EMBASSY CONSULATES AT NIGHT.

8/86 LT. JOHN LOCHRAN OF THE JOINT FBI/NYPD TERRORIST TASK FORCE INFORMED ME THAT THEY (POLICE) THINK I AM HOLDING OUT ON THEM WITH INFORMATION RELATING TO A CASE THEY ARE WORKING ON. THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE IS ARISING AGAIN. BARNEY MULIGAN OF THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION AND JOHN PRITCHARD SUPERVISOR OF THE JOINT TASK FORCE WAS INVOLVED.

8/86 ATTEMPTED TO ENTER THE DAY SQUAD BY CALLING RABBI KASS, THE JEWISH CHAPLAIN OF THE NYPD AND REQUESTED OF HIM TO SPEAK WITH THE DAY SQUAD SUPERVISOR DIRECTLY, SGT. MICHAEL HERER WHO HE HIMSELF WAS JEWISH. SGT. HERER REPROACHED AND CHASTISED ME IN PRIVATE SAYING, "I DON'T LIKE GETTING ANY CALLS FROM ANY RABBIS". I TOLD HIM OF THE HARDSHIPS I WAS HAVING BECAUSE OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND I THOUGHT HE WOULD UNDERSTAND AND WOULD BE MORE EMPATHETIC BECAUSE HE WAS JEWISH AS WELL. HE BECAME ENRAGED TELLING ME " I WON'T GET ANY SYMPATHY OUT OF HIM FOR THIS JEWISH BULLSHIT". HE CONTINUED SAYING HE DIDN'T SEE WHY I HAD TO WEAR THE "BEANY" IN THE FIRST PLACE DRAWING ATTENTION TO MYSELF. HE ALSO WANTED TO KNOW HOW I KNEW HE WAS JEWISH. HE TOLD ME HE DIDN'T WANT ANYONE TO KNOW HE WAS JEWISH. HE DIDN'T WANT TO BE IDENTIFIED WITH ME AT ALL. HE TOLD ME TO GET ANOTHER JOB. SGT. HERER WAS A KEY SUPERVISOR WHO WENT OUT OF HIS WAY TO HURT ME IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT EVEN THOUGH HE IS JEWISH HIMSELF. HE WAS KNOWN AS A SELF-HATING JEW. IT WAS NO COINCIDENCE THAT LATER ON IT WAS SGT. HERER WHO ERRONEOUSLY REPORTED THAT I MAY BE CONDUCTING ILLEGAL BUSINESS IN THE MTN PRECINCT. HE ACTUALLY CONTACTED THE

INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION DIRECTLY WITH NO EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE SUCH A CLAIM. IN HIS LETTER IT JUST STATES IT IS HEARSAY WITHOUT MENTIONING ANYONE'S NAMES.

8/86 CONTRACTED LT. NADEL AND RABBI KASS, AND WILLIAM SEKTZER TO TRY AND GET INTO THE DAY SQUAD AND TO SOLVE OTHER PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING IN REGARDS TO NOT OBTAINING AN ADVANCED SCHEDULE FOR SABBATH, SPLIT REGULAR DAYS OFF, SCHOOL PROBLEMS WITH SCHEDULING ETC.

9/86 I CALLED INSPECTOR PINSENT 13PCT, AND INSPECTOR MARKOWITZ FROM THE BOROUGH COMMAND THINKING THEY COULD HELP ME.

9/86 MY COMMANDING OFFICER INITIALLY REFUSED TO GRANT ME A PERMIT TO WORK OFF-DUTY. IT IS STANDARD PROCEDURE TO BE GRANTED THIS PERMIT UNLESS THE OFFICER IS ON PROBATION OR IS HAS DIFFICULTY WITH THEIR SICK REPORT RECORD. I WAS DENIED A FEW TIMES BEFORE I FINALLY WAS GRANTED THE PERMIT AND ONLY BECAUSE I WENT OVER THE COMMANDING OFFICER'S HEAD.

10/86 EVERY SO OFTEN I WAS FORCED TO SPEND THE NIGHT IN PRECINCT SO I WOULD HAVE TO FULFILL MY RELIGIOUS OBLIGATION OF PRAYING IN THE MORNING WEARING MY TEFILLIN (TWO RELIGIOUS OBJECTS THAT ARE STRAPPED TO THE HEAD AND THE ARM). ON A FEW OCCASIONS WHICH HAPPENED HERE AND LATER IN ANOTHER COMMAND SOME OFFICERS OBSERVED ME PRAYING WEARING THE TEFILLIN. ONE IGNORANT OFFICER SHOUTED AT ME, "YOU JEW SCUM-BAG". HE BELIEVED THE RELIGIOUS ARTICLE STRAPPED TO MY ARM WAS A TAPE

RECORDED WIRE ATTACHED TO THE BLACK BOX WORN ON MY UPPER ARM. I ASKED IF HE THOUGHT THE OTHER PART WHICH WAS WORN ON MY HEAD WAS A VIDEO CAMERA.

11/18/86 WHILE I WAS ON PATROL WAS WORKING IN THE VICINITY OF THE ISRAELI AIRLINES EL AL OFFICE, IN ROCKEFELLER CENTER, WHEN ONE OF THE WORKERS NOTIFIED ME OF A SUSPICIOUS TRUCK PARKED IN FRONT OF THEIR OFFICE IN A NO STANDING ZONE. UPON FURTHER INVESTIGATION I LEARNED THAT THEY WERE ARABS WHO WERE CONSTANTLY WATCHING THE PERSONNEL AT THE EL AL OFFICE. WHEN I TRIED TO SPEAK WITH THE TRUCK DRIVER AND HIS PARTNER THEY BEGAN SPEEDING AWAY. I CHASED THEM ON FOOT AND CALLED FOR BACK-UP. I LEARNED THAT THE VEHICLE WAS STOLEN AND WHEN THEY STOPPED THE VEHICLE IN TRAFFIC I ORDERED THEM TO DEPART FROM THEIR VEHICLE. THEY REFUSED TO COOPERATE. I HAD TO PHYSICALLY REMOVE ONE OF THEM AND APPREHEND AND RESTRAIN THEM AFTER INTENSE RESISTANCE.

I ARRESTED THE ARABS. TRANSIT COPS WERE ON THE SCENE AS WITNESSES AND IN ASSISTANCE. IT APPEARED ON INVESTIGATION THAT THERE WERE STRONG POSSIBILITIES THAT WERE CONNECTED TO A TERRORIST ORGANIZATION LOOKING TO HARM THE ISRAELIS IN THE EL AL OFFICE. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WERE DOING EVERYTHING IN THEIR POWER TO KEEP THIS OUT OF THE PRESS AND TO TRY AND CONVINCED EVERYONE CONCERNED THESE ARABS HAD NO TERRORIST AFFILIATIONS. THEY WERE WORKING TO SUPPRESS THE INFORMATION. MEANWHILE LT. MCCAULY DIRECTED ME TO ISSUE DESK APPEARANCE TICKETS FOR CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF STOLEN PROPERTY. HE ALSO SHOUTED AT PUBLICLY THAT, "HE THINKS HE IS A HERO. SOME TOUGH JEW. LOCKING SOME POOR ARABS - HE DID IT FOR THE OVER-TIME."

INTERVIEWS AND NOTIFICATIONS TO MTN DET VINNIZIO, INTELLIGENCE DET. PETERSON AND PARKER - FBI-JOINT TASK FORCE DET WECK, AUTO-CRIME, OPERATIONS -PO GOODEN, SGT HERER-SDS SUPERVISOR, FBI AGENTS PETE PROCH AND BOB LEE. I WAS INFORMED BY THE ANTI-TERRORIST INVESTIGATORS AT FIRST THAT THEY WOULD INFORM THE PROPER ISRAELI AUTHORITIES OF THIS INFORMATION AS THEIR PROCEDURE DICTATES AS THEY HAVE AN EXCHANGE PROGRAM WITH THE ISRAELIS. I WAS LATER INFORMED BY THESE DETECTIVES THAT THE ARREST WAS NOTHING FROM NOTHING AND THAT THE ISRAELI AUTHORITIES WOULD NOT AND NEED NOT BE CONTACTED. I PROTESTED THIS AND THE FACT THAT THE FBI-TERRORIST TASK WAS NOT FOLLOWING UP ON IT AND THAT THEY WERE SUPPRESSING THIS INVESTIGATION FOR WHAT I BELIEVED WERE POLITICAL REASONS.

AFTER THIS INCIDENT I HAD ACCUMULATED MUCH OVER-TIME. LT. McCAULY REFUSED TO SIGN FOR MY OVER-TIME AS HE FELT IT WASN'T A LEGITIMATE ARREST EVEN THOUGH THEY WERE ARRESTED FOR GRAND LARCENY AUTO AND WERE SUSPECTS IN WORKING IN A POSSIBLE PLO TERRORIST OPERATION. LT McCAULEY BEGAN SPREADING VICIOUS LIES THAT I WAS ARRESTING ARABS TO GET OVER-TIME.

I FELT A TERRIBLE INJUSTICE WAS BEING DONE AND BEGAN CALLING VARIOUS LEADERS IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY TO COMMUNICATE WITH THEM A POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS SITUATION COULD BE FACING THE JEWISH LEADERSHIP UNLESS THE LAW ENFORCEMENT COMMUNITY BEGINS ACTIVELY INVESTIGATING THESE INCIDENTS. AS A RESULT I BELIEVE I WAS TARGETED WITH MORE PERSECUTION BECAUSE IT HAD BECOME KNOWN TO VARIOUS POLICE OFFICIALS THAT I WAS CONTACTING JEWISH LEADERS WHICH THEY GREATLY RESENTED. A COUPLE OF LEADERS I MET WERE MR. SEYMOUR REICH, THE PRESIDENT OF

NATIONAL BNAI BRITH, AT HIS RESIDENCE AND WITH MALCOLM HOENLEIN THE FORMER DIRECTOR OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL.

11/30/86 MY POLICE LOCKER WAS VANDALIZED. THE LOCK WAS TIED UP WITH BLACK TAPE. THERE WAS ALSO A WRITTEN STATEMENT, "**JEW BASTARD AND JEW SCRUB**". THIS WAS THE SECOND TIME. I NOTIFIED **BRUCE ROBERTSON WHO IS THE PBA DELEGATE AND LT. O'DONAHUE, LT. GALLAGER (ICO) AND CAPT. FITZGERALD** - NO WRITTEN REPORT TAKEN BY ANY SUPERVISOR.

12/14/86 I RECEIVED A RADIO CALL THAT THERE WERE FIVE DRUNKEN WHITE MALES FIGHTING, POSSIBLE GUNS INVOLVED. WHEN I ARRIVED MY PARTNER STOPPED THE FIVE WHITE MALES AND BEGAN SEARCHING THEM FOR WEAPONS. ONE OF THE MALES ASSAULTED MY PARTNER AND A LARGE FIGHT ENSUED. I ARRESTED THE PERPETRATOR WHO WAS A U.S. MARINE ON LEAVE. LT. MCCAULY, A FORMER MARINE HIMSELF WANTED ME TO ILLEGALLY SUPPRESS THE ARREST. HE QUESTIONED ME HEAVILY FOR A LOOPHOLE AND I TOLD HIM I COULD NOT DO IT AS THIS MARINE CREATED ABSOLUTE HAVOC IN ROCKEFELLER CENTER WHERE HE ASSAULTED POLICE OFFICERS AND RESISTED ARREST INTENSELY. LT. MCCAULEY SAID HE WOULD GET ME BACK FOR THIS.

1/6/87 ASSIGNED FOR THE DAY TO THE JOINT FBI/NYPD JOINT TERRORIST TASK FORCE WITH FBI AGENT LARRY WACK AND DET. BRANDT OF THE NYPD.

FROM ABOUT 2/87 - 6/87 I WAS OUT OF WORK ON AN UNRELATED INJURY REQUIRING KNEE SURGERY. THIS IS WHY I WASN'T BOTHERED THEN.

6/23/87 I MET WITH AGAIN BARRY KUGEL EXECUTIVE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE CENTRAL SYNAGOGUE WHICH IS ONE OF THE MOST PRESTIGIOUS REFORM SYNAGOGUES IN NEW YORK. IT IS ALSO LOCATED WITH THE CONFINES OF THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT. MR. KUGEL SAID HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO ASSIST ME BY HAVING SOME PEOPLE TRY AND TALK WITH BOTH MY COMMANDING OFFICER OR THE CHIEF OF POLICE REGARDING MY BEING HARASSED.

7/87 MY LOCKER WAS ILLEGALLY OPENED AND SEVERAL ITEMS WERE STOLEN. AMONG SOME OF THEM WERE PHOTOS DEPICTING ME AND FELLOW POLICE OFFICERS SHOOTING VARIOUS WEAPONS ON A RIFLE RANGE. ADDITIONALLY THERE WERE PHOTOS WHERE I WAS TEACHING A YOUNG JEWISH BOY HOW TO SHOOT A HAND GUN. THE PHOTOS WERE COPIED AND DISTRIBUTED AROUND THE PRECINCT AND SOME WERE MARKED **"HYMIE'S HENCHMAN"**, **"SUPER-JEWBOY"**, AND **"GARY TRAINING FUTURE ASSASSINS."** THESE PHOTOS WERE HUNG UP IN THE PRECINCT BULLETIN BOARD BEHIND A GLASS CASING WHICH WERE MEANT TO HUMILIATE AND TO ILLUSTRATE THAT I WAS WAS TRAINING YOUNG TERRORISTS. I DEMANDING THE RETURN OF THESE PHOTOS AND GOT A FEW OF THEM RETURNED. COPIES OF THESE PHOTOS WERE SENT ANONYMOUSLY FROM SUPERVISORS FROM THE MTN PRECINCT TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT. IAD OPENED UP ANOTHER INTERNAL PROBE INTO MY PROFESSIONAL AND PRIVATE LIFE. ONCE AGAIN I CAME UNDER THE SCRUTINY OF AN ILLEGAL HARASSMENT CAMPAIGN DESIGNED TO TRY AND MAKE ME RESIGN.

7/87 CAPTAIN FITZGERALD REFUSED TO ENDORSE MY CAREER PATH APPLICATION WHICH IS NECESSARY TO BE ABLE TO APPLY FOR OTHER POSITIONS

IN THE NYPD. I APPEALED TO PAA JOE GARETT THE ADMINISTRATOR OF THE CAREER PATH PROGRAM. THE CAPTAIN WAS DISCRIMINATING AGAINST ME AS HE WAS ENDORSING MANY OTHER CANDIDATES WITH LESS QUALIFICATIONS THAN I HAD.

7/87 A SGT. WHO BECAME A FRIEND OF MINE APPROACHED ME AND TOLD ME TO BE CAREFUL. HE SAID THAT THERE WAS A SUPERVISOR'S MEETING WITH MOST OF THE SGTs AND LTS BY CAPTAIN FITZERGELD. THE COMMANDING OFFICER SAID DURING THE MEETING THAT MY NAME CAME UP. THE CAPTAIN ORDERED THE SUPERVISORS TO GIVE THAT "BEANY BOY COP" EXTRA SUPERVISION AND THAT THEY SHOULD "WRITE ME UP WITH COMMAND DISCIPLINES AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY". "WE WANT THAT JEW OFF THIS JOB" THE SGT CONTINUED THAT OTHER NAMES HAD BEEN MENTIONED IN THE PAST BUT HE WAS UPSET THAT MY NAME HAD BEEN SINGLED OUT SOLELY BECAUSE I WAS A PRACTICING ORTHODOX JEW. HE SAID HE WANTED TO SPEAK OUT BUT WAS AFRAID. THE SUPERVISORS WERE ALSO TOLD TO SPREAD THE WORD AROUND THE PRECINCT TO ALL THE COPS THAT "IT WOULD BE WISE FOR THEM TO STAY AWAY FROM MOSKOWITZ". I FELT THEY WERE TRYING TO ISOLATE ME IN THEIR FURTHER ATTEMPT TO PERSECUTE ME AND MAKE ME RESIGN.

8/3/87 STEVEN HIRSCH - UNITES STATES GOVERNMENT OFFICER AND ATTORNEY ASSIGNED TO THE PENTAGON FROM THE ARMED FORCES, WITH SPECIAL ASSIGNMENT TO THE NYPD OPERATIONS AND WORKING WITH THE UNITED STATES EMBASSY TO THE UNITED NATIONS CONFIRMED MY PROBLEM OF HARASSMENT AND THE FACT THAT THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS LOOKING FOR CAUSE FOR TRYING TO DISMISS ME. HIRSCH STATED HE KNEW THAT I

WAS BEING UNJUSTLY INVESTIGATED REGARDING THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE AND THAT I "SHOULD WATCH MY BACK." HE FELT IT WAS CLEARLY ANTI-SEMITIC. WE MET AT MOM'S BAGELS IN MID-TOWN. HIRSCH WAS AWARE OF THIS AS IT WAS HIS RESPONSIBILITY TO MEET WITH THE VARIOUS CHIEFS OF THE NYPD AND WITH THE COMMISSIONER. HIRSCH SUGGESTED THAT I KEEP A SEPARATE LOG BOOK OFF DUTY AS WELL AS THEY (NYPD) WOULD BE TRYING TO HURT ME ON AND OFF DUTY.

8/87 LT MCCAULEY GAVE ME A COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR NOT GETTING A HAIRCUT WHEN HE TOLD ME TO. HE TOLD AT 2300 HOURS AN HOUR BEFORE MIDNIGHT. HE SAID I SHOULD HAVE MY HAIRCUT BY THE NEXT MORNING WHEN I WAS SUPPOSE TO BE AT 0800. I TOLD HIM I COULDN'T FIND A BARBER SHOP OPEN IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT. HE WROTE ME UP ANYWAY.

8/87 WHILE BEING ASSIGNED TO POLICE HEADQUARTERS SGT HARPER, GAVE ME TWO COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR BEING UNSHAVEN AND HAVING A SPOT ON MY TIE.

8/4/87 I HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH APPLICANT INVESTIGATIONS. I HAD ALL THE REQUIREMENTS AND QUALIFICATIONS BUT DIDN'T OBTAIN THE POSITION.

8/18/87 I MET WITH PAUL GORMAN THE ASSISTANT TO DEPUTY COMMISSIONER HOLIDAY OF THE NYPD'S COMMUNITY AFFAIRS DIVISION. I PLEADED WITH HIM TO INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF TO THE COMMISSIONER REGARDING THE DISCRIMINATORY MEASURES I WAS RECEIVING. I ALSO MET WITH THE THEN

LT. MOORE AND LT. DOYLE OF YOUTH SERVICES TO ASSIST ME AS WELL.

8/18/87 I MET WITH CHASSIDIC COMMUNITY LEADERS RABBIS MANNY WEISER AND MOSHE SILBERSTEIN OF THE VOLUNTEER SHOMRIM PATROL IN WILLIAMSBURG.

THEY TOLD ME THAT THEY KNEW CHIEF JOHNSON THE CHIEF OF PATROL PERSONALLY AND THAT THEY THINK THEY COULD INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF TO REQUEST FROM THE CHIEF THAT HE SHOULD ORDER HIS MEN TO STOP HARASSING ME. THE RABBIS LATER TOLD ME THAT WHEN THEY APPROACHED CHIEF JOHNSON ABOUT ME HE WAS ANGRY SAYING THAT, "YOU SHOULD STAY CLEAR OF MOSKOWITZ.

HE IS IN A LOT OF TROUBLE. HE IS UNDER INVESTIGATION WHICH I CANNOT REVEAL TO YOU." THE RABBIS AT THIS POINT FELT THAT MY CLAIM OF ANTI-SEMITISM WAS UNFOUNDED BECAUSE I WASN'T TRANSFERRED OR PROMOTED BECAUSE OF PERSONALITY PROBLEMS. THIS COULDN'T HAVE BEEN THE CASE.

A CHIEF OF POLICE WOULD NEVER OR SHOULD NEVER REVEAL SUCH INFORMATION. ADDITIONALLY I WAS NEVER OFFICIALLY INFORMED THAT I WAS UNDER ANY INVESTIGATION.

8/18/87 I SPOKE WITH FBI AGENT LARRY WACK AND NYPD DET. BOB BRANDT OF THE FBI TERRORIST TASK FORCE REGARDING INDIVIDUALS THEY WERE INVESTIGATING WHOM I KNEW.

8/87 I MET WITH AGAIN DR. ALVIN SCHIFF EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE NY BOARD OF JEWISH EDUCATION (BJE) WHO ATTEMPTED TO ASSIST ME BY CALLING OTHER JEWISH LEADERS TO HELP ME WITH MY HARASSMENT PROBLEM. THE BJE IS LOCATED IN THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT.

8/87 I MET WITH RABBI RAPHAEL BUTLER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL CONFERENCE ON SYNAGOGUE YOUTH OF THE ORTHODOX UNION. HE WAS DISTURBED TO LEARN THAT THE EVENTS OF HARASSMENT I DESCRIBED TO HIM EARLIER HAD NOT SUBSIDED. HE SENT A LETTER ON MY BEHALF TO MR. MICHAEL MILLER EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL WHO MEETS WITH THE MAYOR AND THE POLICE COMMISSIONER REGULARLY FOR ASSISTANCE.

8/28/87 LARRY WACK OF THE FBI TERRORIST TASK FORCE CONTACTED ME REQUESTING ASSISTANCE REGARDING A PARTICULAR MATTER AS HE HAS DONE OFTEN IN THE PAST. ALTHOUGH IT WAS IN AN OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS ONE LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER TO ANOTHER, I BELIEVE HE WAS SECRETLY INVESTIGATING ME PERSONALLY IN THIS WAY. NYPD DET. BOB BRANDT ASSIGNED TO WORK WITH LARRY WACK ADVISED ME PRIVATELY, "COP TO COP", TO BE CAREFUL, IMPLYING THAT THEY (NYPD) ARE OUT TO "HURT ME" PROFESSIONALLY.

9/2/87 CO (COMMANDING OFFICER) FITZGERALD ONCE REFUSED TO SIGN MY TRANSFER PAPERS CITING A POOR SICK REPORT RECORD. THIS WAS ABSOLUTELY FALSE AS I HAD NEVER BEEN ON THE CHRONIC SICK LIST OF THE NYPD. THE COMMANDING OFFICER ALSO DENIED ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO GO INTO ANTI-CRIME, A PLAINCLOTHES UNIT THAT OPERATES FROM THE PRECINCT AND IS A STEPPING STONE TO OTHER CAREER PATH CHOICES.

9/3/87 I AM THE FOUNDER AND DIRECTOR OF THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE JEWISH POOR (NAJP). I HAD BEEN FIGHTING FOR FUNDING TO ASSIST

THE POOREST JEWS IN THE CITY. OUR CLIENTS LIVE IN THE WORST DETERIORATING NEIGHBORHOODS IN THE CITY SUCH AS THE SOUTH BRONX, HARLEM, BROWNSVILLE AND JAMAICA. I VOLUNTEER MUCH OF MY PERSONAL TIME TO RECRUIT AND TRAIN VOLUNTEERS, TO FEED THE ELDERLY AND TO BRING HEAT IN THE WINTER TO THE POOR INDIGENT JEWISH POPULATION.

AS I BEGAN REQUESTING MORE ASSISTANCE WITH MY POLICE JOB, THEREBY IRRITATING MANY APPOINTED OFFICIALS FROM THE HIGHER ECHELON OF CITY GOVERNMENT, I BEGAN EXPERIENCING INTENSE DIFFICULTIES IN MAINTAINING FUNDING REGARDING THE NAJP. COOPERATION WAS AT AN ALL TIME LOW BETWEEN THE NAJP AND THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY WHICH OVERSEES AND GRANTS FUNDING TO GRASSROOTS ORGANIZATIONS LIKE THE NAJP. I WAS CAUTIONED BY CARLOS DIAZ THEN ONE OF THE DIRECTORS OF THE SPECIAL GRANTS OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY (CDA) THAT SOME ONE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE HAD CALLED INTO HIS AGENCY AND SPOKE WITH HIS THEN COMMISSIONER ALVAREZ SAYING THAT "MOSKOWITZ IS A TROUBLE MAKER AND WE KNOW THAT THERE AREN'T REALLY POOR JEWS ANYWAY AND THAT HE IS TRYING TO GET OVER ON THE CITY THERE TOO."

THE NAJP AND I WERE SUDDENLY UNDER INVESTIGATION BY THE INSPECTOR GENERAL'S OFFICE FOR A MYRIAD CHARGES MOSTLY STEMMING FROM WHAT THEY CLAIMED WAS THAT I WAS ONLY ASSISTING JEWISH PEOPLE WITH GOVERNMENT MONEY AND NOT ALLOWING THE REST OF THE NEIGHBORHOOD WHICH WAS PREDOMINANTLY HISPANIC AND BLACK TO PARTAKE IN THE NAJP'S FOOD PROGRAM.

I EXPLAINED AT A HEARING THAT JEWISH PEOPLE HAVE RELIGIOUS REQUIREMENTS THAT THEY MUST EAT ONLY KOSHER FOOD. THE MINIMUM FOODS THAT NAJP WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN THROUGH DONATIONS WAS FROM KOSHER ESTABLISHMENTS AND ALL KOSHER FOODS MUST FIRST BE DISTRIBUTED TO JEWISH

PEOPLE AND ANY LEFTOVER WOULD GO TO EVERYONE ELSE. THE CHARGES AGAINST THE NAJP AND I WERE DISMISSED. HOWEVER I BELIEVE THAT IT WAS MOSTLY BECAUSE OF THE VINDICTIVE ANTI-SEMITIC BEHAVIOR OF THE NYPD WHO TRIED TO GET ME IN TROUBLE IN ANOTHER FORUM TO JUSTIFY THEIR ACTIONS IN TRYING TO FORCE ME TO RESIGN. ONLY AT THIS TIME THEY NOW HAVE INVOLVED ANOTHER CITY AGENCY WHICH IS SUPPOSE TO BE EXEMPT FROM THIS. I BELIEVE IT WAS A CONSCIOUS MOVE ON THE PART OF THE COMMISSIONER OF CDA TO ASSIST THE COMMISSIONER OF THE NYPD REGARDLESS OF THE FACT THAT THEY WOULD DESTROY THE ONLY SOURCE OF HELP TO THE POOR JEWS OF THESE NEIGHBORHOODS.

SUBSEQUENTLY EACH YEAR THE NAJP HAD CONTINUOUS FINANCIAL CUT BACKS UNTIL IT WAS FINALLY DE-FUNDED AFTER I WAS TERMINATED FROM THE NYPD.

9/7/87 I MET WITH CARDINAL O'CONNOR AND MONSIGNOR McCARTHY IN FRONT OF SAINT PATRICK'S CATHEDRAL WHERE I REQUESTED ASSISTANCE IN THE NYPD'S HARASSMENT OF MYSELF. THEY SAID THEY WOULD LOOK INTO IT.

9/17/87 I DISCUSSED MY DIFFICULTIES WITH LOU WISER FORMER NYPD DET/LT AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COUNCIL OF JEWISH CIVIL SERVANTS AND BOARD MEMBER OF THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY. HE TOLD ME THAT HE WOULD TRY AND HELP BUT "IS AFRAID TO. LIKE OTHER JEWISH LEADERS I DON'T TO MAKE WAVES AND ROCK THE BOAT OVER JUST YOUR SITUATION. WE HAVE TO SAVE THE FAVORS FOR WHEN WE REALLY NEED THEM." I ALSO REQUESTED HELP REGARDING THE LOSS OF FUNDING OF THE NAJP PROGRAM.

9/18/87 I MET WITH BERT SMITH A PROMINENT BLACK PHOTOGRAPHER WHO IS A SOCIAL ACTIVIST WITH CONNECTIONS INTO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE ACCOMPANIED ME ON PATROL AND SAW THE HARASSMENT I RECEIVED AND AS A RESULT ARRANGED FOR ME TO MEET PERSONALLY WITH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WILLHEMENA HOLIDAY DIRECTLY WHO IS THE THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OF THE NYPD.

9/22/87 I MET WITH DEPUTY COMMISSIONER WILLHEMENA HOLIDAY TOGETHER WITH MR. BERT SMITH. THIS COMMISSIONER IS A BLACK JEWISH WOMAN AND SHE TOLD ME THAT SHE WAS AWARE OF MY DISCRIMINATION PROBLEMS AND THAT IT WOULD BE DIFFICULT FOR HER TO HELP ME AT THIS TIME FOR POLITICAL REASONS. I TOLD HER THAT SHE COULD HAVE ME TRANSFERRED TO THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS DEPT. UNDER HER DIRECT SUPERVISION WITH NO PROBLEM.

AFTER I SAID, "YOUR ARE ONE OF THE COMMISSIONERS OVER THE CHIEFS OF POLICE". SHE RESPONDED THAT SHE STILL HAS TO ANSWER TO THE COMMISSIONER DIRECTLY AND THAT HE KNOWS OF MY CASE PERSONALLY AND THAT I MUST HAVE STEPPED ON SOMEBODY'S TOES FOR THEM TO WANT TO GET ME SO BAD. I TOLD HER I DIDN'T STEP ON ANYONE'S TOES AS I AM ONLY A PATROL POLICE OFFICER THE LOWEST RANK IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I TOLD HER THOROUGHLY OF ALL MY ANTI-SEMITIC TROUBLES AND SHE JUST TOLD ME TO TRY AND WEATHER IT OUT OR MAYBE I SHOULD CONSIDER ANOTHER LINE OF WORK. SHE ALSO SAID, "YOUR DAY WILL COME."

9/22/87 I MET WITH AGAIN MICHAEL MILLER EXEC. DIR. OF THE JCRC (JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL) AND DAVID POLLACK WHO IS THE POLICE LIAISON TO THE JCRC REGARDING MY PERSECUTION.

9/22/87 I ALSO MET WITH ATTORNEY GENERAL BOB ABRAMS REGARDING MY PERSECUTION. MOST OFFICIALS GAVE THE STANDARD LINE, "I'LL LOOK INTO AND SEE WHAT I CAN DO".

9/22/87 I MET WITH THE THEN CAPT. BATTISDA AT A DEMONSTRATION AND TOLD OF HIM OF MY PROBLEM. HE TOLD ME TO HANG IN THERE THAT MAYBE I CAN WORK FOR HIM TO ALLEVIATE MY DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEM.
(LATER ON I DID TRANSFER IN 9/89)

9/87 CO FITZGERALD APPROACHED ME TO WORK WITH THE SCHOOL LIAISON PROGRAM WHICH INCLUDED TEACHING A DAILY STREET LAW CLASS FOR ONE PERIOD AT PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL AND GRAPHICS COMMUNICATION HIGH SCHOOL. I WAS SELECTED FOR THIS ASSIGNMENT BECAUSE OF TROUBLE MOUNTING IN THE SCHOOLS AND BECAUSE OF MY YOUTH BACKGROUND EXPERTISE. HE PROMISED ME STEADY DAYS AND A PROMOTION TO THE ANTI-CRIME UNIT IF I HELP HIM OUT. THE CO SENT ME ALONE WITHOUT A PARTNER COMPLETELY DISREGARDING MY PERSONAL SAFETY. THIS PERHAPS IS THE BUSIEST AND MOST DANGEROUS POST IN THE PRECINCT. HE IGNORED REPEATED WARNINGS OF DANGER TO STUDENTS, TEACHERS, AND PEOPLE IN THE AREA WHICH AROSE FROM ETHNIC AND ORGANIZED CRIMINAL YOUTH GANGS. HE ORDERED ME NOT TO REPORT ETHNIC CRIMES, REFUSED AND FORBID ME TO ORGANIZE YOUTH COUNCILS TO DE-ESCALATE VIOLENCE AMONG THE ETHNIC STUDENTS EVEN ON MY OWN OFF-DUTY TIME. I SPOKE TO CO FITZGERALD SEVERAL TIMES REGARDING THE IMPENDING DANGER TO EVERYONE CONCERN. THERE WERE NUMEROUS NEWSPAPER AND TV STORIES ON THE VIOLENT CRIMES AT THE SCHOOL

AND THE LACK OF POLICE AND SECURITY TO COPE WITH IT. THE NY TIMES IN THEIR EDITORIAL EVEN SUGGESTED THAT WE BRING IN THE NATIONAL GUARD.

10/5/87 I GAVE A BIO-INTERVIEW TO A REPORTER FOR THE **LAW ENFORCEMENT NEWSPAPER** PRINTED FROM JOHN JAY COLLEGE OF CRIMINAL JUSTICE. I TOLD THEM EXPLICITLY OF HOW I WAS BEING PERSECUTED BUT REQUESTED SHE KEEPS THIS INFORMATION OUT OF THE PAPER BECAUSE IT WOULD HURT ME LATER ON EVEN WORSE.

10/6/87 RECEIVED AN ADDITIONAL TWO COMMAND DISCIPLINES FROM LT. MCCAULEY.

10/10/87 I MET WITH DR. KENNETH KELNER BOARD MEMBER OF THE ZIONIST ORGANIZATION OF AMERICA REGARDING THE POTENTIAL PLO (PALESTINE LIBERATION ORGANIZATION) TERRORIST PROBLEM HERE IN NEW YORK AND HOW THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS COVERING IT UP. DR. KELNER WAS EMBARKING ON A CAMPAIGN TO TRY AND EXPEL THE PLO FROM THEIR OFFICE WORKING, WITH THE JUSTICE DEPARTMENT AND OTHER UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. I GAVE INFORMATION TO DR. KELNER AND THE NYPD THAT THE PLO WAS ENGAGED IN OTHER CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES IN OUR COUNTRY AND THEIR POLITICAL IMMUNITY SHOULD BE FORFEITED.

10/13/87 I MET WITH NEW YORK STATE ASSEMBLYMAN ELLIOT ENGEL A PERSONAL ACQUAINTANCE OF MINE FROM THE BRONX REGARDING MY PERSECUTION.

10/13/87 I MET WITH AGAIN DAVID POLLACK OF THE JCRC AND WILLIAM

RAPFOGEL OF THE AMERICAN JEWISH CONGRESS ON MY PLIGHT SPECIFICALLY ON HOW IT RELATED TO AN INCIDENT WHERE I ARRESTED TWO EGYPTIANS BY THE EL AL OFFICER FOR GRAND LARCENY AUTO ON HOW IT RELATED TO POSSIBLE **PLO TERRORISM** HERE IN NEW YORK. SPECIFICALLY, I THOUGHT THE NYPD WAS COVERING A POTENTIALLY DANGEROUS SITUATION WHICH COULD HAVE DISASTROUS EFFECTS ON THE JEWISH COMMUNITY.

I ALSO DISCUSSED OTHER HARASSMENT WHICH BELIEVED TO BE ENHANCED CAUSING THE JEWISH POVERTY PROGRAM I STARTED TO SUFFER AS WELL.

10/17/87 I MET WITH **RABBI LENNY GUTMAN** RABBI OF THE MILLNERY SYNAGOGUE IN MID-TOWN NY REGARDING MY PERSECUTION. RABBI GUTMAN A PERSONAL FRIEND AND THE SENIOR LIAISON TO FORMER NEW YORK STATE ATTORNEY **RUDOLPH GUILIANI** WHO WAS AND WILL BE AGAIN THE REPUBLICAN CANDIDATE IN THE NYC MAYORAL RACE. I REQUESTED HIS ASSISTANCE IN ANY WAY REGARDING MY PERSECUTION AND IN FORCING THE NYPD TO PROTECT THE JEWISH COMMUNITY (AND NYC COMMUNITY) AT LARGE REGARDING A POTENTIALLY VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION REGARDING TERRORISM.

10/18/87 I BEGAN RECEIVING A SERIES OF HARASSING PHONE CALLS AT MY RESIDENCE OF BOTH AN ANTI-SEMITIC AND OBSCENE NATURE. I FILED A POLICE REPORT.

10/87I HAD APPLIED TO THE UNDERCOVER AND INVESTIGATOR PROGRAM OF THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU (OCCB). I WAS DENIED ENTRANCE INTO THIS UNIT. I WAS INTERVIEWED BY A PANEL HEADED BY CAPT. STEVE NASTA OF MANHATTAN SOUTH NARCOTICS (MSNA). I HAD PREVIOUSLY WORKED FOR

THE STEVE NASTA AS AN UNDERCOVER OFFICER. I HAD MORE EXPERIENCE, EXPERTISE, AND EDUCATION THAN MANY OF THE OFFICERS THAT I WAS COMPETING AGAINST. I BELIEVE THE CAPT. WAS ORDERED NOT TO ACCEPT ME. HE WAS BEEN PREVIOUSLY A FAIR AND DECENT SUPERVISOR.

10/29/87 I MET WITH JULIE FRANK SENIOR ASSISTANT TO ANDREW STEIN PRESIDENT OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF NEW YORK REGARDING MY HARASSMENT PROBLEM, PARTICULARLY AN IMMEDIATE PROBLEM WITH LT. McCAULEY. SHE LATER TOLD ME SHE COULDN'T HELP ME. IT IS POLITICALLY TOO DANGEROUS.

11/3/87 I MET WITH RABBI STURM, EXECUTIVE VICE PRESIDENT OF THE YOUNG ISRAEL NATIONAL COUNCIL THE LARGEST ORTHODOX SYNAGOGUE MOVEMENT'S IN AMERICA AND WITH ISSAC HAGGLER ALSO A DIRECTOR IN THIS JEWISH ORGANIZATION. I HAD MADE HIM AWARE PRIOR AND NOW PLEADED WITH HIM TO ASSIST ME IN STOPPING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FROM HARASSING ME AND IN DENYING PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES. HE TOLD ME HE WOULD ATTEMPT TO SPEAK WITH CHIEF JOHNSON. CHIEF JOHNSON CONTINUED TO TELL ALMOST EVERY PRIVATE AND PUBLIC OFFICIAL WHO CAME TO HIM ON MY BEHALF THAT I WAS, "A PROBLEM COP UNDER INVESTIGATION". HE CONTINUED TO INTIMIDATE THESE OFFICIALS THAT IF THEY WOULD PURSUE SPEAKING OUT ON MY BEHALF THAT IT WOULD, "DAMAGE THEIR SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS (NYPD) OFFICE."

11/87WHILE ASSIGNED TO PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL AND GRAPHICS COMMUNICATION THERE WAS DAILY VIOLENT BIAS CRIMES BEING COMMITTED BY DOMINICAN, PUERTO RICAN AND BLACK YOUTH GANGS AMONG THEMSELVES.

DI (DEPUTY INSPECTOR) FITZGERALD CAUTIONED ME NOT TO REPORT ANY BIAS CRIMES AS THEY WOULD EMBARRASS HIM AND THE NYPD AND CLEARLY EFFECT HIS PROMOTION. HE CONTINUED TO INSTRUCT ME TO FALSIFY THOSE "BIAS INCIDENTS" WHICH HAD HAPPENED AND LOG THEM AS OTHER CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES OR JUST AS A SOCIAL CONDITION. ACCORDING TO NYPD GUIDELINE EACH BIAS INCIDENT WAS SUPPOSE TO BE RECORDED IN THE YOUTH/BIAS LOG BOOK. THIS BEHAVIOR IS STANDARD PRACTICE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ESPECIALLY WHEN THEY WERE DEALING WITH BIAS CASES REGARDING THE JEWISH COMMUNITY WHICH I MADE SEVERAL COMPLAINTS ABOUT TO MY COMMANDING OFFICER.

11/87I REPORTED AN INTELLIGENCE REPORT TO MY CO REGARDING HAVING INFORMATION ON A BLACK GANG OF STUDENTS WORKING OUT OF PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL WHO HAD COMMITTED A SERIES OF ROBBERIES. I CAUGHT THESE STUDENTS WITH THE ACTUAL STOLEN MERCHANDISE WHICH MINIMALLY SUGGESTED THAT THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN CHARGED WITH CRIMINAL POSSESSION OF STOLEN PROPERTY. I WAS ORDERED TO FORGET THE CASE. I ARGUED WITH MY COMMANDING OFFICER AND WITH THE DETECTIVES OF THE 19TH PCT OVER WHERE THE STORE ROBBERIES HAD OCCURRED. AGAIN, POLITICAL MOTIVATIONS WERE FIRST CONSIDERED. MY DISAGREEMENT WITH THE DETECTIVES AND WITH COMMANDING OFFICER CAUSE ME TO RECEIVE MORE JOB RELATED HARASSMENT.

11/87SPOKE TO SGT. GALEA OF THE YOUTH GANG UNIT ON BIAS CASES AND CONTINUED REQUEST TO ENTER UNIT - HE INFORMED ME THAT AS MUCH AS HE NEEDED AND WANTED ME TO WORK FOR HIM, HE WAS ORDERED BY THE POLICE HIERARCHY NOT TO TAKE ME INTO HIS UNIT STATING THAT, "THEY DON'T TRUST ME".

12/87SGT. PUCCI REPRIMANDED ME THAT I WAS USING THE 10-85 CODE, WHICH IS A CALL FOR ASSISTANCE TOO OFTEN. I REPEATEDLY EXPLAINED THE POST THAT I HAD REQUIRES MORE POLICE MANPOWER AND THAT I NOR ANY OFFICER COULD POSSIBLY SEPARATE TENS OF KIDS FIGHTING ALONE WHICH HAPPENED OFTEN. THIS WAS A CHEAP WAY OF RECORDING IN HIS EVALUATION OF ME THAT I WAS NOT PROPERLY FIT TO WORK. SGT. PUCCI ALSO ISSUES A FEW COMMAND DISCIPLINES TO ME AS WELL.

12/18/87 WHILE WORKING ALONE AT THE PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL I RECEIVED VITAL INFORMATION THAT THERE WOULD VIOLENT BIAS YOUTH GANG TROUBLE BETWEEN A DOMINICAN AND A PUERTO RICAN GROUP OF STUDENTS. I PERSONALLY REPORTED THIS SEVERAL TIMES TO THE DESK OFFICER AND TO MY COMMANDING OFFICER. IN THE MORNING THE FIRST MAJOR OUTBREAK OF VIOLENCE WE HAD TO ARREST EIGHT STUDENTS FOR ARMED ASSAULTS IN THE CAFETERIA. I AGAIN PLEADED WITH MY SUPERIORS THAT IT WAS NOT SAFE FOR ANY POLICE OFFICER TO BE WORKING IN THAT SCHOOL ALONE ESPECIALLY WITH YOUTH GANG TENSIONS SO HIGH. LATER IN THE MORNING THERE WERE TWO OTHER INCIDENTS THAT BROKE AND WHEN I CALLED FOR ADDITIONAL POLICE OFFICERS I WAS JUST TOLD, "IF I CAN'T HANDLE THE JOB, I SHOULD QUIT".

THAT AFTERNOON THE WORST INCIDENT OCCURRED. WHILE I WAS ATTEMPTING TO PREVENT A STUDENT AND A SAFETY OFFICER FROM BEING ASSAULTED BY A GANG OF STUDENTS ON THE THIRD FLOOR I WAS PHYSICALLY ATTACKED BY OVER FORTY STUDENTS, ALL WHO PARTICIPATED IN THE MELEE THAT FOLLOWED. I WAS ASSAULTED WITH FLYING METAL CHAIRS WHICH DISLOCATED MY JAW CAUSING TO THIS DAY A CASE OF TMJ. I RECEIVED MULTIPLE

CONTUSIONS AND BRUISES FROM ARMED AND UNARMED ATTACKS. FINALLY I HAD TO CALL A 10-13 WHICH IS THE HIGHEST PRIORITY POLICE CALL FOR HELP OVER THE AIR. THIS IS THE FIRST AND ONLY TIME I HAD TO CALL A 10-13.

THERE WERE OVER TWENTY BOARD OF EDUCATION WITNESSES AND SCHOOL OFFICIALS WHO LOOKED ON HELPLESSLY BECAUSE THERE WAS A CITY WIDE MEETING ABOUT VIOLENCE IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOLS THAT DAY AT PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL. MY COMMANDING OFFICER ALONG WITH THIRTY OR SO OTHER POLICE OFFICER FINALLY RESPONDED AFTER I HAD THE SITUATION UNDER CONTROL ALONG WITH SEVERAL INJURIES. THE CO KNEW OF THE DANGERS OF THAT DAY AND PREVIOUSLY. HE PURPOSELY ALLOWED AND ORDERED ME TO WORK AND BE IN SUCH A DANGEROUS SITUATION ALONE. THIS WAS A CONTINUED EFFORT ON HIS AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S PART OF HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION TOWARDS ME. AGGRAVATING THE SITUATION WAS WHEN THE BOARD OF EDUCATION APPEALED TO THE CO REQUESTING THAT NO ARREST WERE TO BE MADE AS IT WOULD BECOME A MEDIA SPECTACLE AND EMBARRASS BOTH THE NYPD AND THE BOARD OF EDUCATION. NO ARRESTS WERE PERMITTED UNDER THE CO'S ORDERS. THIS WAS MADE AGAINST MY INSISTENCE AS ALL THE STUDENTS FIGHTING IN THE ROOM SHOULD HAVE BEEN ARRESTED FOR A MYRIAD OF CRIMINAL CHARGES. I WAS OUT ON LINE OF DUTY SICK LEAVE FOR TWO MONTHS AS A RESULT OF MY INJURIES AND SUBSEQUENT THERAPEUTIC TREATMENTS. PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL WAS SUCH A VIOLENT SCHOOL THAT THE NEW TIMES SAW FIT TO DO AN EDITORIAL ON IT 12/11/87 CALLING FOR THE NATIONAL GUARD TO BE CALLED INTO THAT SCHOOL TO GET IT UNDER CONTROL.

2/29/88 I RETURNED TO FULL DUTY AND WAS REMOVED PERMANENTLY FROM THE PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL ASSIGNMENT AND INSTEAD OF ENTERING THE PLAINCLOTHES ANTI-CRIME UNIT LIKE WAS PROMISED I WAS ORDERED INTO THE SUMMONS UNIT.

3/88 LT. O'DONNUE WARNED ME NOT TO HAVE ANYONE ELSE FROM OUTSIDE OR INSIDE THE POLICE DEPT. CALL ON MY BEHALF OR THINGS WILL GET MORE MISERABLE FOR ME. HE CONTINUED, SAYING, "YOU PEOPLE THINK YOU ARE HOTSHIT, CONTROLLING EVERYTHING," BUT YOU ARE JUST CRAP HERE". HE CONTINUED WARNING ABOUT SENDING IN SUGGESTED LAW ENFORCEMENT AND SOCIAL PROPOSALS TO THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OFFICER AT HEADQUARTERS. I HAD DESIGNED A NEW PROGRAM CALLED, SENIOR CITIZENS OUTREACH UNIT TEAM, (SCOUT) WHICH WAS DETAILED HOW THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES COULD MERGE WITH THE NYPD INTO FORMING A DISTRICT UNIT TO DELIVER SOCIAL SERVICE AND PROTECTION FOR THE ELDERLY IN POOR NEIGHBORHOODS. THE LT. GRABBED ME BY MY COLLAR AND TOLD ME, "WE DON'T PAY YOU TO THINK", UNDERSTAND?

4/18/88 LT. McCAULEY CAUSED ME ACUTE HUMILIATION AS HE PURPOSELY WENT OUT OF HIS WAY AND SELECTIVELY, WITHOUT CAUSE, CHECKED UP ON ME TO SEE IF I HAD SHOWED UP AT THE QUEENS COURT REGARDING AN OFF-DUTY ARMED ROBBERY ARREST. THIS IS NOT PROCEDURALLY DONE. THIS WAS DONE TO CREATE SUSPICION AMONG OTHER SUPERVISORS TOWARD ME BY ONE OF MY SUPERVISORS PUBLICLY QUESTIONING MY CHARACTER AND INTEGRITY TO OTHER RANKING OFFICERS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THIS CONTINUED TO CREATE AN INTOLERABLE WORKING CONDITION AS THE LT. WAS

ATTEMPTING TO DEMONSTRATE AND DOCUMENT THAT I CAN NOT BE TRUSTED.

5/5/88 I RECEIVED ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR LATENESS FOR COMING FIVE MINUTES LATE WHILE THE FOLLOWING OTHER OFFICERS CAME IN MUCH LATER: POS McGUINISS, SOTO, RODRIGUEZ, DANNY, BRENAN,

THERE WAS USUALLY SELECTIVE ENFORCEMENT OF THE MOST MINUTE PATROL VIOLATIONS AGAINST ME WHILE NOT BEING ENFORCED AGAINST MY COLLEAGUES.

5/11/88 THE SAME AS ABOVE - THE OTHER POLICE OFFICERS WERE LYN, CARUSO, TAYLOR, FEELEY, BRENAN, LARSEN. THERE ARE MANY EXAMPLES OF THIS.

5/88 I CALLED AND MADE AN APPOINTMENT WITH A DETECTIVE IN THE NYPD'S EEOC UNIT. (EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION). THERE IS A RECORD OF THIS MEETING AS I SIGNED IN THE LOG AT THEIR COMMAND.

I MET WITH AN WHITE MALE DETECTIVE APPROXIMATELY 5'10"/175LBS NAMED DET. DONNELLY. I DISCUSSED MY INTOLERABLE SITUATION FOR OVER TWO HOURS. THE DETECTIVE TOLD ME STRAIGHT OUT THAT THIS UNIT IS JUST A "WHITEWASH". "WE HAVE TO HAVE THIS UNIT IN EXISTENCE FOR THE PUBLIC.

THE REALITY IS IF ANY POLICE OFFICER REALLY ATTEMPTS TO PRESS A COMPLAINT AGAINST THEIR SUPERVISORS OR OTHER COPS THEREBY PUBLICLY EMBARRASSING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THEN THEIR CAREER IS REALLY FINISHED." HE FURTHER TOLD ME IF I MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST INDIVIDUALS THEN THE INVESTIGATION COULD TAKE YEARS AND THAT THOUSANDS OF RECORDS WOULD HAVE TO BE SUBPOENAED AND READ BEFORE ANY

DETERMINATION WOULD BE MADE. THIS DETECTIVE DID EVERYTHING IN HIS POWER TO CONVINC ME NOT TO PUT ANYTHING IN WRITING. HE TOLD ME, "ONCE I GO ON RECORD THERE WILL NEVER BE A WAY TO RESOLVE THESE ISSUES FAVORABLY TOWARDS ME". I WAS INTIMIDATED AT THE TIME AND TORE UP MY INITIAL COMPLAINT.

LT MCCAULEY

THROUGHOUT THE TIME WE WORKED TOGETHER HE PERSONALLY WAS USED AS THE MAIN INSTRUMENT OF THE COMMANDING OFFICER AGAINST ME, SOMETHING FOR WHICH HE ENJOYED PERSONALLY. WHEN HE FIRST MET ME HE ASKED ME, "IF I HAD PERMISSION TO WEAR MY SKULLCAP ON DUTY." WHEN I SAID, "YES" HE TOLD ME, "HE DIDN'T BELIEVE ME AND WOULD CHECK UP ON IT."

1. HE WOULD SUMMON ME TO APPEAR BEFORE HIM IN FRONT OF THE MTN PRECINCT DESK TO PERSONALLY GIVE ME MUSTER (A PERSONAL INSPECTION) ESPECIALLY AFTER MY SQUAD WAS INSPECTED AFTER ROLL CALL. THIS WAS DONE TO HUMILIATE ME IN FRONT OF MY COLLEAGUES AND AND IN FRONT OF CIVILIANS WHO WOULD ENTER THE PCT. ON PERSONAL BUSINESS.

2. DURING THESE "PERSONAL INSPECTIONS" HE WOULD OFTEN PURPOSELY BLOW HIS CIGAR SMOKE IN MY FACE TAUNTING ME TO TRY AND BE INSUBORDINATE TO HIM. I JUST HELD MY BREATH AND MY TEMPER.

3. HE TRIED TO TAKE MY LEGITIMATE MEAL PERIODS AWAY SAYING IT IS A PRIVILEGE NOT A RIGHT OF AN OFFICER TO A MEAL PERIOD.

4. SOMETIMES WHEN I WAS EARLY FOR ROLL CALL AND WOULD STEP OUTSIDE THE MUSTER BRIEFLY TO TALK WITH A FRIEND OF PICK UP A POLICE RADIO HE WOULD PURPOSELY LOCK ME OUT OF THE MUSTER ROOM AND BEGIN ROLL CALL SO HE COULD REPORT THAT I WAS CONTINUOUSLY LATE.

5. HE WOULD CONSTANTLY QUESTION MY EVERY MOVE AND CHALLENGE ME IN FRONT OF PEOPLE OF WHAT MY ASSIGNMENT WAS. HE WOULD STATE PUBLICLY THAT HE THOUGHT I WAS LYING AND THAT HE WOULD HAVE TO CHECK IT OUT THEREBY HUMILIATING ME IN FRONT OF MY PEERS AND WITH THE PEOPLE THAT I WAS WORKING WITH BEFORE. IF I WAS TO BE IN COURT, HE WOULD CALL THE COURT TO SEE IF I GOT THERE, AGAIN EMBARRASSING ME WITH OTHER MEMBERS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

6. HE WOULD OFTEN ATTEMPT TO CALL ME ON THE POLICE RADIO WITH FULL KNOWLEDGE THAT I WOULD BE UNABLE TO ANSWER BECAUSE I HAD AN ASSIGNMENT WHERE A PERIOD OF THE DAY I WASN'T RESPONSIBLE TO MONITOR THE RADIO. SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF THIS WAS WHEN I WAS ASSIGNED TO THE SCHOOL LIAISON UNIT I WAS PLACED IN THE NOTORIOUS PARK WEST HIGH SCHOOL. PART OF MY RESPONSIBILITIES WAS TO TEACH A LAW CLASS DAILY FOR 45 MINUTES IN THE MORNING TO AN ELEVENTH GRADE CLASS. THE LT. WOULD CALL ME ON THE RADIO TRYING TO EMBARRASS ME AND GET INTO TROUBLE. HE WOULD USE THIS TO TELL OTHER SUPERVISORS THAT I WASN'T PAYING ATTENTION TO THE RADIO WHICH IS AN INFRACTION.

6/6/88 LT. MCCAULEY GAVE COMMAND DISCIPLINE TO ME FOR NOT COMPLYING WITH HIS ORDER OF GETTING A HAIRCUT. I WAS INFORMED BY THE LT. ON 6/6/88 AT 2200 HOURS TO CUT MY HAIR BY MY NEXT TOUR OF DUTY. I HAD TO RETURN TO WORK THE NEXT DAY ON 6/7/88 AT 0930. I INFORMED THE LT. ON THE FIRST AVAILABLE DAY OFF WHICH WAS IN ANOTHER COUPLE OF DAYS I WOULD TAKE A HAIRCUT AS IT PHYSICALLY IMPOSSIBLE TO TAKE A HAIRCUT IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT.

6/88 I SENT IN A FEW OF MY PROPOSALS TO THE POLICE EMPLOYEE SUGGESTION PROGRAM UPON THEIR REQUEST REGARDING BOTH THE SCOUT PROGRAMS - (USING THE NYPD TO WORK CLOSELY WITH BOTH THE DEPT. OF SOCIAL SERVICES AND THE BOARD OF EDUCATION AND REGARDING THE ALERT (AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT RESTRAINT TRAINING) FOR PRECINCT TRAINING THROUGHOUT THE CITY ON NON-LETHAL RESTRAINING TECHNIQUES. AGAIN I WAS TOLD BY **LT. O'DONNUE** OF MTN TO STOP SENDING IN SUGGESTIONS AS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT DOESN'T PAY ME TO THINK".

6/88 DI FITZGERALD ORDERS ME TO WORK OVERTIME WRITING RED LIGHT SUMMONSES AS THE PRECINCT QUOTA WAS VERY LOW. THE OVERTIME WAS TO BE TAKEN IN TIME AS OPPOSED TO CASH. THE CO TOLD ME THAT, "IF I WERE TO WRITE OVER TWENTY RED LIGHT MOVING VIOLATIONS FOR HIM, THEN I WOULD BE OFF HIS SHIT-LIST AND AFTER THE SUMMER HE WOULD GRANT A TRANSFER OUTSIDE THE PRECINCT WHEREVER I WANTED TO GO. RED-LIGHT OVER-TIME WAS GIVEN ON A FEDERAL GRANT AND EACH COMMANDING OFFICER IN THE BOROUGH HAD A QUOTA TO MEET. THE PROGRAM WAS DESIGNED TO CURTAIL TRAFFIC ACCIDENTS IN PRE-DESIGNATED HIGH PRONE AREAS BUT THE REALITY WAS THE SUMMONSES WERE GIVEN OUT AT THE EASIEST LOCATIONS OF THE PRECINCT AND NOT AT THE HIGH ACCIDENT AREAS.

7/88 MY LOCKER WAS AGAIN SCRIBBLED WITH A NAZI SWASTIKA AND ANTI-SEMITIC STATEMENTS.

7/8/88 FRIDAY - SGT. EXAM VIDEO - AS IN THE LAST TEST I WAS GIVEN THE EXAM A DAY PRIOR TO THE OTHER COPS AND MANY COPS KNEW THIS. THEY

REQUESTED AND SOME DEMANDED I FRAUDULENTLY INFORM THEM OF THE TESTING QUESTIONS SO THEY WOULD BE PREPARED. I TOLD ALL OF THEM I WOULDN'T DO IT FOR ETHICAL REASONS AND THAT I WOULD NEVER RISK MY JOB. THEY AGAIN ACCUSED MY OF NOT BEING "A LOYAL COP", AND THAT "YOU'RE JUST A DIRTY JEW INTERESTED IN FUCKING CATHOLICS".

7/13/88 WHILE WORKING A 4X12 TOUR AN UNNAMED POLICE OFFICER REFUSED TO GIVE ME A RIDE IN HIS RADIO MOTOR PATROL VEHICLE TO RELIEVE ANOTHER OFFICER. HE SAID HE DOESN'T TAKE JEWS". WHEN I INSISTED THAT I WAS ORDERED TO GO IN HIS VEHICLE THIS SAME OFFICER LATER THREATENED AND MENACED ME WITH PHYSICAL BODILY HARM BY DRAWING HIS NIGHTSTICK AND WAVING IN MY FACE TELLING ME HOW HE WAS GOING TO USE IT AGAINST ME. THIS TOOK PLACE AT FIRST IN THE STREET IN FRONT OF THE PRECINCT AND LATER IN THE LOCKER ROOM. **PO MARK** WAS A WITNESS TO THIS.

7/18/88 DI FITZGERALD ADJUDICATED SIX COMMAND DISCIPLINES I HAD RECEIVED BY SUPERVISORS WORKING UNDER HIM. HIS DISCIPLINARY MEASURES WAS UNWARRANTED AND MOST SEVERE AS HE TOOK FIVE VACATION DAYS FROM ME. HE THREATENED THAT IF I WOULD USE MY RIGHT TO CHALLENGE HIM BY GOING TO THE BOARD THAT MY CAREER WOULD BE OVER AS HE WOULD HAVE ME KICKED OUT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

FOR PERIODS 7/19/88 - 8/1/89

MY MEMO BOOKS WERE CONFISCATED BY INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION AND THEY REFUSED FOR 18 MONTHS TO RETURN THEM TO ME. AFTER REPEATED REQUESTS ONLY SOME OF THEM WERE RETURNED FOR A FEW PORTIONS MISSING SPANNING

SEVERAL IMPORTANT WEEKS.

8/88 I WAS ASSIGNED TO WORK AT A CHASIDIC RALLY PROTESTING A GAY RIGHTS ISSUE AT CITY HALL. CHASSIDIM WERE MARCHING ACROSS THE BRIDGE TO CITY HALL. AT THE MUSTER, THE INSPECTOR IN CHARGE OF THE DETAIL (ASSIGNMENT) WAS MAKING FUN OF THE CHASSIDIM SAYING THAT, "THEY ALL LOOK ALIKE; LIKE PENGUINS, AND THAT ALL THE COPS SHOULD BE CAREFUL AS EVERYBODY KNOWS THEY ALL HAVE CONNECTIONS". HE CONTINUED SAYING BE CAREFUL NOT TO TOUCH THE WOMEN BECAUSE THEY DON'T BELIEVE IN TOUCHING EXCEPT WITH THEIR HUSBANDS, SO IF YOU DO YOU WILL HAVE TO BECOME A JEW AND MARRY HER OR YOU WILL CREATE A RIOT AND THEY WILL GO CRAZY". I TOOK OFFENSE BY THESE REMARKS AND PROTESTED THIS AT FIRST TO MY IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR AT THE RALLY. HE TOLD ME NOT CREATE ANY TROUBLE FOR MYSELF AND FOR HIM. I WANTED TO CONFRONT THE INSPECTOR AND HAVE HIM APOLOGIZE. THE SUPERVISOR WOULD NOT ALLOW ME TO GO TO THE INSPECTOR AND HE TOLD ME TO GET BACK WITH THE RALLY FORTHWITH AND SHUT-UP OR HE WOULD GIVE ME A COMMAND DISCIPLINE. I INFORMED SEVERAL PEOPLE OUTSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OF THIS INCIDENT.

8/88 ALL ROLL CALL PERSONNEL AND POLICE OFFICERS ASSIGNED TO THE MTN PCT. KNEW THAT I WAS ALWAYS ALLOWED TO BE OFF ON THE SABBATH FOR RELIGIOUS REASONS. YET THE SAME HARASSING PRACTICE BEGAN EMERGING AGAIN. AT TIMES, ROLL CALL WAS ORDERED TO PUT ME ON THE SATURDAY ROLL CALL TO SHOW UP FOR WORK. SOMETIMES IT WAS JUST AN ERROR. REGARDLESS. ON A SATURDAY AT ROLL CALL, A **SGT. McCORMICK** READ MY NAME. THERE WAS NO RESPONSE AS I WAS OFF. THE SGT. KNEW I WAS OFF

BECAUSE HE HAD PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE OF ME BEING A SABBATH OBSERVER AND ADDITIONALLY SEVERAL OFFICERS TOLD HIM SO AT ROLL CALL. THE SGT. LISTED ME AS AWOL (ABSENCE WITHOUT OFFICIAL LEAVE) AND WHEN I RETURNED HE GAVE ME AN OFFICIAL REPRIMAND, ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE. LATER ON I WENT IN FRONT OF THE COMMANDING OFFICER AND I WAS WARNED AND ADMONISHED WITH NO TIME BEING TAKEN AWAY. YET IN MY SERVICE FILE IT IS DAMAGING TO MY RECORD. THIS WAS DONE WITHOUT MERIT AND CAUSE AND OUT OF MALICE.

8/88 I MET AGAIN WITH HERB BLOCK, DAVID DINKINS' ASSISTANT, OVER MY DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS AS I WAS HAVING. HE DISCUSSED WITH ME BEING ASSISTANT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF YOUTH AFFAIRS IF DAVID DINKINS WINS THE NYC MAYORAL ELECTION. HE TOLD ME THE FACT THAT I WAS JEWISH WOULD ACTUALLY HELP ME IN THIS APPOINTMENT AS HE WILL HAVE TO APPOINTMENT HIS QUOTA OF ORTHODOX JEWS TO TOP POSTS. HE MENTIONED THE IMPORTANCE OF ME NOT, "MAKING ANY NOISE" WHICH WOULD HURT MY CHANCES OF ANY FUTURE APPOINTED POSITIONS IN GOVERNMENT.

10/88 I WAS ORDERED ONCE AGAIN BY DET. SWEENEY OF THE NYPD PUBLIC INFORMATION BUREAU TO ALLOW A JOURNALIST TO ACCOMPANY ME ON FOOT PATROL TO TALK ABOUT WHAT'S IT LIKE TO BE A JEWISH COP IN NY. THIS WAS FOR THE INTERNATIONAL BNAI BRITH MAGAZINE AND THE JOURNALIST WAS RUTH MASON. THE PURPOSE OF THIS WAS TO PROMOTE GOOD WILL TO JEWISH PEOPLE AS LONG AS I DIDN'T TELL THE TRUTH OF WHAT IS REALLY GOING ON IN THE NYPD AND WITH ITS TRUE RELATIONS WITH THE JEWISH COMMUNITY. REGARDLESS, I REQUESTED NOT TO DO THE INTERVIEW AS EVEN WITH GOOD

PUBLICITY IT HAS ALWAYS AFFECTED ME IN A NEGATIVE WAY AT WORK AFTERWARDS WHERE I SUFFERED MORE DISCRIMINATORY MEASURES. I REQUESTED THEY FIND ANOTHER TOKEN JEWISH COP. THEY SAID NO.

WHEN THE REPORTER FIRST CAME TO THE MTN PRECINCT TO MEET ME LT. McCAULY GREETED HER AS HE WAS ON THE SUPERVISOR ON THE DESK.

AFTER SHE HAD STATED HER PURPOSE HE ASKED WHY SHE WOULD WANT TO DO A STORY ON SUCH A TERRIBLE COP? THE LT. THEN CAME OVER TO ME ASKING, **"WHAT MAKES YOU SUCH A SPECIAL COP? DO YOU THINK YOU ARE BETTER THAN THE REST OF US? JEWS ARE NOT BETTER COPS! JEWS MAY CONTROL THE CITY BUT I CONTROL YOU"**.

11/88I APPROACHED CAPT. McCORMICK, THE NEW CO OF THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT IN REQUESTING HIS SIGNATURE PERMITTING ME TO TRANSFER TO ANY UNIT WHICH WOULD ENABLE ME TO FURTHER MY CAREER AND STOP BEING HARASSED. THE NEW COMMANDING OFFICER TOLD ME THAT HE WAS INSTRUCTED AND TOLD FROM DOWNTOWN AND FROM THE PREVIOUS CO THAT "I WAS A TROUBLE MAKER AND WAS CONSTANTLY CALLING HIS JEW FRIENDS FROM DOWNTOWN TO GIVE HIM SPECIAL TREATMENT." HE CONTINUED STATING THAT HE KNEW I WAS BEING HARASSED BECAUSE OF WHO I AM (POINTING TO THE YARMULKE ON MY HEAD -BEING AN ORTHODOX JEW) AND NORMALLY HE COULDN'T PUT HIS NAME ON MY TRANSFER OR HE WOULD GET INTO TROUBLE FOR NOT ABIDING BY THE ADMINISTRATION WISHES. HOWEVER HE TOLD HE THAT HE WOULD DO THE RIGHT THING IF I WOULD NOT GIVE ANYONE THE EXCUSE TO GET ME INTO ANY TROUBLE FOR THREE MONTHS. HE DID THIS BECAUSE HE SAID HE DIDN'T HAVE TO BE AFRAID OF THE ADMINISTRATION BECAUSE HE WAS RETIRING ON A DISABILITY PENSION WITHIN THE NEXT FEW MONTHS AND WOULD SURELY NOT BE PROMOTED.

AFTER THREE MONTHS THE CO SIGNED MY TRANSFER WITH A NICE RECOMMENDATION. EVEN WITH THE SIGNED RECOMMENDATION FROM THE NEW CO AND A HOST OF UNITS WHO WERE INTERESTED IN ME WORKING FOR THEM SOMEONE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS STILL BLOCKING MY CAREER ADVANCEMENT. CAPT. McCORMICK SIGNED MY TRANSFER PAPERS WITH A RECOMMENDATION TO ENTER AN INVESTIGATIVE FIELD.

11/2/88 I WAS REQUESTED TO MEET WITH LARRY WACK, A SUPERVISOR WITH THE JOINT FBI-NYPD TERRORIST TASK FORCE WHILE I WAS WORKING AS A POLICE OFFICER. HE TOLD HE THOUGHT I COULD BE OF ASSISTANCE AND THAT HIS UNIT MAY WANT ME TO WORK FOR THEM. AT THIS MEETING, SUBTLE ACCUSATIONS WERE MADE AT ME THAT I WAS POSSIBLY SECRETLY WORKING FOR A JEWISH UNDERGROUND TERRORIST ORGANIZATION. HE WOULD SAY THINGS LIKE, "WORD ON THE STREETS IS THAT YOU ARE THE HEAD GUY OR COMMANDER OF THE THE JEWISH TERRORIST RING HERE". I PROTESTED VEHEMENTLY AND ASKED HIM IF HE WAS TRYING TO INTERROGATE AS A POSSIBLE SUSPECT IN ANY CRIME.

I TOLD THIS SUPERVISOR THAT THIS WAS A CHEAP SHOT AT TRYING TO IMPLICATE ME OR TRYING TO CAST ME IN A BAD LIGHT SO THAT THE NYPD WOULD HAVE SOME JUSTIFICATION IN TERMINATING ME. I TOLD HIM THAT I WOULD COOPERATE WITH ANY CRIMINAL INVESTIGATION.

HE WAS IN INVESTIGATING A HOMICIDE AND A COUPLE OF BOMBINGS WHICH HE BELIEVED WAS THE WORK OF A SMALL JEWISH GROUP.

HE BEGAN ASKING ME MANY QUESTIONS ABOUT MANY PEOPLE IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY ESPECIALLY COMMUNITY LEADERS. HE WAS INSINUATING THAT MANY JEWISH PEOPLE WERE CRIMINALLY INVOLVED IN ILLEGALITIES. I PROTESTED AS I THOUGHT HE WAS WRONG AND THAT HE OVER-STEPPED HIS AUTHORITY IN

LOOKING INTO SO MANY INDIVIDUAL JEWISH CITIZENS. I TOLD HIM IF SOME OF THE JEWISH LEADERS OR CIVIL RIGHTS GROUPS FOUND OUT WHAT HE WAS DOING IT COULD BE CONSTRUED AS CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS BY BOTH THE NYPD AND THE FBI ON SEVERAL LEVELS. HE TOLD ME HE WASN'T CONCERNED BECAUSE THEY WERE SEVERAL JEWISH LEADERS WHO THE FBI WAS ALSO UNOFFICIALLY INVESTIGATING REGARDING CRIMINAL CHARGES OF KIDNAPPING AND UNLAWFUL IMPRISONMENT INVOLVING JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS WHO WERE INVOLVED WITH ANTI-CULT AND CHRISTIAN MISSIONARY WORK. HE CONTINUED TELLING ME THAT "WE KNOW ALL ABOUT THE FORMER JJ HECHT (NOW DECEASED) AND SON RABBI SHEA HECHT FROM THE LUBAVITCHERS AND WE KNOW ABOUT THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL. WE AREN'T WORRIED ABOUT THEM.

IN FACT WE KNOW ALL ABOUT MALCOLM HOENLEIN'S PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL INDISCRETIONS." LARRY WACK CONTINUED DEMANDING THAT HE NEEDED MORE INFORMATION AND HE FELT I AND OTHER JEWISH PEOPLE WERE HOLDING BACK FROM HIM INFORMATION WHICH COULD HELP HIM IN HIS INVESTIGATION. HE ALSO SAID THAT IF HE DOESN'T GET THE COOPERATION THAT IS NEEDED FROM MYSELF AND OTHERS IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY THEN HE WOULD PURSUE THE OTHER UNOFFICIAL INVESTIGATION REGARDING THE ANTI-CULT KIDNAPPING AS A LEVERAGE BARGAINING CHIP AGAINST MYSELF AND JEWISH LEADERS. HE THEN BEGAN SHOWING ME PHOTOS OF SEVERAL JEWISH PEOPLE (SOME OF WHOM I RECOGNIZED AND KNEW PERSONALLY) IN OBVIOUS EMBARRASSING SITUATIONS. SOME OF THESE COMPROMISING PHOTOS WERE OF JEWISH MEN SEXUALLY DEPICTED AS TRANSVESTITES, DRESSING IN WOMAN'S CLOTHING WHICH IS AGAINST JEWISH LAW. I BECAME INFURIATED AND I TOLD HIM HE WAS TRYING TO BLACKMAIL JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS AND THEIR LEADERS INTO GETTING INFORMATION WHICH THEY PROBABLY DON'T EVEN KNOW. FURTHER

I STATED, THAT I WAS A NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICER AND I HAVE AFFIRMED TO UPHOLD THE LAW OF THE LAND FOR ALL GROUPS OF THIS COUNTRY EQUALLY.

I TOLD HIM I RESENTED HIS ACCUSATIONS AND THAT HE SHOULD FILE DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING AGAINST ME OR CRIMINAL CHARGES IF HE HAS ANY PROBABLE CAUSE AGAINST ME.

HE BEGAN CALLING ME AT MY HOME ASKING ME MANY QUESTIONS ABOUT MANY PEOPLE IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY ESPECIALLY COMMUNITY LEADERS.

I TOLD HIM THAT IF HE WANTED MY ASSISTANCE HE SHOULD CALL ME AT WORK OR REASSIGN ME TO HIS UNIT TEMPORARILY AND I WOULD TALK TO HIM ALL DAY. I CONTINUED TELLING HIM I DO NOT WANT TO BE CALLED AT MY HOME AS I FIND IT INTRUSIVE. A FEW DAYS LATER I MET WITH LT. JOHN LOCKE OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND WHO IS ASSIGNED TO WORK WITH LARRY WACK. I MET LT. LOCKE WHILE HE WAS OFF-DUTY WORKING AS A SECURITY GUARD IN A MID-TOWN HOTEL. HE TOLD ME TO BE CAREFUL THAT LARRY WACK COULD AND WOULD MAKE ME TROUBLE. HE SAID, "MANY POLICE OFFICIALS DON'T LIKE SMART-ASS PROUD JEWS", WHICH I WAS CONSIDERED BECAUSE I ALWAYS WORE MY YARMULKE AND SPOKE OUT ON ISSUES. THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE IS AN EASY WAY TO GET ME OFF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE CONTINUED THEY ARE CIRCULATING RUMORS ABOUT ME BEING A SECURITY RISK. THEY ALLOWED RUMORS TO PURPOSELY GO AROUND ABOUT ME RUNNING A JEWISH SUBVERSIVE ORGANIZATION. HE TOLD ME THEY KNEW EVERY TIME I TRAVELED TO ISRAEL AND WHERE I WENT. HE ALSO TOLD ME THAT HE THE POLICE BRASS RECEIVED A TIP THAT I HAD ILLEGAL WEAPONS BRAZENLY DISPLAYED ON MY WALL IN MY HOME. I TOLD HIM I AM A MARTIAL ARTS INSTRUCTOR AND I HAVE ORIENTAL WEAPONS (WHICH DO NOT INCLUDE ANY FIREARMS) AT MY HOME AND IN THE CLUB WHERE I WAS TEACHING AND THAT

IT WAS ALL LEGAL. I TOLD HIM THAT THEY COULD INVESTIGATE ALL THEY WANT BECAUSE I AM NOT DOING ANYTHING WRONG. HE SAID IT DIDN'T MATTER. IF THEY WANT YOU OUT THEY WILL EVENTUALLY GET YOU.

I ALSO ARGUED WITH LARRY WACK WITH HIS METHOD OF TRYING TO SOLICIT INFORMATION FROM JEWISH CITIZENS. HE WOULD HARASS JEWISH KIDS BY FRIGHTENING THEM AND THEIR PARENTS. HE WOULD WOULD TELEPHONE STUDENTS WHO HE WOULD REMOTELY SUSPECT MAY KNOW SOMETHING AT MID-NIGHT AND STATE TO THE ANSWERING PARENT, "THIS IS THE FBI, DO YOU HAVE A SON/DAUGHTER ___ AND IS HE/SHE HOME NOW? THE PARENTS FELT TERRORIZED.

1/89 I HAD CONFIDED MUCH IN DET. MORTY DZGANSKY WHO NOW WAS ASSIGNED TO THE MTN PRECINCT DETECTIVE UNIT, REGARDING THE GREAT DIFFICULTIES I WAS HAVING BECAUSE OF ANTI-SEMITISM IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. MORTY, WHO PROFESSED TO BE AN ORTHODOX JEW AND WHOSE FATHER IS A RABBI BECAME A COP A COUPLE OF YEARS AFTER ME. HE HAD CONFIDED IN ME ABOUT MANY ANTI-SEMITIC SITUATIONS WHICH HE HAD EXPERIENCED BUT IT WAS NOWHERE NEAR THE SAME PROPORTIONS AS I HAD ENCOUNTERED FOR I PHYSICALLY WORE A YARMULKE AND HE DID NOT. THIS WAS A SOURCE OF CONTENTION AMONG MANY SUPERVISORS. HE ONLY COULD RECOMMEND THAT I TAKE OFF MY YARMULKE LIKE HE HAD. (HE GAINED HIS DETECTIVE STATUS BECAUSE HE WAS ONE OF A FEW JEWISH COPS WHO SPOKE ENOUGH HEBREW TO WORK ON A DRUG CASE INVOLVING ISRAELIS. THIS WAS THE CASE WHICH I HAD TURNED DOWN PREVIOUSLY AND FOR WHICH I WAS DISCRIMINATED AGAINST. BEFORE THIS ASSIGNMENT MORTY WAS A SUMMONS COP AND HE HAD TOLD ME FOR THE LONGEST TIME THAT HE TOO WAS EXPERIENCING MUCH DISCRIMINATION AS HE COULDN'T TRANSFER OUT OF HIS SUMMONS UNIT

PREVIOUSLY.

MORTY HAD INFORMED ME THAT HE WAS HAVING SOME FINANCIAL DIFFICULTIES AND ASKED ME IF I KNEW OF ANY EXTRA WORK. I TOLD HIM ONCE IN AWHILE I HEAR OF SOME EXTRA SECURITY WORK. HE SAID HE WAS INTERESTED SO I ARRANGED FOR HIM TO WORK OFF-DUTY (WHICH IS PERMISSIBLE) WHICH HE LATER DECLINED FOR NO REASON GIVEN.

1/17/89 I HAD AN INTERVIEW FOR ANOTHER POSITION WITH THE POLICE ACADEMY WITH MR. KOLNICKER TO TRAIN POLICE OFFICERS IN SOCIAL SCIENCES.

DESPITE THE FACT THAT I WAS APPARENTLY QUALIFIED AND THAT THERE WAS A SHORTAGE OF PEOPLE APPROPRIATE FOR THESE POSITIONS I DIDN'T RECEIVE THIS AS WELL. AT ONE POINT THEY TAKING ROOKIE COPS TO TEACH AT THE POLICE ACADEMY.

1/25/89 INTERNAL AFFAIRS OPENED UP ANOTHER INVESTIGATION BASED ON WHAT THEY CLAIM WAS AN ANONYMOUS PHONE CALL THAT I WAS DOING PRIVATE SECURITY WORK WHILE ON DUTY. THE CASE IF UNSUBSTANTIATED IS SUPPOSED TO BE CLOSED OUT IN TWO MONTHS YET IT REMAINED OPENED INDEFINITELY AND WAS CONTINUED WITH ANOTHER TEAM IN 10/10/89. SO TWO IAD TEAMS WERE INVESTIGATING ME FOR THE SAME REASON OUT OF THE SAME OFFICE AND THEY HAD TESTIFIED LATER AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING THAT EACH TEAM STUMBLED ON EACH OTHER IN THE FIELD FOLLOWING ME.

2/89 OVER THE NEXT FEW MONTHS I RECEIVED INTENSE HARASSMENT THROUGH MY JOB ASSIGNMENTS. I WAS A VETERAN POLICE OFFICER AND YET RECEIVED "PUNITIVE" POSTS IN AND OUTSIDE THE PRECINCT. I WAS CONTINUALLY BEING ASSIGNED TO "FIXER POSTS", WHICH MEANT GUARDING FOREIGN CONSULATES

AND THEIR BUSINESSES, PRISONERS IN HOSPITALS, DEAD BODIES, AND GUARDING THE PRIVATE POLICE CARS OF THE INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS IN THE PRECINCT. THE FIXER POST MEANT THAT ONE USUALLY HAD TO STAND OUTSIDE IN THE COLD OR HEAT ALL DAY AND THE OFFICER COULD NOT LEAVE OR MOVE AROUND UNLESS THERE WAS A RELIEF. OFTEN I WAS DENIED THE BASIC NECESSITIES AS IN RELIEFS TO USE THE TOILET OR OFTEN I WAS DENIED MY MEAL TIME. IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE THAT THESE POSTS ARE USUALLY ASSIGNED TO ROOKIE POLICE OFFICERS OR ARE USED FOR PUNITIVE REASONS TO VETERANS.

4/18/89 I HAD AN INTERVIEW TO WORK ONCE AGAIN WITH THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU IN THE NARCOTICS SECTION. MY INTERVIEW WAS WITH THE THEN CAPTAIN NASTA. I HAD THE SENIORITY AND THE EXPERIENCE TO OBTAIN THIS POSITION. HOWEVER I WAS DENIED. THE CAPTAIN WAS PERHAPS THE FAIREST MAN I HAVE MET IN THE NYPD. I BELIEVE THE DISCRIMINATION CAME FROM THE HIERARCHY IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

5/12/89 I MADE AN ARREST FRIDAY EARLY AFTERNOON. ONCE THE EMERGENCY WAS OVER AND I HAD FILLED OUT THE PAPERWORK I REQUESTED TO LEAVE AS MY TOUR OF DUTY WAS OVER AND I NEEDED TO GET HOME SHORTLY AS THE SABBATH WAS COMMENCING AT SUNDOWN AND I BEING AN ORTHODOX JEW CANNOT WORK ON THE SABBATH. IT IS COMMON PRACTICE IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO ASSIGN OFFICERS TO FINISH PROCESSING ARRESTS THAT OTHER OFFICERS BEGAN. I HAD EXPLAINED THIS TO SGT. MAZELLI WHO DIDN'T WANT TO ASSIGN ANOTHER OFFICER. I WAS ORDERED TO STAY WITH THE ARREST AND THEN COME TO WORK ON THE SABBATH THE NEXT DAY TO MEET WITH THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S

OFFICER OVER THE CASE. I EXPLAINED I COULD NOT COME IN ON THE SABBATH UNLESS THERE WAS AN EMERGENCY CONDITION. THE SGT. THEN CALLED LT. FREUND, THE SUPERVISING OFFICER WHO TOLD HIM AT FIRST THAT ANOTHER OFFICER WOULD BE ASSIGNED TO THE CASE UNTIL HE HEARD THE ORIGINAL OFFICER WAS ME. THE LT. CHANGED HIS MIND AND ORDERED ME TO CONTINUE TO PROCESS THE ARREST AND TO SHOW UP TO WORK ON THE SABBATH. I EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I WILL FINISH UP THE ARREST NOW BUT I WOULD NOT SHOW ON THE SABBATH. THE LT. TOLD ME THAT I WOULD BE SUSPENDED IF I DIDN'T SHOW FOR BEING AWOL AND FOR INSUBORDINATION. HE CONTINUED THAT HE IS A PERSONAL FRIEND OF THE POLICE CHAPLAIN RABBI KASS AND THE RABBI HIMSELF WOULD UNDERSTAND AND THAT HE KNOWS OF THE TIMES WHEN THE RABBI HIMSELF HAS COME IN ON SATURDAYS. I TOLD THE LT. I WAS NOT AWARE OF THE POLICE CHAPLAIN COMING INTO ANY SITUATION ON THE SABBATH HOWEVER I EXPLAINED THAT I AM AN ORTHODOX JEW WHO FOLLOWS A MORE STRICTER CODE OF JEWISH LAW THEN THE POLICE CHAPLIN WHO IS A CONSERVATIVE JEWISH RABBI. I DIDN'T SHOW UP AND THE LT. TRIED TO WRITE ME UP ISSUING A COMMAND DISCIPLINE BUT DIDN'T AFTER I MADE SEVERAL COMPLAINTS ON HIS BEHAVIOR.

5/89 I MET WITH SIMULTANEOUSLY WITH BOTH DR. HAROLD JACOBS, BOARD MEMBER OF THE CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK/PRESIDENT OF THE YOUNG ISRAEL OF THE NATIONAL COUNCIL AND WITH PROFESSOR GERALD LYNCH, PRESIDENT OF JOHN JAY COLLEGE OVER THE DISCRIMINATION I WAS RECEIVING. BOTH HAD TOLD ME THEY WOULD LOOK INTO IT.

5/10/89 I RECEIVED A COMMAND DISCIPLINE FROM A SUPERVISOR AT ONE

POLICE PLAZA FOR HAVING "A DIRTY SPOT ON MY TIE" AND "NOT HAVING SHAVED CLOSE ENOUGH". I LOST VACATION TIME. I PROTESTED AGAIN. I NEVER HEARD OF ANY COP RECEIVING THIS DISCIPLINARY MEASURE.

5/89 I MET WITH GREG FAWCELL AND LATER WITH HERBERT BLOCH, BOTH WHO WORKED FOR THE DEFENDANT DAVID DINKINS THEN THE BOROUGH PRESIDENT OF MANHATTAN. HERBERT BLOCH WAS DAVID DINKIN'S LIAISON PERSON TO THE JEWISH COMMUNITY AND SERVES CURRENTLY IN THE SAME CAPACITY UNDER THE NOW MAYOR DINKINS. I EXPLAINED TO BOTH OF THEM IN GREAT DETAIL OF THE ANTI-SEMITISM I WAS PERSONALLY EXPERIENCING AND OF THE ANTI-SEMITISM MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS EXHIBITING TO MANY JEWISH CITIZENS IN NEW YORK. HERBERT BLOCH PROMISED THEN TO ASSIST ME AND FURTHER REQUESTED ME TO HELP IN THE MAYORAL CAMPAIGN. I WAS TOLD THAT IF I HELP IN THE CAMPAIGN THROUGH ARTICLES THAT I COULD WRITE WHICH WOULD APPEAR IN THE JEWISH PRESS THAT I COULD BE UP FOR A VERY IMPORTANT POSITION IN THE NEXT CITY'S ADMINISTRATION EITHER IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE DIVISION OR WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES. SPECIFICALLY, AT A LATER DATE I WAS TOLD BY MR. BLOCH THAT BECAUSE OF MY OTHER CAREER WORKING WITH YOUTH AND POVERTY THAT HE COULD SEE TO IT THAT I WOULD RECEIVE THE POSITION AS DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF YOUTH AFFAIRS. HE CONTINUED TO EXPRESS THAT THIS COULDN'T BE POSSIBLE IF I BROUGHT A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THE CITY OF NEW YORK AS IT COULD BECOME A SCANDAL AND THEN HE COULDN'T HELP ME. I HAD MET WITH DAVID DINKINS PERSONALLY AND HE WROTE ME A PERSONAL REFERENCE LETTER AND HE SENT ANOTHER ONE TO THE THEN POLICE COMMISSIONER BEN WARD.

6/89 I MET WITH MY DIRECT SUPERVISOR THEN SGT. KARPEN WHO IS ALSO JEWISH AND A MEMBER OF THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY. I INFORMED HIM OF MY DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING BECAUSE I WAS AN ORTHODOX JEW. HE REFUSED TO HELP ME BECAUSE HE HIMSELF WAS UNDER THE COMMAND INFLUENCE AND WAS FEARFUL FOR HE COULD GET HURT PROFESSIONALLY IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IF HE IS SEEN VIOLATING THE "UNWRITTEN CODE OF CONDUCT" WHICH IS NOT TO GO AGAINST YOUR SUPERVISORS EVEN IF THEY ARE WRONG. HE TOO ADVISED NOT TO MAKE A WRITTEN COMPLAINT TELLING ME THAT IT COULD HURT OTHER JEWISH COPS IN THE DEPARTMENT.

6/89 I CALLED SGT. JERRY MINES OF THE EARLY INTERVENTION UNIT AND AN OFFICER IN THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY. HE CALLED SGT. GORTA, THE ADMINISTRATIVE SGT. IN THE MTN PCT AS TO WHY I WAS GETTING THESE ASSIGNMENTS CONSIDERING THAT I WAS A VETERAN POLICE OFFICER. SGT. GORTA'S RESPONSE AS WAS REPORTED TO ME BY SGT MINES WAS THAT, "MOSKOWITZ WAS ACTING LIKE A BABY, ALWAYS CRYING ABOUT HIS CONDITIONS AND THAT SUPERVISORS IN THE PRECINCT RESENTED IT AND WOULD CONTINUE THE TREATMENT UNTIL HE STOPS WHINING".

6/89 I REQUESTED ALL MY PERSONAL POLICE LOG MEMO BOOK ENTRIES FROM THE CORPORATION COUNCIL AND WAS SENT ALL THAT I REQUESTED EXCEPT FOR THE CONSPICUOUSLY MISSING MEMO ENTRIES FROM 6/19/89-7/18/89 BOTH SIDES AND 7/19/89-7/27/89 WHICH IS THE CRUCIAL MONTH WHERE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT DERIVES SOME OF THEIR WORST TRUMPED UP CHARGES AGAINST ME AND THIS IS THE PERIOD WHERE THEY HARASSED ME THE MOST BY FOLLOWING

ME AROUND WITH OVER SEVEN FULL-TIME INTERNAL AFFAIRS INVESTIGATORS AND BY SUBJECTING ME TO A PUBLIC BODY AND PERSONAL SEARCH IN THE STREET WHILE I WAS IN UNIFORM IN FRONT OF THE PEOPLE THAT I WORK FOR AND WITH.

6/27/89 I MADE AN OFFICIAL REPORT TO THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU AS ADVISED BY MY SUPERIORS, WHICH HANDLES PROSTITUTION AND NARCOTICS. I SPOKE WITH INSPECTOR BIEHLER THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE UNIT REGARDING INFORMATION OF CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES OF PROSTITUTION AND NARCOTICS GOING ON AT THE PRESTIGIOUS BROKERAGE FIRM OF GOLDMAN SACH AND COMPANY SPECIFICALLY BY ONE OF THEIR SENIOR PARTNERS, LEW EISENBERG, WHO WAS LATER DISMISSED FROM HIS FIRM. I RECEIVED THIS INFORMATION FROM KATHY ABRAHAM WHO WAS AT THE TIME A PERSONAL FRIEND AND SHE INFORMED ME OF THESE ACTIVITIES BECAUSE LEW EISENBERG HER FORMER BOSS AND FORMER SEXUAL PARTNER WAS NOW HARASSING AND THREATENING HER.

6/28/89 I MET WITH EISENBERG ON HIS INSISTENCE TO TELL HIM TO STAY AWAY FROM KATHY ABRAHAM. HE THOUGHT I WAS INVESTIGATING HIM REGARDING HIS COMPANY AS OTHER PARTNERS WERE UNDER INVESTIGATIONS FOR INSIDER TRADING AND OTHER VIOLATIONS. IT IS FROM THIS MEETING THAT HE LATER CLAIMED THAT I WAS TRYING TO EXTORT MONEY FROM HIM CLAIMING THAT I WOULD REVEAL HIS SEXUAL RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS SECRETARY TO HIS WIFE IF HE DOESN'T GIVE ME A SPECIFIC AMOUNT OF MONEY. JUST THE DAY PRIOR HE AND HIS COMPANY WAS THE SUPPOSED FOCUS OF AN INTENSE INVESTIGATION WHICH WAS TO BE MOUNTED, ONLY WHICH NEVER DID. YET HIS CHARGES WERE

MONTHS LATER LOOKED INTO SERIOUSLY AGAINST ME. THIS CHARGE WAS TOTALLY FALSE AND WITHOUT ANY MERIT AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT USED THIS MAIN CHARGE AT A LATER POINT AS A VEHICLE TO SUCCESSFULLY TERMINATE FROM THE DEPARTMENT.

6/29/89 I RECORDED PHONE CONVERSATIONS WITH EISENBERG AND LATER TURNED THEM INTO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR CRIMINAL PROSECUTION OF EISENBERG REGARDING HIS HARASSING AND THREATENING OF MYSELF.

7/6/89 I SPOKE TO LT. WALSH ASSIGNED BY THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU TO INVESTIGATE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST GOLDMAN SACHS AND CO. AND LEWIS EISENBERG. WE SPOKE A FEW TIMES BY PHONE AND HE WANTED TO INTERVIEW ME IN PERSON BUT CLAIMED THINGS WERE BUSY WITH EVERYONE ON VACATION. NO INVESTIGATION HAPPENED SUSPICIOUSLY AND THE CASE WAS CLOSED OUT. I BELIEVE THE USUAL LEAK OCCURRED AND GOLDMAN SACHS FOUND OUT ABOUT THE POTENTIAL PUBLIC RELATIONS AND BUSINESS PROBLEM THEY WOULD INCUR IF A SCANDAL LIKE PROSTITUTION AND NARCOTICS WERE OCCURRING RIGHT IN THE BUILDINGS OF THEIR FIRM.

7/10/89 I RECORDED AN AUDIO CONVERSATION BETWEEN EISENBERG AND MYSELF AND LATER ENTERED IT INTO EVIDENCE AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.

I SPECIFICALLY CALL TO ATTENTION THE FACT THAT EISENBERG APOLOGIZES TO ME FOR TRYING TO ACCUSE ME OF EXTORTION WHICH LATER I WAS FOUND GUILTY IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS

7/11/89 EISENBERG CALLS ME AND AGAIN I RECORD THE CONVERSATION

7/11/89 UNKNOWN TO ME AT THE TIME INTERNAL AFFAIRS OPENS UP A SECOND INVESTIGATION INTO ME REGARDING MY ALLEGED ILLEGALLY DOING SECURITY BUSINESS WHILE BEING ON DUTY. FOUR MORE INVESTIGATORS WERE ASSIGNED TO THIS ASSIGNMENT WHILE THE OTHER THREE INVESTIGATORS WERE STILL ASSIGNED TO MY CASE FROM THE ORIGINAL SAME ALLEGATION. IN SEVEN MONTHS IAD COULDN'T SUBSTANTIATE ANY ALLEGATIONS BECAUSE I WASN'T DOING ANYTHING ILLEGAL OR VIOLATING ANY PATROL REGULATIONS REGARDING DOING ANY SECURITY BUSINESS. YET IT NEVER OCCURRED TO THE FIRST TEAM OF INVESTIGATORS THAT PROBABLY SOME ONE WHO DISLIKES ME INTENSELY CALLED UP ANONYMOUSLY TO TRY AND GET INTO TROUBLE UNLESS THEY THEMSELVES (IAD) SET THIS THING UP FROM THE START WHICH HAS OCCURRED IN THE PAST. THIS IS SIMILAR TO HOW COPS WOULD OFTEN MAKE ANONYMOUS PHONE CALLS TO THE 911 OPERATOR SO THEY CAN OBTAIN THE "PROBABLE CAUSE TO ILLEGALLY SEARCH PEOPLE OR PREMISES", VIOLATING OTHER PEOPLE'S CIVIL RIGHTS. WHILE I WORKED IN NARCOTICS EVERY SO OFTEN IF AN INVESTIGATOR WANTED TO SEARCH SOMEBODY ON A HUNCH OR HE/SHE WAS LOOKING FOR SOME EXTRA OVERTIME THEY WOULD HAVE TO JUSTIFY WHY THEY STOPPED AND SEARCHED SOME POOR SOUL. SO WHAT INVESTIGATORS WOULD DO IS CALL UP 911 AND DISGUISE THEIR VOICES AND TELL THE 911 OPERATOR THAT SUCH AND SUCH A MAN APPEARS TO HAVE A GUN OR SOMETHING, HE IS TAKING MONEY FROM PEOPLE ON THE STREET AND THEN HANG UP. SECONDS LATER CENTRAL CALLS A LOCAL POLICE UNIFORM UNIT IN THAT PRECINCT TO RESPOND. SUDDENLY THE NARCOTICS DETECTIVES COME ON THE AIR ADVISING CENTRAL THAT THEY WILL HANDLE THE SITUATION AND THAT ALL UNIFORM PERSONNEL SHOULD STAY

AWAY. NOW THEY HAVE JUSTIFICATION TO "LEGALLY" SEARCH THE SUSPECTED PERPETRATOR.

THE CHIEF PURPOSE OF THE MOLLINS CORRUPTION COMMISSION PROBES IS TO INVESTIGATE THE ABUSES AND THE CORRUPTION OF THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT. OFTEN IAD WOULD INVESTIGATE COPS LIKE MYSELF FOR POLITICAL REASONS ACTING AS AGENTS TO HARASS COPS WHO THE POLICE BRASS HATE.

ADDITIONALLY IT IS ALLEGED THAT IAD OFFICIALS CLOSE THEIR EYES TO REAL HARD CORE CORRUPTION BECAUSE IT IS BELIEVED THAT PEOPLE IN IAD WERE CORRUPT AND POSSIBLY WORKING WITH SOME ORGANIZED CRIME FAMILIES AND WORSE THAT THE POLICE BRASS WOULD SUPPRESS INVESTIGATIONS IF THEY THOUGHT IT WOULD PUBLICLY EMBARRASS THE DEPARTMENT WHERE POLICE OFFICIALS COULD LOSE THEIR STANDING OR THEIR JOBS.

7/13/89-7/18/89

A. I SPOKE WITH CAPT. McCORMICK REGARDING MY WORKING WITH THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU.

B. I SPOKE AT LENGTH TO LT. WITTIG THE INTEGRITY CONTROL OFFICER REGARDING MY PROBLEM WITH EISENBERG. HE ADVISED MY TO TAKE OUT A CRIMINAL SUMMONS OF HARASSMENT AGAINST HIM, AND AN ORDER OF PROTECTION WHICH I DID. LT. WITTIG WAS NOT PERMITTED BY THE HEARING OFFICER TO COME AND TESTIFY ON MY BEHALF TO THESE FACTS.

C. I SPOKE WITH DET. BOB CHUNG OF THE MTN PRECINCT FOR ADVICE. HE ADVISED ME TO CALL IAD ANONYMOUSLY WHICH I DID.

D. I CALLED IAD ANONYMOUSLY AND RECEIVED A LOG NUMBER AFTER SPEAKING AT LENGTH TO A FEMALE SGT. AT THE ACTION DESK REGARDING THE EISENBERG FABRICATED CHARGES. BOTH IAD AND MYSELF HAVE A COPY OF THE TAPE RECORDED CONVERSATION.

7/19/89 I WAS STOPPED WHILE ON DUTY AND FORCIBLY SEARCHED IN THE STREET WHILE IN UNIFORM AT W. 43RD ST AND TENTH AVE. IAD OFFICERS **DET. HARDICK AND SGT. SACKS** PHYSICALLY VIOLATED MY RIGHTS BY SEARCHING MY PERSON BY TOUCHING ME ABOUT THE BODY AND BY PUTTING THEIR HANDS IN MY POCKETS. I RESISTED AND PROTESTED AT FIRST STATING THAT I WOULD COOPERATE BUT INSISTED THAT ANY SEARCH OCCURS IN A PRIVATE AREA OFF THE STREETS AS TO NOT CAUSE ME ANY EMBARRASSMENT WHERE I WORK AND TO NOT HUMILIATE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ANY FURTHER. I WAS BEING THREATENED AND COERCED THAT IF I WOULDN'T COMPLY THEN THEY WOULD HAVE ME SUSPENDED AND ARRESTED RIGHT HERE ON THE STREET. AFTER I WAS TAKEN BACK TO THE PRECINCT AND SEARCHED FURTHER IN FRONT OF WITNESSES WHO HAVE TESTIFIED THAT ALL I WAS CARRYING WAS MY CONTRACTS LAW BOOK AND A BOOK IN MY BACK POCKET ENTITLED, "UNDERSTANDING YOUTH GANGS."

7/20/89 IT WAS NO COINCIDENCE THAT LATER ON IT WAS SGT. HERER WHO ERRONEOUSLY REPORTED THAT I MAY BE CONDUCTING ILLEGAL BUSINESS IN THE MTN PRECINCT. HE ACTUALLY CONTACTED THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION DIRECTLY WITH NO EVIDENCE TO SUBSTANTIATE SUCH A CLAIM. IN HIS LETTER IT JUST STATES IT IS HEARSAY WITHOUT MENTIONING ANYONE'S NAMES. I BELIEVE THIS WAS DONE TO ATTEMPT TO SUBSTANTIATE THE INITIAL ILLEGAL

INTERNAL AFFAIRS INVESTIGATION REGARDING ME.

7/89 THE DEPUTY COMMISSION OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS (DCCA) WAS ORDERED BY THE FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER BEN WARD AFTER RECEIVING RECOMMENDATION LETTER FROM DAVID DINKINS TO INTERVIEW ME REGARDING A FEW PROPOSALS I SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE IN RESTRUCTURING VARIOUS UNITS IN DCCA AND ADDING NEW ONES. I MET WITH SGT. RAY MITCHELL AND I ALSO TOLD HIM IN DETAIL OF MY DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS AND REQUESTED HIS ASSISTANCE.

8/1/89 I WAS INTERROGATED BY THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT BY DET. HARDICK AND LT. CASSIDY.

8/2/89 I RECEIVED ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE FROM THE NEW COMMANDING OFFICER. HE CONFISCATED 4 HOURS OFF MY VACATION TIME.

8/3/89 I WAS INTERVIEWED FOR OTHER POSITIONS IN THE NYPD

A. SPECDA - SPECIAL DRUG PREVENTION PROGRAM WORKING WITH KIDS IN THE PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM. THE INTERVIEWING LT. TOLD ME NO COMMAND WOULD TOUCH ME UNTIL THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS CLOUD STOPS FOLLOWING ME.

B. SPRING 3100 - THE POLICE MAGAZINE WAS SEEKING POLICE OFFICERS WHO COULD WRITE AND WHO HAVE BEEN PUBLISHED BEFORE. I WAS HIGHLY RECOMMENDED AND THEY NEEDED THE PERSONNEL YET WAS NOT TAKEN AFTER BEING INTERVIEWED BY SGT. GRECO.

C. DCCA DEPUTY COMMISSION ON COMMUNITY AFFAIRS - INTERVIEWED BY SGT. MITCHELL. THE SGT. WAS ORDERED TO INTERVIEW ME REGARDING

MY 3 PROPOSALS I HAD DEVELOPED FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ON MY OWN TIME REGARDING THE SCOUT PROGRAMS. (SENIOR CITIZENS OUTREACH UNIT TEAM AND STUDENT COMMUNITY OUTREACH UNIT TRAINING AND THE AMERICAN LAW ENFORCEMENT RESTRAINT TRAINING (ALERT) PROGRAM.

NEW YORK CITY MAYOR DINKINS, THEN MANHATTAN BOROUGH PRESIDENT READ THE PROPOSALS AND THOUGHT THESE WERE INNOVATIVE IDEAS AND THOUGHT I SHOULD BE WORKING IN THE COMMUNITY AFFAIRS DIVISION. HE SENT A LETTER TO THIS EFFECT TO THE POLICE COMMISSIONER AND TO THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER ON COMMUNITY AFFAIRS SUGGESTING THEY INTERVIEW TO SEE IF THEY COULD INCORPORATE MY IDEAS INTO THEIR UNIT. NATURALLY THEY INTERVIEWED ME LIKE THEY HAD TO. I DID NOT GET THE POSITION.

8/14/89 WHILE BEING FORCED TO WORK AT POLICE HEADQUARTERS GUARDING THE BUILDING, I MET AN OLD ACQUAINTANCE, INSPECTOR BATTISTA WHO WAS THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE MANHATTAN SECTION OF THE COURT DIVISION OF THE NYPD. THE INSPECTOR WAS AN ATTORNEY AS WELL AND HE LIKED THE IDEA THAT I TOO WAS IN LAW SCHOOL AT THE TIME. I TOLD HIM WHAT WAS HAPPENING AND ADVISED ME THAT I SHOULD MAKE AN APPLICATION TO COME AND WORK FOR HIM. WHILE IT IS NOT THE BEST IN THE NYPD, HE TOLD ME UNDER HIS COMMAND NO ONE WOULD HARASS ME AND THAT WITHIN SIX MONTHS HE WOULD WRITE ME A NICE TRANSFER RECOMMENDATION TO ANY WHERE I WANT TO GO. I TOLD HIM I WAS APPREHENSIVE THAT POLICE HEADQUARTERS WOULD REJECT ANY TRANSFER ON MY BEHALF REGARDLESS. THE INSPECTOR TOLD ME THAT HIS COMMAND IS USED AS A DUMPING GROUND FOR COPS WHO GET INTO TROUBLE AND THAT HE HAS COPS WORKING FOR HIM WHO WERE FACING CRIMINAL CHARGES AS WELL.

8/15/89 KATHY ABRAHAM SERVES EISENBERG WITH CRIMINAL SUMMONS OF SEXUAL ABUSE AND HARASSMENT.

8/16/89 I MET WITH POLICE OFFICER DONNELLY AND A COUPLE OF OTHER DETECTIVES FROM THE NYPD'S EEOC UNIT (EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION). THEY REMEMBERED ME FROM LAST YEAR'S APPOINTMENT. I TOLD THEM THINGS HAVE GOTTEN MUCH WORSE AND THAT I NEED THEIR ASSISTANCE. HE HE ENCOURAGED ME TO HOLD OFF FILING AT THIS TIME BECAUSE IT WOULDN'T BE IN MY INTEREST.

8/21/89 I, ACCOMPANIED BY BY TWO PLAINCLOTHES OFFICERS OF THE FIRST PRECINCT, ONE BEING A SUPERVISOR SERVED EISENBERG WITH A CRIMINAL AGGRAVATED AND HARASSMENT SUMMONSES AND AN ORDER OF PROTECTION AS DIRECTED BY MY SUPERVISING LT.

8/89 TOWARDS THE END OF THE MONTH KEREN BEAR A REPORTER WITH THE WALL STREET LETTER CONTACTED ME REGARDING THE CRIMINAL SUMMONSES AGAINST EISENBERG. BEAR HAD TOLD ME THAT WHEN EISENBERG FOUND OUT THAT THIS WILL BE MADE PUBLIC THEN EISENBERG TOLD BEAR THAT HE WOULD BE FILING FORMAL CHARGES (NOT ANONYMOUS) AGAINST ME WITH THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT.

8/27/89 I AM OFFICIALLY TRANSFERRED TO THE MANHATTAN CRIMINAL COURT DIVISION FROM THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT AT MY REQUEST.

8/27/89 I BECAME VERY ILL WITH WHAT DOCTORS BELIEVED WAS LYME

DISEASE. I HAD FEVERS REACHING TO 105 DEGREES AND WAS TAKEN TO THE HOSPITAL BY AMBULANCE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE NIGHT. I WAS ALSO SUFFERING FROM INTENSE HEADACHES AND UNDER MEDICAL OBSERVATION GOING BACK A FEW MONTHS AND WAS BEING TREATED THAT SUMMER FOR ULCERS AND STRESS.

WHILE UNDER SEDATION I HAD A FAMILY MEMBER CALL IN TO WORK ON MY BEHALF STATING THAT I WAS REPORTING SICK AND COULD NOT COME TO WORK.

THE POLICE DEPARTMENT MAINTAINS A COMPUTER FILE OF ALL COPS CALLS IN SICK DURING THEIR CAREERS. AN OFFICER CAN GO OUT SICK UP TO FOUR TIMES WITHIN A ONE YEAR PERIOD. THERE IS NO PRESCRIBED LIMIT FOR EACH TIME AS LONG AS THE OFFICER CHECKS IN AND VISITS THE POLICE DOCTOR TO VERIFY THE ILLNESS OR INJURY. IF AN OFFICER ABUSES THIS THEN HE/SHE IS PLACE ON ONE OF THE CHRONIC SICK LISTS ACCORDINGLY TO SEVERITY.

WHEN AN OFFICER IS ON SICK REPORT THEN HE MUST STAY HOME AT ALL TIMES UNLESS HE IS GIVEN A PASS TO LEAVE THE HOUSE WHICH IS USUALLY FOR FOUR HOURS TO DO PERSONAL CHORES AND TO EXERCISE. IF HE MUST LEAVE ADDITIONALLY HE MUST CALL IN THE SICK DESK AND STATE WHERE HE IS GOING AND THEN CALL IN WHEN HE RETURNS. THE HEALTH SERVICE SECTION OF THE NYPD IS RESPONSIBLE TO MONITOR OFFICERS OUT SICK. IT IS NOT THE POLICY OF HEALTH SERVICES TO CHECK UP ON COPS BY PHONE OR BY PERSONAL VISITS UNLESS THEY ARE ON THE CHRONIC SICK LIST OR UNLESS THEY HAVE BEEN INVOLVED IN AN INCIDENT OUTSIDE THEIR RESIDENCE WHERE THEY WEREN'T SUPPOSE TO BE. BASICALLY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT USES THE HONOR SYSTEM FOR THE MOST PART. AT ANY GIVEN TIME THERE COULD BE AS MANY AS TWO THOUSAND COPS OUT ON SICK LEAVE. THE HEALTH SERVICE UNIT DOES NOT HAVE THE MANPOWER TO CHECK UP ON ALL THEIR COPS OUT ON SICK REPORT SO THEY ONLY CAN MONITOR THEM RANDOMLY. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ALSO

KNOWS THAT MANY COPS VIOLATE THESE PROCEDURES REGULARLY BECAUSE THEY KNOW THERE IS VERY LITTLE ENFORCEMENT. HOWEVER IF CAUGHT OUTSIDE YOUR RESIDENCY AN OFFICER COULD BE SUSPENDED AND DOCKED 30 DAYS PAY.

IN ESSENCE HEALTH SERVICES IS USED AS AN AGENT OF THE POLICE HIERARCHY TO HARASS THOSE COPS THEY HATE OR WANT TO GET RID OF. THEY KNOW THAT THE COP THEY WANT TO FIRE WILL EVENTUALLY GO SICK AND THERE IS A DECENT LIKELIHOOD THAT HE TOO WILL VIOLATE THE SICK REPORT RESIDENCY CODE.

I WAS NEVER ON THE CHRONIC SICK REPORT. YET HEALTH SERVICES CONSTANTLY WAS CHECKING UP ON ME BY PHONE AND BY VISITING. THEY ACTUALLY SENT A SGT. SUPERVISOR OVER TO MY HOUSE. HE FOUND MY MOTHER AT MY APARTMENT CHANGING A COLD COMPRESS ON MY HEAD WITH A THERMOMETER IN MY MOUTH. MY MOTHER AND I WERE AGGRAVATED THAT HE HAD COME AND SHE OFFERED HIM TO CHECK THE THERMOMETER. SHE PLEADED WITH HIM TO TELL THEM TO LEAVE ME ALONE.

8/89 THAT SAME WEEK WHILE I WAS OUT ON SICK LEAVE I CAME IN TO SEE THE POLICE SURGEON AS REQUIRED. I SHOWED HIM THE HOSPITAL REPORTS AND THE MEDICATION I WAS ON. AT FIRST THERE WAS NO PROBLEM UNTIL A SGT. FROM HEALTH SERVICES APPROACHED ME, A TALL (5' 11"/200LBS) FEMALE VERY HEAVY. SHE ORDERED ME TO HAVE MY TEMPERATURE TAKEN. I ASKED HER WHY AS THIS IS HIGHLY OUT OF THE ORDINARY. I AGREED. THE RESULTS WERE ONLY A MINOR FEVER. SHE TOLD ME SHE THOUGHT I WAS FEIGNING ILLNESS WHICH IS A SERIOUS INFRACTION AND WHICH COULD GET A COP SUSPENDED AND TERMINATED FROM HIS JOB. I TOLD HER I WAS ON MEDICATION AND ASPIRIN TO BRING THE FEVER DOWN AND I ALSO EXPRESSED

MY OUTRAGE THAT SHE WOULD EVEN BE SO DARING AS TO ACCUSE ME OF SUCH A THING. I CONTINUED TO TELL HER THAT I KNEW SHE IS BEING ORDERED TO DO THIS AS PART OF A HARASSING CAMPAIGN TO GET ME TO QUIT MY JOB. HOWEVER IF SHE BRINGS ME UP ON THESE SCURRILOUS CHARGES I WILL FILE A GRIEVANCE AGAINST HER PERSONALLY.

SHE RUSHED OUT AND CHECKED THE LOG BOOK THAT ALL COPS SIGN IN AND SHE TOLD ME THAT I WAS IN VIOLATION AND WOULD RECEIVED INSTEAD OF THE SERIOUS FEIGNING CHARGE, TWO COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR "FAILURE TO COME INTO THE OFFICE AND SIGN IN ON TIME" AND FOR "IMPROPERLY SIGNING THE LOG BOOK". THIS WAS THE MOST LUDICROUS THING ANY OFFICER HAS EVER HEARD OF. I CANNOT TECHNICALLY BE LATE AS I WAS ON SICK REPORT AND OUT FOR THE WEEK. WE WERE TOLD TO SEE THE DOCTOR BETWEEN 2 AND 4PM. I CAME AT 2:20 AND SIGNED THE LOG BOOK AS SUCH. THE SGT. CLAIMS THAT I WAS SUPPOSE TO BE THERE AT 2PM REGARDLESS AND THAT I DIDN'T EVEN COME IN AT 2:20 LIKE I CLAIMED BUT I CAME IN AT 2:30PM. THE SGT ACTUALLY DOCUMENTED DISCIPLINARY MEASURES AGAINST ME FOR COMING IN 30 MINUTES LATE AND FOR WHAT SHE CLAIMS THAT I MISREPRESENTED MY SIGNATURE BY TEN MINUTES IN THE LOG BOOK. SHE CLAIMS THAT SHE ACTUALLY OBSERVED ME WALKING IN THE DOOR AT 2:30 AND NOT AT 2:20. I WAS FOUND GUILTY OF THIS NONSENSE AND THE COMMANDING OFFICER TOOK VACATION TIME FROM ME WHILE DOCUMENTING MORE INFRACTIONS AGAINST ME WHICH WERE USED TO SHOW THAT I WAS A "BAD COP".

8/89 THAT SAME WEEK I HAD AN APPOINTMENT WITH MY DOCTOR TO GET A MRI BRAIN SCAN. I WENT TO HIS OFFICE IN THE HOSPITAL. I FOLLOWED PROCEDURES AS I ALWAYS HAVE AND I CALLED THE SICK DESK AND GOT THE

NAME OF THE OFFICER I SPOKE WITH. I TOLD HIM WHERE AND TO WHO I WAS GOING AND I GAVE HIM THE PHONE #. WHEN I RETURNED HOME I FOUND A CARD UNDER MY DOOR FROM HEALTH SERVICES THAT AN INVESTIGATOR CAME BY MY HOUSE TO CHECK UP ON ME AND FOUND ME NOT TO BE HOME. THEY WANTED TO GET ME INTO TROUBLE SO BAD THEY DIDN'T BOTHER TO CHECK WITH THEIR OWN UNIT TO SEE IF I HAD CALLED IN TO CHECK IN REGARDING LEAVING MY PREMISES. THEY WITHDREW THIS COMPLAINT AS I HAD DOCUMENTED MY PHONE CALL.

8/89 I BECAME AWARE OF THIS INFORMATION OVER A YEAR LATER. IN ADDITION TO THE HEALTH SERVICES GIVING ME SPECIAL SUPERVISION AND CHECKING UP ON ME, THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT SENT THEIR INVESTIGATORS TO SIT IN FRONT OF MY HOUSE FOR AN UNDISCLOSED AMOUNT OF DAYS FOR REASONS THAT THEY NEVER COULD CLARIFY. THEY WERE BENT ON A VICIOUS CAMPAIGN TO HARASS ME WAITING FOR ME AT WORK AND AT MY HOME HOPING TO CATCH ME DOING SOMETHING WRONG SO THEY COULD FIRE ME AND GET RID OF THE JEW.

9/5/89 LT. WALSH OF THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU SENT A LETTER TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT STATING THAT I FILED A FALSE REPORT TO HIS UNIT REGARDING THE PROSTITUTION AND NARCOTICS AT GOLDMAN SACHS.

COINCIDENTLY THE DAY BEFORE IS WHEN EISENBERG'S ATTORNEY THEN STANLY ARKIN AND JEFREY KAPLAN FILED A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST ME WITH THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION. THIS WAS THE FIRST TIME ANY CIVILIAN HAD EVER FILED ANY COMPLAINT AGAINST ME. I BECAME AWARE OF THIS INFORMATION 18 MONTHS LATER AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING. LT. WALSH

TESTIFIED THAT HE DIDN'T WANT TO DO IT BECAUSE HE DIDN'T FEEL THAT I REPORTED A FALSE INCIDENT. HOWEVER HE STATED THAT HE WAS, "ORDERED BY ONE OF HIS COMMANDING OFFICERS TO WRITE THIS LETTER TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION." HIS COMMANDING OFFICER WAS INSPECTOR BIEHLER WHO CAME IN TO TESTIFY THAT HE COULD NOT "RECALL" TELLING LT. WALSH TO WRITE SUCH A LETTER. I WANTED TO BRING IN THE OTHER RANKING OFFICER BUT WAS DENIED THIS RIGHT. REGARDLESS THIS LETTER TO IAD CREATING A CHEAP A FLIMSY EXCUSE TO CONTINUE AN INVESTIGATION INTO MY PROFESSIONAL AND PRIVATE WORK LIFE.

9/6/89 JEFREY KAPLAN, ATTORNEY FOR LEWIS EISENBERG CONTINUED FILING A COMPLAINT AGAINST ME IN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION CLAIMING I WAS TRYING TO EXTORT MONEY FROM HIM. HE CLAIMED THAT I THREATEN TO REVEAL HIS LONG TERM SEXUAL RELATIONSHIP WITH HIS THEN SECRETARY KATHY ABRAHAM. INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIDN'T EVEN BOTHER TO CONTACT OR INVESTIGATE KATHY ABRAHAM TO TRY AND ASCERTAIN THE FACTS. THEY PROCEEDED ON THE NOTION THAT I MUST GUILTY AND THEY WOULD INTERVIEW NO ONE OR DO ANYTHING THAT WOULD FIND ME INNOCENT WHICH I WAS.

9/14/89 ONE OF EISENBERG'S ATTORNEY, JEFFREY KAPLAN CALLED KATHY ABRAHAM'S SISTER, SUSAN INHABER AND TRIED TO REACH A DEAL WITH HER.

HE SAID IF SHE GOT HER SISTER KATHY AND ME TO DROP THE CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST EISENBERG THEN EISENBERG WOULDN'T CONFER CHARGES AGAINST ME WITH INTERNAL AFFAIRS AND THEN ALL THIS WOULD BE KEPT OUT OF THE MEDIA.

KAPLAN CONTINUED THREATENING, BY STATING THAT ALL THE PRESS WOULD CAUSE KATHY ABRAHAM TO LOSE HER CHILD IN A CUSTODY BATTLE. (AT THE

TIME THERE WAS NO CUSTODY BATTLE, ABRAHAM HAD SOLE CUSTODY OF HER CHILD) A COUPLE OF WEEKS LATER ABRAHAM'S EX-HUSBAND BEGAN A LONG AND EXPENSIVE CUSTODY BATTLE WHILE VIRTUALLY UNEMPLOYED.

9/18/89 ARTICLE IN WALL ST. LETTER IS PUBLISHED. ARTICLE STATED ABRAHAM AND I REFUSED TO COMMENT FOR ARTICLE. EISENBERG AND ATTORNEYS WERE QUOTED THROUGHOUT THE ARTICLE.

9/19/89 ARTICLE APPEARED IN THE NY POST. EISENBERG'S OTHER ATTORNEY STANLY ARKIN THEN COMMENTED THAT HE WOULD BE GOING TO FILE CHARGES AGAINST ME AT THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT WHICH HAD BEEN DONE ALREADY.

9/22/89 I WAS ORDERED TO TAKE A PSYCHOLOGICAL EVALUATION EXAM BY A LT. TESSLER A SUPERVISOR OF THE MANHATTAN CRIMINAL COURT AND WHO ONLY KNEW ME FOR APPROXIMATELY 5 MINUTES. I CAME IN TO OBTAIN A PARKING PERMIT FOR THIS NEW COMMAND AND LEFT. LT. TESSLER SENT A MEMO TO PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES OF THE NYPD SAYING THAT I AM UNDER A LOT OF STRESS AND NEED TO BE EVALUATED TO SEE IF I AM FIT TO CONTINUE WORKING.

THIS IS THE METHOD OF HOW TYRANNICAL DICTATORSHIPS OPERATE. THE NYPD WAS ATTEMPTING TO CLAIM THAT I WAS PSYCHOLOGICALLY AND OR EMOTIONALLY UNABLE TO DO MY JOB AND THIS WOULD BE REASON ENOUGH TO BE DISMISSED FROM MY JOB.

9/89 I WAS EVALUATED BY THE POLICE PSYCHOLOGIST AND BY A SECOND OPINION OUTSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THE FOLLOWING MONTH, WHICH WAS

RECOMMENDED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I GOT A CLEAN BILL OF MENTAL HEALTH. THIS WAS A DESPICABLE ACT BY **LT. TESSLER** WHO I BELIEVE WAS CARRYING OUT HIS ORDERS FROM CHIEF WALSH'S OFFICE WHO WAS CHIEF OF MANHATTAN SOUTH AT THE TIME. THOMAS WALSH - ASST CHIEF OF MANHATTAN WHO LATER PLACED ME ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT HAS A HISTORY HIMSELF OF DISCRIMINATING AGAINST JEWS IN HIS PROFESSIONAL CAPACITY. THIS CHIEF'S PURPOSE WAS TO DOCUMENT WHATEVER IT TAKES TO FIRE ME BECAUSE OF MY BEING JEWISH AND BECAUSE I WAS WRITING PUBLICLY AND NOW TALKING TO THE PRESS.

10/3/89 I MET WITH ATTORNEY HARRY LIPSIG IN HIS OFFICE WITH KATHY ABRAHAM AND DOROTHY RABINOWITZ WHO WAS INTERVIEWING EVERYONE FOR THE NEW YORK MAGAZINE STORY. MR. LIPSIG HAD MADE ASSERTIONS AND STATEMENTS THAT HE WOULD DEFEND ME AT THE POLICE HEARING.

10/4/89 I WAS INTERROGATED BY CAPT. LUCKNER THE COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE MANHATTAN SOUTH FIELD INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT AND BY DETECTIVE HARDICK WHO WAS ASSIGNED TO MY CASE. ALL INTERROGATIONS ARE SUPPOSED TO BE TAPED AND USED AS EVIDENCE FOR EITHER SIDE. THE INTERROGATION WAS SUPPOSED TO BE RELATING TO ALLEGATIONS MADE BY EISENBERG AND SOME SELECTIVELY ENFORCED PATROL INFRACTIONS AGAINST ME. DURING THIS FARCE OF AN INTERROGATION MY BASIC JEWISH RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND CONVICTIONS WERE CHALLENGED. I WAS ACCUSED OF MISREPRESENTING MYSELF AS AN ORTHODOX JEWISH POLICE OFFICER. CAPT. LUCKNER AND DET. HARDICK ACCUSED ME BY STATING, "YOU ARE NOT REALLY A SABBATH OBSERVER. YOU JUST CLAIMED YOU ARE SO YOU CAN WORK ANOTHER JOB ON SATURDAYS AND

HAVE AN ADVANTAGE OVER THE OTHER COPS." I WAS HORRIFIED BY THESE ACCUSATIONS AND SO WAS THE PBA'S ATTORNEY LISTENING, CARL VARELLA.

HE OBJECTED STRENUOUSLY TO THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING AND SAID IT WAS NOT PROPER FOR THE POLICE TO BE TRAMPLING ON MY CIVIL RELIGIOUS RIGHTS.

IAD STATED THAT THEY NOTED MY ATTORNEY'S OBJECTIONS AND THEY CONTINUED SAYING THAT "WE HAVE PROOF THAT I AM WORKING OTHER JOBS ON SATURDAYS TEACHING KARATE, WORKING SECURITY AND DOING PUBLIC SPEAKING." I DEMANDED THEY RETRACT THE ACCUSATIONS STATING THAT THERE COULD BE NO PROOF AS I AM IN SYNAGOGUE EVERY SATURDAY MORNING AND THERE ARE OVER 1000 PEOPLE WHO WOULD COME FORWARD AND TESTIFY TO THIS.

IAD CONTINUED THEIR LINE OF OBNOXIOUS QUESTIONING CLAIMING ONCE AGAIN THAT I AM NOT REALLY AN ORTHODOX JEW BECAUSE I REALLY DO NOT NEED TO EAT KOSHER FOODS. AGAIN THE ATTORNEY OBJECTED. IAD HAS PREVIOUSLY ASKED ME, "WHY IF I'M WORKING ON 46TH ST AND EIGHTH AVENUE (ON FOOT PATROL) WOULD I FIND IT NECESSARY TO WALK OVER TO 45TH ST AND SIXTH AVE (A TOTAL OF 3 BLOCKS) TO EAT IN ANOTHER RESTAURANT REGULARLY WHEN THERE ARE DOZENS OF RESTAURANTS ON 46TH ST BETWEEN EIGHTH AND NINTH AVENUES." THEY CONTINUED ASKING, "DON'T YOU KNOW THAT AN OFFICER IS SUPPOSE TO EAT HIS MEAL ON HIS POST"? I RESPONDED THAT FIRST THEY ARE WRONG. THE PATROL GUIDE STATES THAT IT SUGGESTS THAT IF POSSIBLE AN OFFICER SHOULD EAT ON HIS POST BUT HAS THE OPTION TO GO ANYWHERE IN THE CONFINES OF HIS PRECINCT DURING THE TIME ALLOTTED.

SECONDLY, I TOLD THEM I ONLY EAT KOSHER FOOD AND WHEN I EAT A MEAL IT MUST BE KOSHER. ON 45TH ST THERE ARE A FEW KOSHER RESTAURANTS.

IAD STATED, "YOU ONLY GO TO KOSHER RESTAURANTS ON 45TH ST BECAUSE IT NEAR THE JEWELRY DISTRICT AND YOU'RE REAL PURPOSE IS TO MEET OTHER

"JEWS", SO YOU CAN CONDUCT BUSINESS WITH THEM". THEY CONTINUED TO STATE, "WE FOLLOWED YOU ON 7/19/89 AND OBSERVED YOU DRINKING A COCA-COLA SODA IN A NON-KOSHER DINER." THERE REASONING WAS DIABOLICAL. I ASKED THEM IF THEY HAD A RABBINICAL DEGREE TO MAKE SUCH AN ACCUSATION OR A STATEMENT REGARDING JEWISH LAW. I EXPLAINED TO THEM THAT I ONLY EAT KOSHER BUT THERE ARE SOME FOODS AND DRINKS THAT AN ORTHODOX JEW COULD EAT IN A NON-KOSHER RESTAURANT SUCH AS VARIOUS CARBONATED DRINKS, SALADS, FRUITS, ETC. I ALSO TOLD THEM I RESENTED THIS QUESTIONING. IAD CONTINUED BADGERING ME ABOUT MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS SUGGESTING CONTINUOUSLY THAT I MISREPRESENTED MYSELF FROM THE BEGINNING OF MY EMPLOYMENT WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

I TOLD THEM I FIND THERE QUESTIONING REPREHENSIBLE. I ALSO ASKED THEM WHY DID THEY WAIT 8 YEARS TO FIRST BRING THIS TO MY ATTENTION?

LATER ON WHEN I FILED MY EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT I ATTEMPTED TO GET THE TAPE RECORDED CONVERSATIONS OF THIS INTERROGATIONS. IAD WOULDN'T TURN THE TAPES OVER. AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING I REQUESTED THE TAPES BE ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE AND IT TURNS OUT THAT OVER A HALF AN HOUR REGARDING THEIR LINE OF QUESTIONING INTO MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS WAS ERASED. WHEN THIS BECAME KNOWN AT THE HEARING ALL THE DETECTIVE COULD CLAIM IS THAT, "THEY ERRED BY FORGETTING TO TURN THE TAPE IN THE TAPE RECORDER OVER". THIS IS OBSCENE. FOR THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION OF THE NYPD CLAIMING SUCH NONSENSE IS DESPICABLE. THESE TAPES WERE BEING MADE TO BE USED AS EVIDENCE BUT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT REALIZED THAT THEY WOULD BE LIABLE FOR CIVIL DAMAGES AND POSSIBLY CRIMINAL AS WELL.

SO THEY CONSCIOUSLY ERASED THE TAPES. THIS IS NOT UNUSUAL FOR THE

POLICE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT.

IAD THEMSELVES ARE UNDER INTENSE INVESTIGATION THEMSELVES BY THE NEWLY APPOINTED MOLLINS COMMISSION COMMISSIONED BY MAYOR DAVID DINKINS TO ROOT OUT CORRUPTION WITHIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THIS CAME ABOUT AFTER SEVERAL SCANDALS WERE REPORTED IN THE MEDIA OF HOW THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT WERE MISUSING THEIR OFFICE BY GOING AFTER GOOD COPS TO TRY AND DOCUMENT INFRACTIONS AGAINST THEM MOTIVATED BY POLITICAL REASON FROM THE POLICE HIERARCHY. ALSO SERIOUS ALLEGATIONS AGAINST IAD STEMMED FROM THE FACT IAD SUPERVISORS AND DETECTIVES MAY HAVE BEEN LEAKING INFORMATION TO SEVERAL COPS AND DRUG DEALERS THAT THEY WERE SUPPOSE TO BE INVESTIGATING. REPORTS CAME IN THAT SOME COPS WERE WORKING FOR ORGANIZED CRIME DRUG GROUPS. INSTEAD OF INVESTIGATING IT PROPERLY THEY ALLEGEDLY TIPPED OFF THE VARIOUS OFFICERS WHO HAD ORGANIZED CRIME CONNECTIONS AND "COULDN'T GET ENOUGH EVIDENCE AGAINST THE BAD COPS". THE BAD COPS WERE LATER ARRESTED BY ANOTHER POLICE AGENCY FOR BUYING DRUGS, SELLING DRUGS OUT OF THEIR PATROL CAR WHILE IN UNIFORM, KIDNAPPING, CONSPIRACY TO MURDER AND A HOST OF OTHER CRIMES.

IAD FOR YEARS COULDN'T FIND ENOUGH MANPOWER AND EVIDENCE IN CASES LIKE THE ABOVE BUT THEY HAD THE MANPOWER TO HIRE 2 TEAMS OF SEVEN DETECTIVES TO HARASS ME AND BRING ME UP ON BOGUS CHARGES OF PATROL VIOLATIONS.

AFTER THE INTERVIEW I WAS RELIEVED OF MY FULL-DUTY STATUS WHICH MEANT THAT I HAD TO SURRENDER MY GUN AND SHIELD AND I WAS PLACED ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT. THIS IS THE MOST HUMILIATING EXPERIENCE A POLICE OFFICER COULD GO THROUGH. I ASKED THEM ON WHAT GROUNDS THEY ARE DOING

THIS ON. THEY TOLD ME THAT I OWED PARKING TICKETS AND THAT THERE IS AN INTERIM ORDER OUT STATING THAT IF ANY OFFICER OWES PARKING VIOLATIONS THEY COULD BE SUSPENDED. I TOLD THEM THAT I HAD ALREADY PAID THE SUMMONS THAT I HAD OWED. THE COMMANDING OFFICER INSTRUCTED ME TO SEND HIM PROOF BUT MEANWHILE I AM BEING TRANSFERRED TO THE QUEENS COURT SECTION WORKING IN CENTRAL BOOKKEEPING AND THAT I WOULD HAVE TO WORK STEADY MID-NIGHTS. I SENT IN THE PROOF THAT I HAD ALREADY PAID THE FEES BUT THEY WOULD NOT NOT RETURN ME TO FULL DUTY. THE CAPT. CONTINUED TELLING ME THAT HE HAD BEEN WORKING IN THE FIAU (FIELD INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION) FOR MANY YEARS AND HE HAD ONLY SEEN A COUPLE OF COPS LIKE MYSELF GET INTO TROUBLE WHERE THEY ARE PLACED ON MODIFIED ASSIGNED HAVING THEIR GUNS AND SHIELD CONFISCATED". HE TOLD ME THAT **FIAU** AS OPPOSED TO IAD (INTERNAL AFFAIRS HANDLES DIFFERENT TYPES OF CASES. **FIAU** INVESTIGATED MINOR INFRACTIONS OF COPS AND ALMOST ALWAYS THEY ARE NEVER PUT ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT. IF THEY ARE FOUND GUILTY IN THE HEARING ROOM THEN USUALLY THEIR PUNISHMENT IS LOSS OF VACATION TIME. IAD IS THE INVESTIGATING ARM OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT HANDLES SERIOUS CORRUPTION PROBLEMS INVOLVING "REAL CRIMES" COMMITTED BY COPS. USUALLY IAD IS THE ONE TO PLACE COPS ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT. CAPT. LUCKNER CONTINUED THAT HE WAS ORDERED BY CHIEF WALSH OF MANHATTAN SOUTH TO PLACE ME ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT. HE SAID, "MOSKOWITZ, YOU MUST HAVE STEPPED ON SOME VERY BIG TOES AT ONE POLICE PLAZA", MEANING THAT THIS WAS BEING DONE BY THE POLICE HIERARCHY AT POLICE HEADQUARTERS FOR POLITICAL OR FOR DISCRIMINATORY REASONS AND NOT FOR LEGITIMATE REASONS.

HE ALSO SAID THAT I WOULD BE RECEIVING THE LIST OF CHARGES AND

SPECIFICATIONS AT MY NEW COMMAND AFTER IAD CONFERS WITH THE DEPARTMENT OF ADVOCATE'S OFFICE WHICH IS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S PROSECUTING ARM. I WAS ORDERED TO SURRENDER MY OFF-DUTY WEAPON AND TWO DETECTIVES OF IAD INCLUDING DET. HARDICK AND SGT. APATHEALOUS ACCOMPANIED ME TO MY LOCKER AT THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT TO REMOVE MY SERVICE REVOLVER AND THEN THEY CAME TO MY HOME TO REMOVE MY OTHER TWO GUNS.

ONE OF THE TWO GUNS WAS AN AUTOMATIC .25 CALIBER GUN WHICH HAD A BULLET JAMMED INSIDE THE GUN WHICH I HAD INFORMED BOTH DETECTIVES AS TO CAUTION THEM IN ITS HANDLING. THEY ASKED ME TO REMOVE IT BUT I TOLD I DID NOT KNOW HOW TO DO IT SAFELY AND THAT I WAS NOT A QUALIFIED GUN SMITH. THEY TOOK THE GUNS AND LEFT.

10/4/89 I LEARNED THAT HE WAS UNDER ANOTHER PRIVATE INVESTIGATION BY THE COMPANY GOLDMAN SACHS AND THEIR PARTNER LEWIS EISENBERG. PRIVATE INVESTIGATORS ONE IDENTIFYING HIMSELF AS INVESTIGATOR WILLIAMS BEGAN TRYING TO INTERVIEW FORMER STUDENTS AND VOLUNTEERS OF MINE AND NEIGHBORS TO TRY AND DIG ANY TYPE OF DIRT THEY COULD FIND.

ONE STUDENT VOLUNTEER NAMED LEWIS LEEDER WAS APPROACHED BY TWO PRIVATE DETECTIVES AT HIS HOME WHICH LOUIS LEEDER WAS INTIMIDATED.

10/6/89 I BEGAN WORKING AT THE QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING COMMAND UNDER CAPT. WALSH. IT IS HERE THAT I EXPERIENCED INTENSE HARASSMENT OF AN INCREDIBLE MAGNITUDE. CAPTAIN WALSH TOLD ME THAT HE WAS UNDER ORDERS TO DOCUMENT DISCIPLINARY MEASURES AT EVERY OPPORTUNITY SO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WOULD HAVE MORE AMMUNITION TO USE AGAINST IN TERMINATING ME FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE ALSO ADVISED ME TO BE CAREFUL

BECAUSE HE THOUGHT IT WAS WRONG OF THE WAY THE NYPD WAS HARASSING ME AND HE DIDN'T WANT TO DO IT BUT HE COULDN'T JEOPARDIZE HIS POSITION.

10/10/89 I WAS SENT BACK TO PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES TO MEET WITH ANNETTE FUELLER FOR FURTHER EVALUATION AND WITH MR. WICKLER THE POLICE PSYCHOLOGIST. AGAIN RECEIVED A CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH.

10/11/89 I SPOKE WITH RABBI KASS WHO SAID HE WOULD SPEAK TO CHIEF WALSH TO HELP ME FROM HAVING TO WORK STEADY MID-NIGHTS AND WITH ALL THE DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEMS.

10/16/89 I ATTENDED ANOTHER SHOMRIM SOCIETY MEETING (FRATERNAL JEWISH POLICE ORGANIZATION IN THE NYPD). I APPEALED AGAIN TO RABBI KASS AND TO RABBI JACK SABLE ANOTHER JEWISH CHAPLAIN AND THE FORMER DIRECTOR OF THE NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION, AND AGAIN TO RABBI BERKOWITZ, CHAPLAIN OF THE TRANSIT POLICE. I ALSO SPOKE TO THEN SHOMRIM PRESIDENT CAPTAIN ALAN GOODMAN AND TO THE LATER PRESIDENT MARK WOLF. THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY THEN SAID, "THAT THEY COULDN'T TAKE A POSITION IN MY DEFENSE BECAUSE THESE JEWISH PROBLEMS SEEM TO BE HAPPENING ONLY TO ME". I TOLD THEM I AM ONE OF THREE ORTHODOX JEWISH COPS THAT OPENLY PRACTICES THEIR RELIGION BY WEARING YARMULKES AND BEING OFF ON ALL THE NECESSARY JEWISH HOLIDAYS. THE OTHER TWO ARE BOTH SUPERVISORS AND THAT THEY ARE UNDER THE PROTECTION OF THE LUBAVITCHER COMMUNITY AS ONE OFFICER IS A LUBAVITCHER AND THE OTHER WAS ACTUALLY ASSIGNED FOR YEARS TO GUARD THE LUBAVITCHER REBBE.

RABBI KASS TOLD ME THE ONLY WAY I WILL BE ABLE TO GET ANY HELP IS IF I WOULD GO TO THE MEDIA.

10/18/89 I MET WITH THE NEW COMMANDING OFFICER OF THE QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING, CAPT. WALSH. HE ADVISED ME THAT HOW I PERFORM HERE WOULD HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT AT MY EVENTUAL POLICE HEARING AND THAT HE KNOWS I AM IN A DIFFICULT SITUATION BECAUSE HE WAS TOLD TO "FUCK ME" MAKE LIFE DIFFICULT AND TO DEVELOP MORE PAPERWORK ON ME, TO DOCUMENT MORE DISCIPLINARY MEASURES AGAINST SO IT WOULD MAKE IT EASY FOR THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO THROW ME OUT. HE TOLD ME HE FELT THIS WAS UNFAIR BUT THAT IS THE "POLITICS OF THE JOB". HE CAUTIONED ME TO BE CAREFUL.

10/18/89 I CALLED THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR HELP AND THEY SAID THEY WOULD LOOK INTO THIS BUT WERE NOT HELPFUL.

10/89 AT APPROX 3AM, I WAS PHYSICALLY APPROACHED AND ASSAULTED BY POLICE OFFICER JOHN MORGAN. WHILE I WAS ON DUTY WATCHING THE CELLS OFFICER JOHN MORGAN APPROACHED ME AND GRABBED ME BY THE COLLAR CHOKING ME WITH ONE HAND WHILE PHYSICALLY RIPPING OPEN MY UNIFORM SHIRT. I PROTESTED VEHEMENTLY AND HE SAID, "WE HEARD YOU JEW BOYS ARE WIRED AND WERE SENT DOWN HERE FOR US". I DEMANDED HE DESIST IMMEDIATELY BUT HE ONLY LAUGHED IN MY FACE AS OTHER OFFICERS MUST HAVE SEEN AND HEARD WHAT HAD HAPPENED. I CAUTIONED HIM TO STOP BUT HE WOULDN'T UNTIL HE WAS SATISFIED THAT I WASN'T "SPYING ON HIM OR ANYONE ELSE". HE TOLD ME THAT I COULD GET HURT DOWN HERE BY POLICE PERSONNEL OR

BY SOME OF THE PRISONERS WHICH HE WAS OVERLY FRIENDLY WITH. AFTER I REALIZED OFFICER MORGAN WAS CONVINCED I WASN'T WORKING FOR INTERNAL AFFAIRS OR ANY OTHER GOVERNMENT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY BESIDES THE NYPD, I TOLD OFFICER MORGAN THAT IF THIS SHOULD EVER HAPPEN AGAIN BY HIMSELF OR ANY OTHER OFFICER THAT I WOULD FILE A COMPLAINT. OFFICER MORGAN LAUGHED AND SAID, WHILE GRABBING ME AGAIN "A NICE JEWISH BOY LIKE YOU WON'T DO ANYTHING TO ME". I RESPONDING BY DEFENDING MYSELF BY PLACING OFFICER MORGAN IN A RESTRAINT JOINT-LOCKING HOLD WITH THE EXPRESS INTENT TO CONVINCING MORGAN TO REMOVE OFFICER'S MORGAN'S HAND FROM MY BODY AND TO CONVINCING HIM THAT I WOULD PHYSICALLY DEFEND HIMSELF ADEQUATELY IF ATTACKED BY ANY OF THE PERSONAL OR PRISONERS AT CENTRAL BOOKING.

I LATER LEARNED THAT OFFICER MORGAN WAS ACTING AS AN EMISSARY AND ON BEHALF OF LT. ONUFRAK, ONE OF THE SUPERVISING LTS. AT CENTRAL BOOKING.

I LEARNED QUICKLY THAT A CLIMATE OF CORRUPTION EXISTED WHERE OFFICERS WOULD TAKE MONEY FROM DRUG DEALERS AND OTHER PRISONERS FOR FAVORED TREATMENT IN THE PRISON AND OUTSIDE. BENEFITS WOULD ALSO BE ACCEPTED FROM PROSTITUTES IN RETURN FOR SPECIAL TREATMENT. SOME OF THESE SPECIAL TREATMENTS PERMITTED PRISONERS TO GET EXTRA FOOD, CIGARETTES, AND HOME-MADE WEAPONS. I MENTIONED THIS TO MY COMMANDING OFFICER LATER WITHOUT IDENTIFYING ANY OFFICER. I JUST DIDN'T WANT TO BE IMPLICATED IN ANY OTHER TROUBLE WHICH WAS GOING ON BEFORE I EVEN WAS ASSIGNED THERE.

10/89 I BEGAN RECEIVING SEVERAL DEATH THREATS AT MY HOME WHICH WERE

REPORTED AND LOGGED. THEY WERE SUPPOSEDLY BEING INVESTIGATED BY THE NYPD'S BIAS UNIT BECAUSE THEY WERE BIAS RELATED IN THE FACT THAT THE MESSAGE STATED THAT, "WE ARE GOING TO KILL YOU FUCKIN' JEW KIKE COP". I KEPT THE TAPE RECORDED MESSAGES AND VOUCHERED THEM AT THE 107 PRECINCT FOR EVIDENCE. I BELIEVED THAT THEY COULD HAVE BEEN DONE BY OTHER POLICE OFFICERS AS POLICE JARGON WAS USED AT TIMES.

10/24/89 I MET WITH ATTORNEY HARRY LIPSIG WHO WAS REPRESENTING KATHY ABRAHAM IN HER LAWSUIT AGAINST GOLDMAN SACHS AND WHO WAS SUPPOSE TO BE ASSISTING ME AS WELL. AT THIS POINT I WAS NEGOTIATING WITH PROFESSOR ABRAHAM ABRAMOVSKY AND HIS ASSISTANT BARRY SMALLOVITZ TO REPRESENT ME IN THE POLICE HEARING ROOM.

10/25/89 I CALLED IN FOR MY MESSAGES AT HOME FROM WORK. I RECEIVED ANOTHER OBSCENE DEATH THREAT ON THE ANSWERING MACHINE. I REPORTED THIS TO THE IMMEDIATE SUPERVISOR WHO WAS WORKING THEN, SGT. SHACK. I HAD POLICE OFFICER JAY LYNAM LISTEN TO THE MESSAGE AS WELL. I WAS ADVISED TO MAKE THE NECESSARY NOTIFICATIONS INCLUDING TO THE 107 PRECINCT AND THE BOROUGH COMMAND NIGHT-WATCH AT THE 112 PRECINCT (I SPOKE WITH DET. SHEVLIN AND HE SAID DET. DEPALMER OF THE 107 WOULD HANDLE THE CASE). I CALLED THE INTELLIGENCE DIVISION DET. CULLIN AS ALL COPS ARE SUPPOSE TO IF THEY ARE THREATENED. HE WORKS FOR THE "THREAT DESK". ALL OTHER PROCEDURES WERE FOLLOWED.

AFTERWARDS THE COMMANDING SUPERVISOR LT. VAN GOSTEN APPROACHED ME AND DEMANDED TO KNOW WHY I HAD CALLED IN FOR MY MESSAGES ON A POLICE DEPARTMENT PHONE LINE MAKING A PERSONAL PHONE CALL. I FIRST ASKED

HIM IF HE WAS JOKING AS IT IS COMMON COURTESY FOR ALL OFFICERS TO BE ABLE TO CALL THEIR HOMES INSIDE THE CITY LIMITS. I TOLD THIS TO THE LT. AND I EXPLAINED TO HIM MY MOTHER WASN'T FEELING WELL AND THAT I HAD TO CHECK IN WITH MY MESSAGES. I ALSO EXPLAINED TO HIM THAT I WAS BEING TARGETED WITH VARIOUS THREATS AND I NEEDED TO KNOW AS SOON AS POSSIBLE WHEN THEY COME IN. **LT. VAN GOSTEN** THREATENED ME THAT HE WAS GOING TO WRITE ME UP FOR A COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR USING AN OFFICIAL POLICE TELEPHONE FOR PERSONAL BUSINESS. HE ALSO SAID THAT I WOULD HAVE TO REIMBURSE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SO I GAVE HIM A QUARTER. THIS LT. WAS CONTINUED SOURCE OF PERSECUTION TOWARDS ME WHEN I WORKED ON THE MID-NIGHT SHIFT.

10/25/89 I WAS CONTINUOUSLY RECEIVING OBSCENE PHONE CALLS AND DEATH THREATS SOME OF WHICH WERE STATING THAT THEY WERE FROM THE NEO-NAZI PARTY, THE KKK AND THE PLO AND THAT THE WERE COMING TO "GET ME". I PLEADED FOR THE RIGHT TO CARRY MY OFF-DUTY REVOLVER AGAIN BUT THE NYPD SAID "NO", THEREBY DENYING THE RIGHT TO PROPERLY DEFEND MYSELF IF NEED BE.

INSTEAD THEY ISSUED ME A POLICE DEPARTMENT RADIO TO CARRY WITH ME FULL TIME RADIO #4039 TAKEN FROM THE 107 PRECINCT. THE INTENDED PURPOSE WAS SO I COULD SECURE IMMEDIATE HELP IF NECESSARY ANYWHERE IN THE CITY BY CALLING FOR HELP DIRECTLY OVER THE AIR. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ALSO SUGGESTED I WEAR MY BULLET PROOF VEST WHICH I BEGAN WEARING OFF-DUTY FOR THE FIRST TIME IN MY LIFE. THE FOLLOWING UNITS WERE NOTIFIED OF THIS IN WRITING; FIELD INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT, INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, BIAS UNIT, THE PATROL BOROUGH, AND THE DUTY

CAPTAIN. THE 107 CAPT. ALSO ASSIGNED PATROL CARS TO STOP BY MY APARTMENT AND HE HAD ASSIGN ME "SPECIAL ATTENTION" STATUS. BASICALLY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WAS TRYING TO PROTECT THEMSELVES LEGALLY AS BEST AS THEY COULD TO SHOW THAT THEY WERE MAKING PROVISIONS TO TRY AND PROTECT ME. THEY WERE WORRIED SOMETHING INDEED MAY HAPPEN. WHAT I NEEDED WAS MY REVOLVER RETURNED AS IT WAS PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE THAT I WAS ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT AND MY GUN WAS TAKEN FROM ME. EVERYONE KNEW I WAS UNARMED FOR THE FIRST TIME IN EIGHT YEARS.

10/30/89 WHILE ON DUTY AT CENTRAL BOOKING A RABBI ELI TABAK WAS BROUGHT IN IN HANDCUFFS ARRESTED AND CRYING. HE WAS OBSCENELY ARRESTED BY PORT AUTHORITY POLICE OF WHAT APPEARED TO BE AN INCREDIBLE CASE OF POLICE ABUSE AND MISUSE OF THEIR AUTHORITY. AS THE RABBI TOLD ME. HE WAS ON HIS WAY TO ISRAEL WITH HIS WIFE TO ATTEND HIS DAUGHTER'S WEDDING. HE WAS ABOUT TO BOARD A PAN AM FLIGHT WITH A TICKET IN HIS HAND WHEN HE WAS STOPPED BY THEIR SECURITY BY MISTAKE. HE ARGUED WITH THEM TO ALLOW HIM AND HIS WIFE TO BOARD THE PLANE. THE SECURITY CALLED THE POLICE. THE POLICE OFFICER AND HIS SUPERVISORS CAME TO THE SCENE ORDERING THE RABBI AND HIS WIFE TO LEAVE. THE RABBI EXPLAINED THAT HE MUST BE ON THE FLIGHT AS HE HAD A TICKET. THE POLICE OFFICER DREW HIS NIGHT STICK AND POKED THE RABBI SAYING ANTI-SEMITIC SLURS AND HE ARRESTED THE RABBI FOR TRESPASS, DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND RESISTING ARREST.

THE RABBI APPEALED TO ME IMMEDIATELY AS HE OBSERVED THAT I TOO WAS JEWISH WEARING A YARMULKE. HE WAS FIRST PLACED IN A DINGY CELL FULL OF HARD CORE CRIMINALS WHO WERE IN FOR ATTEMPTED MURDER, ROBBERY,

AND A HOST OF OTHER CRIMES. HE WAS CONCERNABLY FRIGHTENED AS SOME OF THE HOODLUMS WERE THREATENING THE RABBI. I BEING CONCERNED FOR THE RABBI'S SAFETY AND WELFARE PLACED HIM IN ALONE IN A PRIVATE CELL.

THE RABBI WAS DENIED HIS BASIC RIGHTS FOR A PHONE CALL TO BE MADE SO I DID IT FOR HIM. HE WASN'T GETTING ANY COOPERATION FROM THE OTHER MEMBERS OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WHO WERE SUPPOSE TO BE HELPING HIM.

MANY OF THE OFFICERS INCLUDING THE SUPERVISORS WERE PUBLICLY MAKING FUN AND INSULTING THE RABBI AS A JEWISH COMMON CRIMINAL. I TOOK OFFENSE TO THIS AND TOLD THIS DIRECTLY TO THE SUPERVISOR LT. VAN GOSTEN.

I ALSO SPOKE TO THE ARRESTING OFFICER AFTER READING "POLICE ON LINE CENTRAL BOOKING REPORT" ON THE INCIDENT WITH THE RABBI. I WAS ANGERED BY THIS APPARENT ABUSE AND TOLD IT TO THE OFFICER. THE OFFICER APOLOGIZED AND TOLD ME IT WAS HIS SUPERVISOR WHO INSISTED ON BEING NASTY AND IN ORDERING HIM TO ARREST THE RABBI IN FRONT OF HIS WIFE.

I TOOK IT UPON MYSELF BELIEVING THAT THE RABBI WAS GROSSLY ABUSED AND MISTREATED TO ASSIST HIM. THE RABBI NEEDED KOSHER FOOD AND THE SUPERVISOR REFUSED TO PROVIDE IT SO I WENT ON MY BREAK AND BOUGHT THE RABBI FOOD WITH MY OWN MONEY. THE SUPERVISOR, LT. VAN GOSTEN WAS VERY ANGRY STATING, "I COULD SEE YOU ARE NOT ONE OF US, YOU'RE JUST A JEW FIRST". I TOLD HIM ACCORDING TO THE PATROL GUIDE HE WAS OBLIGATED TO PROVIDE PRISONERS WITH KOSHER FOOD IF THEY REQUEST IT.

THE SUPERVISOR ALSO REFUSED TO ALLOW THE RABBI TO PRAY AT FIRST WITH HIS PRAYER SHAWL AND TEFILLIN CITING A POSSIBLY DANGER TO HIMSELF.

I SAID I WOULD STAND GUARD AND MAKE SURE THE RABBI WOULDN'T HANG HIMSELF. I ALSO SAID I WOULD

CALL FOR LEGAL ASSISTANCE IF THE SUPERVISOR CONTINUES TO TRY TO DENY HIM HIS RELIGIOUS RIGHTS. I ALSO MADE SURE ALL THE RABBI'S PAPER WORK WAS BEING FAXED TO ALBANY PROPERLY AS CENTRAL BOOKKEEPING HAS A HABIT OF PURPOSELY LOOSING THE PAPERWORK OF PEOPLE THAT THEY DON'T LIKE THEREBY EXTREMELY DELAYING THEIR VISIT IN JAIL.

I SUFFERED AND WAS PERSECUTED FOR WEEKS BECAUSE I WAS SEEN HELPING "ONE OF MY OWN KIND". THE AGE OLD NOTION BEGAN SPREADING "THAT YOU JUST CAN'T TRUST A JEW". THIS WAS NOT THE FIRST TIME I DID THIS AND SECONDLY I HAD REACTED SIMILARLY WITH SEVERAL BLACK AND HISPANIC PRISONERS AS WELL AS I BELIEVED THEY WRONGFULLY ARRESTED AND ABUSED.

THE ABUSE IS EASY TO DETECT. WHENEVER A PRISONER COMES IN WITH AN INJURY FROM THE ARRESTING OFFICER AND HIS ONLY CHARGES ARE DISORDERLY CONDUCT AND RESISTING ARREST THEN THERE IS FERTILE GROUND TO BELIEVE THE PRISONER THAT HIS CIVIL RIGHTS WERE ABUSED AND THAT HE/SHE DOESN'T BELONG THERE.

11/1/89 I OBSERVED MANY CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS. A YOUNG ISRAELI JEW WAS FALSELY ARRESTED. HE WAS KEPT IN PRISON FOR OVER 30 HOURS WITHOUT EATING BECAUSE HE COULDN'T GET KOSHER FOOD. HE ALSO WAS PHYSICALLY ABUSED BY OTHER PRISONERS AND NO OFFICER THEN CAME TO HELP HIM. WHEN IT CAME TO MY ATTENTION I IMMEDIATELY GOT HIM KOSHER FOOD, AGAIN AT MY OWN EXPENSE. WHEN I LOOKED INTO HIS CASE I SAW HE WAS WRONGFULLY IMPRISONED AGAIN FOR I BELIEVE WAS AN ANTI-SEMITIC ACT BY THE ARRESTING OFFICER BECAUSE THE PRISONER WAS AN OBVIOUS ISRAELI JEW. I CONTACTED HIS FRIENDS AT HIS REQUEST AND I CONTACTED THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE WHO AFTER REVIEWING THE CASE DECIDED NOT

TO PROSECUTE. SO AFTER 35 HOURS OF DETENTION HE WAS RELEASED WITH AN A SIMPLY APOLOGY. I PROTESTED AND AGAIN THE SAME EPITHETS CAME TO ME FROM OTHER OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS ABOUT WHO'S SIDE AM I REALLY ON AND "IF YOU'RE SUCH A JEW YOU SHOULD LEAVE AMERICA AND STAY IN ISRAEL".

WORKING CONDITIONS WERE HORRIBLE. I WAS STILL ATTEMPTING TO GO TO LAW SCHOOL WHILE WORKING STEADY MID-NIGHTS AFTER BEING PHYSICALLY SICK. I CONTINUED TO BE SICK BECAUSE I WASN'T USED TO BE NEAR SO MANY DRUG ADDICTS AND PROSTITUTES WHO WERE USUALLY SICK WHEN THEY WERE BROUGHT FOR AFTER BEING ARRESTED. ADDITIONALLY THERE WERE NO WINDOWS IN CENTRAL BOOKING AS WE WERE IN A BASEMENT AND THE VENTILATION WAS SO POOR THAT OTHER OFFICERS AND PRISONERS WERE BECOMING SICK. ADDED TO THE ALMOST DAILY ANTI-SEMITIC TAUNTS MADE WORKING CONDITIONS ABSOLUTELY INTOLERABLE.

11/8/89 AS A RESULT I MET WITH CAPT. WALSH AND REQUESTED ONE MORE TIME TO RESCHEDULE MY TOURS TO WORK STEADY DAYS WHILE STILL BEING ABLE TO ATTEND LAW SCHOOL THEREBY MAKING LIFE SOMEWHAT TOLERABLE. THE CAPT. SAID HE COULD NOT DO IT AS OF YET SO I REQUESTED TO GO ON A LEAVE OF ABSENCE WITHOUT PAY UNTIL HE COULD PUT ME ON STEADY DAYS LIKE THE MAJORITY OF THE WORKERS THERE. I WAS TOLD "IF YOU CAN'T HANDLE IT, RESIGN". I THEN CALLED THE EMPLOYEE RELATIONS UNIT FOR HELP AND THEY WERE USELESS. I WAS VERY ILL COMING TO WORK WITH THE FLU. I WAS ON MEDICATION AND TOOK A CHEST X-RAY TO MAKE SURE I DIDN'T HAVE PNEUMONIA.

11/9/89 I SPOKE WITH **LT. STEVENS** OF EMPLOYEE RELATIONS REGARDING TRANSFERRING TO A PLACE WITH STEADY DAYS OR CHANGING MY TOURS AT CENTRAL BOOKING. HE SAID HE SPOKE TO MY **ADMINISTRATIVE LT. BOWENS** WHO WOULD SEE WHAT HE COULD DO.

11/15/89 I WAS ORDERED TO SEE DR. PINSKI A PSYCHOLOGIST RECOMMENDED BY THE NYPD FOR A SECOND OPINION OF ME. I GOT A CLEAN BILL OF HEALTH.

11/19/89 ON MY OFF-DUTY TIME I WAS THE COACH AND CAPTAIN OF THE NYPD-PBA KARATE TEAM AND COMPETED AT CITY COLLEGE AGAINST THE CORRECTION DEPARTMENT. THE NYPD UPON LEARNING THAT I WAS TRAINING OTHER BLACK BELT POLICE OFFICERS IN FREE-FIGHTING KARATE COMPETITION AND IN ADVANCED LAW ENFORCEMENT RESTRAINT TRAINING TECHNIQUES DECIDED TO BOYCOTT MY TEAM-WORK AND THE COMPETITION. THE NYPD WAS CONSTANTLY TRYING TO DISCREDIT ME. ALL SUPERVISORS REFUSED TO SHOW UP OR GET INVOLVED IN OUR TRAINING SESSIONS WHICH AT ONE POINT HAD ABOUT 15 OFFICERS. CHIEF JOHNSON HIMSELF WAS A REGULAR AT THE BOXING MATCHES AND OTHER SPORTING EVENTS BUT REFUSED OUR INVITATIONS BECAUSE I WAS THE COACH AND CAPTAIN.

11/30/89 I REQUESTED TO LEAVE WORK EARLY USING VACATION TIME FROM SGT. SHACK WHICH WAS GRANTED. AS I WAS LEAVING LT. VAN GOSTEN SPITEFULLY ORDERED ME BACK TO WORK OVERRIDING SGT. SHACK A JEWISH SUPERVISOR WHO I WAS FRIENDLY WITH SAYING WE ARE SHORT OF OFFICERS

TODAY. THEN A HALF AN HOUR LATER HE GRANTED ANOTHER OFFICER EARLY LEAVE.

12/4/89 I WAS ASSIGNED TO SGT. DEMAR GRANTING ME STEADY DAYS AFTER I FINALLY THREATENED TO RESIGN AND NAME THE COMMANDING OFFICER IN MY EVENTUAL LAWSUIT IF HE WOULD KEEP PURPOSEFULLY KEEP PREVENTING ME FROM WORKING STEADY DAYS. SGT. DEMAR TOLD ME THAT HE WAS BEING ORDERED TO GIVE ME EXTRA HEAVY SUPERVISION AND TRY TO FIND THINGS THAT HE COULD DOCUMENT AGAINST ME. HE SAID HE IS TELLING ME THIS BECAUSE HE WAS A VICTIM OF THIS PRACTICE ONCE BEFORE. HE TOLD ME CANDIDLY THAT, "I SWEAR, THEY (POLICE DEPT.) ARE LOOKING TO GET YOU OFF THIS JOB, SO BE REAL CAREFUL".

12/5/89 LT. ONUFRAK OF CENTRAL BOOKKEEPING OF THE DAY SQUAD CALLED ME AT HOME TO INFORM ME THAT I WAS BEING SUSPENDED WITHOUT PAY AS A RESULT OF THE ACTION OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS. THEY DIDN'T QUESTION ANYTHING JUST SUSPENDED ME.

12/6/89 I RECEIVED ORDERS TO GO TO THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS OFFICER. I MET WITH CAPT. LUCKNER THE COMMANDING OFFICER AND WITH NO HEARING OR INTERROGATION THEY JUST SUSPENDED ME WITHOUT PAY CLAIMING THAT I ILLEGALLY SENT A LETTER TO LEWIS EISENBERG HARASSING HIM. I DID NOT SENT THE LETTER AND THEY WOULDN'T TAKE A STATEMENT FROM ME.

CAPT. LUCKNER TOLD ME, "I FEEL SORRY FOR YOU, BUT THE REALITY IS THAT IN THE EYES OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT YOU ARE AN EMBARRASSMENT TO US SO WE WILL DO ANYTHING AND EVERYTHING TO GET RID OF YOU".

12/19/89 I ATTENDED ANOTHER SHOMRIM MEETING INFORMING RABBI KASS THAT I WAS UNJUSTLY SUSPENDED AND SPOKE WITH DET. MITCH CHOSAK, SGT. DAVID DAVID DUHAN (WHO HAD WORKED WITH ME AND OBSERVED AND HEARD INSTANCES WHERE I WAS BEING HARASSED BY OTHER POLICE PERSONNEL TO THE POINT WHERE HE PHYSICALLY INTERVENED DEMANDING AN OFFICER STOP WITH THE ANTI-SEMITIC COMMENTS) SGT. KARPEN, SGT. STUART GANG, AND CAPT. ALAN GOODMAN.

12/12/89 I MET AGAIN WITH HERBERT BLOCK, MAYOR DAVID DINKIN'S ASSISTANT TO THE JEWISH COMMUNITY. HERB BLOCK HAD BEEN ORIGINALLY NEGOTIATING WITH ME ON THE MAYOR'S BEHALF FOR ME TO RECEIVE A POSITION IN CITY GOVERNMENT AS ASSISTANT DEPUTY COMMISSIONER OF YOUTH AFFAIRS OR SOMETHING IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE DEPARTMENT. THIS WOULD HAVE PUT ME IN AN IMPORTANT SUPERVISORY POSITION WITH AT LEAST TWICE THE SALARY OF A PATROL OFFICER. I HAD PREVIOUSLY MET THE MAYOR DIRECTLY RIGHT BEFORE HE WAS ELECTED REGARDING YOUTH AFFAIRS. HE TOLD ME PERSONALLY AND IN WRITING THAT HE WAS IMPRESSED WITH MY WORK WITH THE NAJP (JEWISH POVERTY) VOLUNTEER PROGRAM AND HE WOULD WANT ME TO DO THE SAME PROGRAM WITH POOR KIDS IN POOR NEIGHBORHOODS IF HE IS ELECTED MAYOR AS ONE OF HIS PEOPLE IN GOVERNMENT.

HERB BLOCK TOLD ME THAT THE MAYOR'S OFFICE COULDN'T POSSIBLY OFFER ME A JOB IN MY CURRENT DILEMMA. I REQUESTED HERB BLOCK SPEAK TO THE MAYOR ABOUT MY SITUATION AND GET THE POLICE DEPARTMENT TO CEASE FROM HARASSING ME. HE SAID, "I WAS A POLITICAL NIGHTMARE WHICH COULD EXPLODE IN THE MAYOR'S FACE AND THAT HIS JOB WAS TO PROTECT THE MAYOR."

12/14/89 THE DEPT. OF ADVOCATE'S OFFICE SERVED ME WITH THEIR "CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS" AGAINST ME. THERE WERE AT FIRST 27 CHARGES AND THEN ONE MORE WAS ADDED TWO MONTHS LATER. THE CHARGES WERE PREPOSTEROUS, OBSCENELY BIASED, MALICIOUS, AND FALSE.

1/2/90 THE NEW YORK MAGAZINE ARTICLE APPEARED REGARDING THE GOLDMAN SACHS STORY. I GAVE AN INTERVIEW TO BE ABLE TO DEFEND MYSELF AND MY REPUTATION IN THE PUBLIC EYE. THE POLICE WERE FURIOUS THAT I SPOKE TO THE PRESS AND THEY WERE MORE DETERMINE TO DISMISS ME AS I WAS TOLD LATER BY MY COMMANDING OFFICER.

1/9/90 I MET WITH RABBI BERKOWITZ, THE ORTHODOX TRANSIT POLICE CHAPLAIN WHO WAS TRYING TO ASSIST ME. HE TOLD HE SPOKE TO SEVERAL RANKING OFFICIALS AND THE WORD IS "THAT THEY JUST WANT ME OUT". MANY RANKING OFFICERS FEEL THAT I AM A WHISTLE BLOWER AND WILL EVENTUALLY GET THEM INTO TROUBLE.

1/11/90 I RECEIVED A MESSAGE FROM OFFICER FLEMING FROM THE DEPT. OF ADVOCATE'S OFFICE THAT I AM RESTORED TO MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT BACK AT CENTRAL BOOKING ON THE PAYROLL SUDDENLY WITH NO EXPLANATION. I WAS TOLD LATER THAT THE NYPD WAS EMBARRASSED BY THE ARTICLE AND FELT COMPELLED TO PUT ME BACK TO WORK OR THEY MAY FACE MORE DREADED PUBLICITY.

1/12/90 THE FIRST DAY BACK TO WORK AFTER BEING SUSPENDED FOR THIRTY

DAYS I WAS FIRST SERVED WITH 27 ADMINISTRATIVE CHARGES AND SPECIFICATIONS WHICH WAS THE EXCUSE THE POLICE USED TO TERMINATE ME.

TWO MONTHS LATER THEY ADDED ANOTHER CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION TOTTALLING 28. THESE CHARGES WERE TOTALLY BOGUS AND WERE SELECTIVELY ENFORCED AGAINST ME.

THE FIRST 11 CHARGES WERE FOR BEING OFF POST. NORMALLY THIS WOULD MEAN THAT A COP IS NOT WHERE HE WAS SUPPOSE TO BE WHILE ON PATROL.

THE VERBIAGE USED MAKES THE COMPLAINT SEEM THAT I WAS AN IRRESPONSIBLE POLICE OFFICER WHEN THE OPPOSITE WAS TRUE. THE COMPLAINT READ:

"SAID P.O. MOSKOWITZ, PERFORMING TOUR 0700X1535 HOURS ON SUCH AND SUCH DATE WHILE ASSIGNED TO POST TF1 AT THE MTN PRECINCT, AT OR ABOUT 1200-1300 HOURS, WAS ABSENT FROM SAID ASSIGNMENT WITHOUT PERMISSION OR PROPER POLICE NECESSITY."

THIS IS A BLATANT LIE. INTERNAL AFFAIRS (IAD) DIDN'T AT ANY TIME OBSERVE ME OFF POST. THEY WENT THROUGH MY OWN PERSONAL POLICE LOG MEMO BOOK AND AND FOUND 11 TIMES THAT DURING MY REGULAR MEAL TIME I WAS EATING IN A KOSHER RESTAURANT WHERE I WAS ENTITLED TO. THEY CLAIMED THAT I HAD NO RIGHT TO EAT WHERE I WAS BECAUSE I WAS SUPPOSE TO EAT MY MEAL ON POST WHICH WAS THREE BLOCKS AWAY. THIS IS CLEARLY NOT TRUE AS THE POLICE PATROL GUIDE STATES THAT AN OFFICER CAN TAKE HIS MEAL PERIOD ANYWHERE WITHIN THE CONFINES OF HIS PRECINCT OR EAT IN THE RADIO CAR. YET I WAS STILL SERVE WITH THESE COMPLAINTS AND LATER THESE WERE DISMISSED. DURING MY INTERROGATION OVER THESE BOGUS CHARGES IAD TOLD ME THAT THEY BELIEVED I TRAVELED TO THAT RESTAURANT SO I COULD "BE WITH OTHER JEWS TO CONDUCT PERSONAL BUSINESS". I

EXPLAINED TO THEM THAT I AM AN ORTHODOX JEW AND MUST ONLY EAT KOSHER FOOD AND THAT I HAVE THE RIGHT TO BE WHERE I WAS. ADDITIONALLY I WAS BEING CHARGED WITH AN ANTIQUATED REGULATION THAT STATED IN THE PATROL GUIDE THAT ALL OFFICERS MUST NOTIFY THE TELEPHONE SWITCHBOARD OPERATOR WHEN AND WHERE THEY ARE GOING TO MEAL. THIS WAS ENFORCED BEFORE POLICE CARRIED TWO-WAY RADIOS SO THE PRECINCT WOULD KNOW WHERE TO LOCATE THE COP DURING HIS MEAL PERIOD IF AN EMERGENCY CAME UP. THE REALITY IS THAT NO COPS CALL IN ANYMORE. IN FACT IF YOU CALL AND BOTHER THE TELEPHONE SWITCHBOARD OPERATOR IN A BUSY PRECINCT YOU WOULD BE SUBJECT TO REPRIMANDS. YET IAD TRIED TO ENFORCE THIS REGULATION ON ME. I ADMITTED THAT I DIDN'T CALL THE SWITCHBOARD ON A PARTICULAR DAY BUT I HAVEN'T DONE SO IN MY WHOLE CAREER AND NEITHER DID OTHER COPS. I HAD TO SUBPOENA THE MID-TOWN NORTH TELEPHONE SWITCHBOARD LOGS TO PROVE THAT IN THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT WHERE I WORKED WHICH IS THE SECOND LARGEST PRECINCT IN THE CITY WHERE OVER 300 COPS WOULD HAVE TO CALL UP WITHIN A GIVEN 24 HOUR SCHEDULE - NO COPS CALLED IN ON THE DAY IN QUESTION. YET ONLY I WAS CHASTISED AND WRITTEN UP. DURING MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING I CHALLENGED IAD SPECIFICALLY LT. CASSIDY WHY HE FELT IT NECESSARY TO ENFORCE THIS REGULATION AGAINST ME WHEN HE, NOT ONLY DIDN'T ENFORCE IT WITH OTHER COPS IN MY COMMAND BUT HE DIDN'T ENFORCE WITH HIMSELF AND HIS UNIT. HE WAS ALSO RESPONSIBLE TO CALL THE SWITCHBOARD WHEN HE WENT TO LUNCH AND WHEN I TOLD HIM I WAS TO SUBPOENA HIS RECORDS HE ADMITTED HE DIDN'T CALL ON THE DAY IN QUESTION OR IN ANY OTHER DAY.

FOR CHARGES #12, 13, AND 14, IAD APPLIED THEIR TRADE ONCE AGAIN. THEY CHARGED WITH BEING OFF POST. I HAD THE DRUG INFESTED POST BY

WHAT IS KNOWN IN MANHATTAN AS THE HELL'S KITCHEN AREA AND AROUND RESTAURANT ROW. THE NEIGHBORHOOD WAS SO BAD AND THE PEOPLE WERE SO DISENCHANTED WITH POLICE RESPONSE THAT THEY CALLED IN THE GUARDIAN ANGELS, A VOLUNTEER YOUTH PATROL HEADED BY CURTIS SLIWA. THIS RECEIVED IMMENSE AMOUNT OF MEDIA ATTENTION AND THE ANGELS THEMSELVES WERE WITNESS TO THE PIMPS, PROSTITUTES AND DRUG DEALERS THAT RAVAGED THE AREA'S BUILDINGS. YET THE POLICE ONCE AGAIN WERE EMBARRASSED BY THIS AND THEY WOULD ORDER US TO MAKE TROUBLE FOR THE GUARDIAN ANGELS.

PART OF MY PATROL WAS TO INSPECT THE VESTIBULES OF THE BUILDINGS TO CHASE AWAY THE PROSTITUTES AND THE DRUG DEALERS AND USERS. I WOULD DO THIS DAILY WHEN I WORKED THERE AS I RECEIVED NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS FROM PEOPLE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD. YET IAD SAW ME WALK INTO A COUPLE OF BUILDINGS FOR A FEW MINUTES AND THEY CHARGED ME WITH BEING OFF POST AGAIN. I HAD CIVILIAN WITNESSES AND SEVERAL GUARDIAN ANGELS WHO WERE PREPARED TO ATTEST TO THIS FACT AND WHO WITNESSED ME DOING THIS REGULARLY. I EVEN HAD OTHER COPS TESTIFY TO THIS AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND YET WAS STILL FOUND GUILTY.

FOR CHARGE #14 I WAS CHARGE WITH TAKING AN EXTRA TEN MINUTES ON A PERSONAL BREAK WHICH IN FACT COPS ARE ENTITLED TO. THAT DAY I WAS QUITE ILL AND IN THE TOILET FOR TEN MINUTES LONGER THAN THEY CLAIM I WAS SUPPOSE TO BE. I PRODUCED DOCUMENTATION THAT JUST A COUPLE OF DAYS PRIOR I HAD TO BE TAKEN TO ROOSEVELT HOSPITAL WHILE ON PATROL BECAUSE I BECAME ILL. REGARDLESS, THE PATROL GUIDE SUGGESTS THAT EACH BREAK SHOULD BE 20 MINUTES IN LENGTH BUT IF NECESSITY CALLS IT COULD BE LONGER. I LOGGED THIS INTO MY MEMO BOOK AND YET I WAS FOUND GUILTY. THIS IS WHAT IAD HAS TO CONCERN THEMSELVES WITH.

FOR CHARGE #15 AND #16 I WAS CHARGED WITH:

"AT OR ABOUT 1515-1535 HOURS ON 7/19/89 WHILE ASSIGNED TO TF I, DID WRONGFULLY CARRY A PACKAGE WHILE IN UNIFORM".

THIS REGULATION WAS PUT INTO EFFECT MANY YEARS AGO BECAUSE COPS USED TO GO SHOPPING IN UNIFORM AND ACCEPT GRATUITOUS GIFTS ILLEGALLY. OFTEN THEY WOULD BE SEEN CARRYING PACKAGES FROM STORES AND PUT IT INTO THEIR RADIO CARS TO BRING HOME. HOWEVER THIS REGULATION IS MISUSED TO HURT GOOD COPS WHEN IAD DESIRES TO SELECTIVELY PUNISH COPS FOR DISCRIMINATORY REASONS. THE PACKAGE I WAS CARRYING WAS MY CONTRACT'S LAW BOOK AND A SMALL PAPERBACK IN MY POCKET ENTITLED "UNDERSTANDING YOUTH GANGS". I WAS A LAW STUDENT AT THE TIME AND I WOULD READ ON MY PERSONAL BREAKS WHICH IS PERMISSIBLE. THE TECHNICAL VIOLATION IS THAT AN OFFICER IS NOT SUPPOSE TO HAVE ANYTHING IN HIS HANDS BESIDES POLICE EQUIPMENT WHILE ON PATROL. COPS ARE NOT TO HAVE CIGARETTES, A CAN OF SODA, NEWSPAPERS ETC. THIS OBVIOUSLY IS NOT ENFORCED. ON ANY GIVEN DAY ONE CAN OBSERVE HUNDREDS OF POLICE OFFICERS CARRYING ALL SORTS OF PERSONAL ITEMS. YET I WAS FOUND GUILTY BECAUSE AT THE TIME I WAS JUST CARRYING THE BOOK BACK TO THE PRECINCT AT THE END OF MY TOUR WHICH IS WHEN IAD PHYSICALLY STOPPED, QUESTIONED AND FRISKED (SEARCHED) ME ON THE STREET ILLEGALLY. THERE WERE POLICE OFFICIAL WITNESSES WHO OBSERVED THE LAW BOOK IN MY HAND AND WHO LATER ATTESTED TO THIS AND YET IAD CLAIMS THEY "COULDN'T REMEMBER WHAT PACKAGE I WAS CARRYING", DURING MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.

THAT SAME DAY AS MANY AS SEVEN IAD OFFICERS WERE ASSIGNED TO FOLLOW ME AROUND AT WORK. AT ONE POINT THEY TESTIFIED AT THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING THAT THEY FOLLOWED ME BACK TO THE PRECINCT

AND THEY OBSERVED ME DRIVING HOME. THIS WAS A TYPICAL EXAMPLE OF THEIR INCOMPETENCY AND PERJURY OVER MY CASE. THEY COULDN'T HAVE OBSERVED ME DRIVING HOME BECAUSE ON THAT DAY I WAS ATTENDING LAW SCHOOL AND TOOK THE LONG ISLAND RAILROAD AND MORE IMPORTANTLY THE VEHICLE THEY NOTED I WAS DRIVING DIDN'T EXIST ANYMORE AS I HAD FILED A POLICE REPORT A FEW WEEKS PRIOR AS THE CAR WAS STOLEN.

FOR SPECIFICATION #17 I WAS CHARGED AGAIN WITH TAKING MY MEAL PERIOD AT "AN UNAUTHORIZED MEAL LOCATION". THIS REGULATION WAS DESIGNED TO PREVENT COPS FROM TAKING THEIR MEAL PERIOD IN AN ESTABLISHMENT THAT SERVES ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES. IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE MANY POLICE PERSONNEL HAVE A PROBLEM WITH ABUSING ALCOHOL WHERE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THEMSELVES HAVE WHAT THEY CALL "THE FARM", WHERE COPS COULD DRY OUT ON SICK LEAVE. I OCCASIONALLY TOOK MY MEAL PERIOD IN AN OFFICE BUILDING THAT HAD A RESTAURANT IN IT WHICH IS PERMISSIBLE. I WOULD THEN SPEND PART OF BREAK IN A FRIEND'S OFFICE DOING VOLUNTEER WORK FOR A CHARITABLE ORGANIZATION I AM IN CHARGE OF AS A VOLUNTEER. YET I WAS FOUND GUILTY.

FOR SPECIFICATION #18, I WAS CHARGED WITH:
"DID WRONGFULLY CONDUCT PERSONAL BUSINESS WHILE ON DUTY".
THIS REGULATION WAS IN EFFECT TO PREVENT COPS FROM WORKING ANOTHER JOB WHILE ON DUTY AND TO PREVENT COPS FROM SPENDING TIME WITH THEIR GIRLFRIENDS/BOYFRIENDS AT THEIR PLACE OF BUSINESS, RESIDENCE OR IN THE LOCAL HOTELS. THE PERSONAL BUSINESS THEY CLAIM I WAS DOING WAS THE FACT THAT I GAVE A WOMAN, WHO I HAD JUST MET RECENTLY, MY PERSONAL CARD WITH MY HOME PHONE NUMBER. THIS IS DONE DAILY OR HOURLY BY HUNDREDS OF POLICE OFFICERS YET I WAS WRITTEN UP FOR THIS AND FOUND

GUILTY.

FOR SPECIFICATION #19, I WAS CHARGED WITH:

"DID IMPROPERLY PATROL HIS POST, IN THAT ; HE WAS SITTING IN DISABLED DEPARTMENT VEHICLE #2068, PARKED IN THE VICINITY OF 41 STREET, BETWEEN 11-12TH AVE." THIS WAS A BLATANT LIE. THIS WAS AN ILLEGAL ASSIGNMENT TO BEGIN WITH. THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT WAS UNDER CONSTRUCTION SO WE HAD MOVED TO TEMPORARY QUARTERS ON W. 42ND ST AND 10TH AVE. COPS WERE ONLY ALLOWED TO PARK THEIR CARS ONLY AT THE ABOVE LOCATION.

HOWEVER MANY OFFICERS WERE HAVING THEIR PRIVATE CARS BEING STOLEN AND BROKEN INTO SO THE COPS THEMSELVES DEMANDED THEY BE ABLE TO HAVE ONE OF THEIR OWN POSTED 24 HOURS A DAY TO PREVENT THIS. THE COMMANDING OFFICER RESISTED AND THE COPS STAGED A "SLOW DOWN AT WORK" MEANING THEY REFUSED TO WRITE ANY MORE SUMMONSES OR TO MAKE THE SAME QUOTA OF ARRESTS. THE SUPERVISOR ACQUIESCED AND THIS ASSIGNMENT BECAME A "FIXER" WHERE THE OFFICER ASSIGNED HAD TO STAY ONLY ON THAT BLOCK AND COULDN'T LEAVE FOR ANY REASON WITHOUT A RELIEF. HOWEVER NO ONE WANTED TO DO IT BECAUSE IT WAS WARM WEATHER THEN AND IT WAS A HEALTH HAZARD TO STAY THERE ALL DAY AS IT IS BY THE ENTRANCE OF THE LINCOLN TUNNEL WHERE ALL THE HUGH VOLUME OF TRUCKS AND BUSES BLOW THEIR ENGINE EXHAUST FUMES WAITING TO ENTER THE TUNNEL. ROOKIES WERE ORIGINALLY ASSIGNED THERE AND THEN IT BECAME A PUNITIVE ASSIGNMENT FOR VETERAN COPS LIKE MYSELF. THE COMMANDING OFFICER MADE LIFE MORE TOLERABLE FOR THE OFFICER ASSIGNED THERE BY SUPPLYING A BROKEN DOWN RADIO CAR FOR THE OFFICER TO SIT IN ALL DAY WHICH USUALLY HAD AIR-CONDITIONING. THIS WAS CLEARLY PERMITTED AS ON THE ROLL CALL SHEET NEXT TO THE OFFICER'S NAME WAS THE RADIO CAR # ASSIGNED. HE

WAS TO BE ABLE TO SIT IN IT ALL DAY AS LONG AS HE COULD OBSERVED THE POST THROUGH THE WINDOW. THE KEYS WERE GIVEN TO HIM BY THE DESK OFFICER. I EXPLAINED THIS AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND I IMploRED THE HEARING OFFICER TO ALLOW ME TO BRING IN MY CAPTAIN WHO WOULD VERIFY THIS BUT WAS NOT PERMITTED. HOWEVER I HAD OTHER OFFICERS ATTEST TO THIS AS WELL. I WAS FOUND GUILTY REGARDLESS.

SPECIFICATION #20 WENT ALONG WITH #19 IN AS MUCH AS I WAS CHARGED WITH,

"DID WRONGFULLY PERMIT NON-UNIFORM PERSONNEL TO ENTER AND REMAIN IN DEPARTMENT VEHICLE #2068 WITHOUT PERMISSION OR PROPER POLICE NECESSITY."

THIS REGULATION WAS IN EFFECT TO PREVENT PEOPLE FROM MAKING FALSE ACCUSATIONS AGAINST POLICE OFFICERS. THE REGULATION IN THE PATROL GUIDE CALLS FOR WHEN ANY NON-UNIFORM OR NON-POLICE PERSONNEL ENTERS A RADIO MOTOR PATROL VEHICLE FOR TRANSPORT THEN THE POLICE OFFICER IN CHARGE OF THE VEHICLE MUST NOTIFY CENTRAL BY RADIO AND MAKE A MEMO BOOK ENTRY. IN MY CASE THIS RULE DIDN'T NOT APPLY BECAUSE IT WAS NOT A WORKING RADIO MOTOR PATROL VEHICLE. IT DIDN'T MOVE. ONLY THE ENGINE STARTED UP. I WAS CONTINUOUSLY BEING DENIED A RELIEF OFFICER TO TAKE MY MEAL SO I CALLED A PERSONAL FRIEND WHO BROUGHT ME MY LUNCH.

WHEN HE CAME, I ALLOWED HIM TO ENTER THE VEHICLE AS IT WAS 8/15/89, A SWELTERING HOT AND HUMID DAY AND THE EXHAUST FUMES AND THE WEATHER MADE IT INTOLERABLE FOR ANYONE TO STAND AROUND. THE CAR OFFERED AIR-CONDITIONING. THIS IS A COMMON PRACTICE AMONG OFFICERS. THE MOST HARASSING ELEMENT WAS THAT WHILE I WAS ASSIGNED TO BE AT THIS "FIXER POST" ALL DAY, IAD HAD ASSIGNED A TEAM OF OFFICERS A BLOCK

AWAY TO PHYSICAL OBSERVE ME WITH BINOCULARS. THIS CONSTANT SURVEILLANCE OF ME ON AND OFF DUTY WAS INTENSE HARASSMENT AS A RESULT OF DISCRIMINATORY PRACTICES THAT I WAS SUBJECTED TO BY THE NYPD. ORIGINALLY IT WAS INITIATED BECAUSE I WAS AN ORTHODOX JEW AND LATER BECAME INTENSIFIED WHEN I BEGAN WRITING AND LECTURING PUBLICLY AND BECAME MORE INTOLERABLE WHEN HIGH RANKING POLICE OFFICIALS WERE IN FEAR THAT THEY THEMSELVES MAY GET INTO TROUBLE FROM SUPPRESSING MY ORIGINAL REPORT ABOUT CRIMINAL ACTIVITY AT THE FIRM GOLDMAN SACHS AND COMPANY. THEY WERE TRYING TO PROTECT THE EXECUTIVE MANAGING PARTNERS WHO OWNED THE COMPANY AS MANY FORMER HIGH RANKING POLICE PERSONNEL WERE NOW EMPLOYED THERE AS SECURITY AS WITH OTHER COMPANIES ON WALL STREET. THE NYPD BASICALLY HAS BECOME A PRIVATE SECURITY FORCE FOR THE RICH AND POLITICALLY AFFLUENT.

FOR SPECIFICATION #21, IN CONTINUANCE WITH THE ABOVE CHARGES AT THE ABOVE LOCATION, I WAS CHARGED WITH,

"DID IMPROPERLY PATROL HIS POST, IN THAT; HE WAS TALKING ON THE TELEPHONE IN THE VICINITY OF 41 STREET AND 11 AVE."

THERE IS NO REGULATION THAT A POLICE CANNOT USE A PUBLIC PAY-PHONE.

ADDITIONALLY I WAS CALLING FROM A PAY-PHONE WHICH WAS ON MY POST WHICH WAS OUTSIDE WHERE I HAD FULL VIEW OF THE ENTIRE BLOCK AT ALL TIMES. NO ONE REQUESTED ANY POLICE ASSISTANCE FROM ME, POLICE CENTRAL DID NOT MAKE ANY ATTEMPT TO CONTACT ME ON THE RADIO, AND NO POLICE INCIDENTS OCCURRED WHILE I WAS ON THE TELEPHONE ON MY POST. THERE WAS NO DERELICTION OF DUTY AS THE CHARGE IMPLIES. IN FACT I TESTIFIED THAT I WAS ON THE PHONE MOST OF THE TIME WITH VARIOUS POLICE OFFICIALS BEGGING THEM TO "GET ME OFF THIS PUNITIVE POST" OR AT LEAST GRANT

ME THE RELIEF THAT I WAS ENTITLED TO. I WAS FOUND GUILTY.

SPECIFICATION # 22, I WAS CHARGED WITH THE SAME CHARGE AS THE ONE ABOVE ONLY AT ANOTHER LOCATION. I ALSO PAID FOR ALL MY PHONE CALLS.

SPECIFICATION #23, I WAS CHARGED WITH,
"INSIDE HIS RESIDENCE, HAVING BEEN DIRECTED BY SGT. JAMES CORDON, OF IAD TO UNLOAD HIS WEAPONS AND SURRENDER THEM, DID FAIL AND NEGLECT TO COMPLY WITH SAID ORDER TO PROPERLY UNLOAD."

THIS IS A DISGRACEFUL LIE AND THIS CHARGE WAS DISMISSED AS THE SGT. AND HIS PARTNER DET. HARDICK WOULD OF HAD TO PERJURE THEMSELVES. WHEN I WAS PLACED ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT I HAD TO SURRENDER MY SHIELD AND ALL MY GUNS. I WAS IN FULL COMPLIANCE. I SURRENDERED MY SHIELD AND MY OFF-DUTY GUN AT THE IAD OFFICE. I TOLD IAD I WOULD BRING IN MY OTHER THREE GUNS THE NEXT DAY AND THEY INSISTED ON ME DOING AT THAT MOMENT. THEY ESCORTED ME BACK TO MY PRECINCT WHERE THEY OBSERVED ME OPENING UP MY LOCKER AND I TOOK MY SERVICE REVOLVER AND GAVE IT TO THEM. THEN DEMANDED TO GO TO MY HOME AND PICK UP MY OTHER TWO GUNS. AT MY HOME I PRESENTED THEM THE FIRST GUN WHICH WAS A .357 MAGNUM. THE SECOND GUN WAS AN AUTOMATIC PISTOL WHERE THE GUN WAS JAMMED AND I TOLD THEM THAT I BELIEVE THERE WAS A BULLET LODGED IN THE CHAMBER AND THAT THEY SHOULD BE CAREFUL. THEY ORDERED ME TO REMOVE THE BULLET. I TOLD THEM I WASN'T A GUNSMITH AND THAT I COULD NOT DO IT SAFELY. I ALSO SAID THAT IT SHOULD BE DONE ON A POLICE PISTOL RANGE BY ONE OF THEIR OFFICERS. THEY AGREED. I FIRST ONLY SAW THIS CHARGE MONTHS LATER. IT WAS ANOTHER SET OF CHEAP BLATANT LIES THAT IAD ENGAGES IN REGULARLY. THE CHARGE WAS DROPPED.

FOR SPECIFICATION #24, I WAS CHARGED WITH.

"DID FAIL AND NEGLECT TO ANSWER SAID SUMMONSES ON THEIR RETURN DATES AND HAS NOT ANSWERED SAID SUMMONSES TO DATE. (PARKING TICKETS) AGAIN THIS WAS NOT TRUE. MY PERSONAL VEHICLE PRIOR TO THE TIME IN QUESTION DID RECEIVE PARKING SUMMONSES. HOWEVER BEFORE INTERNAL AFFAIRS EVEN BROUGHT THIS TO MY ATTENTION THE SUMMONSES WERE ALREADY PAID FOR AS I SHOWED THEM THE RECEIPT. YET I WAS STILL WRITTEN UP FOR THIS. I WAS TOLD THE ONLY ENFORCEABLE REASON IAD AT THE TIME WAS ABLE TO PLACE ME ON MODIFIED ASSIGNMENT, WHERE I WAS STRIPPED OF MY SHIELD AND GUN, WAS BECAUSE I OWED PARKING SUMMONSES. THERE WAS AN INTERIM ORDER OUT ABOUT THIS BUT IT WAS HARDLY EVER ENFORCE IN THE HISTORY OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SECONDLY IT DIDN'T APPLY TO ME AS THE ORDER WAS MEANT IF THE SUMMONSES WERE OUTSTANDING. THIS CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION WAS DISMISSED YET I WAS FORCED TO REMAIN ON MODIFIED STATUS WHICH IS A HUMILIATING FOR ANY OFFICER ESPECIALLY IF HE WAS INNOCENT.

FOR SPECIFICATION # 25, I WAS CHARGED WITH,

"DID WRONGFULLY, FALSELY REPORT A POLICE INCIDENT TO MANHATTAN SOUTH PUBLIC MORALS DIVISION, LODGED UNDER COMPLAINT #31314."

THIS IS NOT TRUE. KATHY ABRAHAM, A WOMAN WHO I PERSONALLY KNEW INFORMED ME THAT SHE WAS BEING SEXUALLY HARASSED AND ABUSED BY HER BOSS, LEWIS EISENBERG, THEN SENIOR PARTNER AT THE BILLION DOLLAR BROKERAGE FIRM OF GOLDMAN SACHS AND COMPANY. SHE INFORMED ME OF VARIOUS CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES SEVERAL PARTNERS AT THE FIRM WERE ENGAGED IN HAVING TO DO WITH PROSTITUTION, NARCOTICS, AND INSIDER TRADING.

ANOTHER WOMAN WHO WAS ALSO SEXUALLY INVOLVED WITH ANOTHER MARRIED

PARTNER AT THE FIRM LATER CORROBORATED THIS AS WELL. I WAS LEGALLY OBLIGATED TO INFORM MY POLICE DEPARTMENT ABOUT THIS AS AN OFFICER OF THE LAW. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ITSELF WAS CORRUPT IN ITSELF AS THEY REFUSED TO INVESTIGATE THIS CASE WHEN THEY HEARD IT WAS GOLDMAN, SACHS, AND COMPANY AS MANY RETIRED POLICE OFFICIALS GAIN THEIR EMPLOYMENT ON WALL STREET.

KATHY ABRAHAM TESTIFIED AT MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING THAT SHE TOLD THIS INFORMATION TO ME AND THAT SHE HERSELF FILED CRIMINAL CHARGES AGAINST LEWIS EISENBERG AND CIVILLY SUED HIM AND THE FIRM. KATHY ABRAHAM HAS SINCE SETTLED HER CASE OUT OF COURT FOR \$250,000. I TRIED LATER TO INTRODUCE EVIDENCE THAT THE ONLY REASON WHY I ORIGINALLY GOT INVOLVED WITH THIS CASE WAS TO TRY AND HELP KATHY ABRAHAM A FORMER FRIEND. I TRIED TO INTRODUCE KATHY ABRAHAM'S SWORN CIVIL COMPLAINT WHERE SHE STATED AND ILLUSTRATED IN DETAIL HOW SHE WAS BEING VICTIMIZED BY LEWIS EISENBERG WHICH INCLUDED AN ATTEMPTED RAPE AT ONE POINT IN HER HOME TO PUNISH HER BY INFECTING HER WITH A VENEREAL DISEASE AS HE BELIEVED HE HAD CAUGHT IT ORIGINALLY THROUGH HER. ADDITIONALLY HER COMPLAINT CHARGED EISENBERG AND THE COMPANY FOR OFFERING HER MONEY AND BENEFITS TO PERFORM SEXUAL FAVORS FOR OTHER PARTNERS AND BUSINESS CLIENTS OF THE FIRM. THIS WAS A COMMON PRACTICE AT THE FIRM.

THE POLICE HEARING OFFICER WOULD NOT LET THIS CRUCIAL COMPLAINT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE THEREBY CONSPICUOUSLY DENYING ME DUE PROCESS. AS A MATTER OF LAW A POLICE OFFICER MUST REPORT ANY CRIME WHICH IS REPORTED TO HIM BY ANY CIVILIAN. IT IS NOT UP TO THE INDIVIDUAL OFFICER AT THE TIME TO DECIDE IF THE COMPLAINANT IS TELLING THE TRUTH OR NOT. KATHY ABRAHAM'S TESTIMONY AND HER AFFIDAVIT SHOULD

HAVE EXONERATED ME TO THESE CHARGES.

THE POLICE DEPARTMENT MADE ME A PLEA BARGAIN OFFER FOR THIS AND THE NEXT THREE CHARGES AFTER THIS. IF I STATE THAT I WAS "JUST MISTAKEN" IN MY REPORT AND I PLEA BARGAIN TO HARASSING LEW EISENBERG THEN I WOULD BE RETURNED TO FULL DUTY. I TURNED THIS OFFER DOWN AS IT WASN'T TRUE.

SPECIFICATION #26, I WAS CHARGED WITH,
"ON 7/6/89 DID WRONGFULLY ABUSE HIS AUTHORITY AS A POLICE OFFICER BY THREAT OF ARREST TO OBTAIN A BENEFIT FOR HIMSELF OR ANOTHER PERSON, AND THE OTHER PERSON DID IN FACT RECEIVE A BENEFIT."

THIS IS NOT TRUE. THE POLICE WERE TRYING TO COVER UP THE FACT THAT THEY WOULD NOT INVESTIGATE THE POWERFUL GOLDMAN SACHS. ALSO FOR THE FIRST TIME IN MY POLICE CAREER THEY HAVE A LIVE PERSON WHO WAS WILLING TO MAKE AN OFFICIAL COMPLAINT AGAINST ME, ONLY IT WAS OBVIOUSLY TAINTED. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S COMPLAINT WITNESS AGAINST ME WAS LEWIS EISENBERG WHO WAS A DEFENDANT IN A MULTI- MILLION DOLLAR LAW SUIT CHARGING HIM AND HIS FIRM WITH SEXUAL ABUSE AND HARASSMENT.

I WAS TO BE A WITNESS AGAINST HIM IN THE THEN UPCOMING CIVIL TRIAL AS I WAS THE FIRST PERSON KATHY ABRAHAM CLAIMED TO TELL AND HAVE COME TO FOR HELP. I NEVER MISUSED MY AUTHORITY IN ANY CAPACITY EVER. I NEVER RECEIVED OR REQUESTED ANY PERSONAL BENEFIT FOR MYSELF. WHAT I DID DEMAND OF LEWIS EISENBERG IS THAT HE STAY AWAY FROM KATHY ABRAHAM AS HE WAS PHYSICALLY THREATENING HER AND HER CHILD AT HER RESIDENCE AND HE WAS THREATENING HER PROFESSIONAL CAREER.

AT THIS POINT LEWIS EISENBERG WAS THREATENING TO SUBSTANTIALLY HARM ME PROFESSIONALLY AND PHYSICALLY THROUGH HIRED HELP. I TAPED RECORDED

A FEW TELEPHONE CONVERSATIONS BETWEEN EISENBERG AND MYSELF AND I TURNED THE TAPES INTO THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE IN SUPPORT OF MY CRIMINAL COMPLAINT I LODGED AGAINST HIM AS I WAS ADVISED BY MY PRECINCT SUPERIORS AT THE TIME. I WAS FOUND GUILTY OF THIS EVEN THOUGH THERE WAS OVERWHELMING EVIDENCE ON MY BEHALF.

SPECIFICATION #27, I WAS CHARGED WITH,
"ON OR ABOUT 11/3/89, DID WRONGFULLY HARASS ONE LEWIS EISENBERG, IN THAT; HE DID MAIL A LETTER TO HIM HAVING NO PURPOSE OR LEGITIMATE COMMUNICATION."

THIS IS NOT TRUE. I NEVER SENT OR MAILED EISENBERG ANY SUCH LETTER OR I NEVER ASKED OR AUTHORIZED ANYONE TO DO IT ON MY BEHALF. IN DEC. OF 1989 I WAS SUSPENDED FOR THIS ALONE WITHOUT EVEN BEING QUESTIONED ABOUT THE INCIDENT. I WAS FOUND GUILTY OF THIS AS WELL.

SPECIFICATION #28, WAS THE MOST DESPICABLE, DEFAMATORY CHARGE ANY POLICE OFFICER COULD BE CHARGED WITH. THIS CHARGE CAME TWO MONTHS AFTER ALL THE OTHER CHARGES YET SUPPOSEDLY DATES BACK TO JUNE OF 1989.

IT SEEMS THAT LEWIS EISENBERG AND INTERNAL AFFAIRS BECAME DESPERATE IN TRYING TO HAVE ME THROWN OFF THE FORCE. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CHARGED ME WITH.

"SAID POLICE OFFICER GARY MOSKOWITZ, OFF-DUTY, ASSIGNED TO MIDTOWN NORTH PRECINCT, AT OR ABOUT 1000 HOURS ON JUNE 28, 1989, DID WRONGFULLY ATTEMPT TO COMPEL AND/OR INDUCE LEWIS EISENBERG TO DELIVER PROPERTY TO HIMSELF AND/OR KATHY ABRAHAM BY INSTILLING A FEAR IN LEWIS EISENBERG THAT THE RESPONDENT WOULD TAKE ACTION TO MATERIALLY HARM LEWIS EISENBERG IN REGARD TO LEWIS EISENBERG'S CAREER, FINANCIAL CONDITION, REPUTATION OR PERSONAL RELATIONSHIPS TO WIT: THAT MOSKOWITZ WOULD

USE MATERIAL OR INFORMATION OF WHICH HE HAD KNOWLEDGE TO EXPOSE THE FACT OF MR. EISENBERG 'S EXTRA-MARITAL SEXUAL RELATIONSHIP WITH KATHY ABRAHAM, AN EMPLOYEE OF HIS BUSINESS." THIS CHARGE WAS DATED FEBRUARY 14, 1990.

THIS A BLATANT LIE AND WAS ORCHESTRATED BY THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO INSURE MY IMMEDIATE DISMISSAL AFTER MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.

THERE ARE HOURS OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COURT TRANSCRIPTS ON THE SUBJECT WHICH I WILL BRING IN AT MY TRIAL. THE MOST IMPORTANT THING TO NOTE THAT AT THE TIME LEWIS EISENBERG IS CLAIMING I TRIED TO "EXTORT BENEFITS" FROM HIM HIS PARTNERSHIP GOLDMAN SACHS AND HIS BEST FRIENDS WERE THE DIRECT TARGET OF AN INVESTIGATION LAUNCHED BY THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR INSIDER TRADING. RUDOLPH GULIANI HAD ALREADY ARRESTED EISENBERG'S CLOSEST FRIEND BOB FREEMAN ALSO A SENIOR PARTNER AT THE GOLDMAN SACHS WHO EISENBERG WORKED WITH DAILY ON THE SAME FLOOR AT GOLDMAN SACHS. BOTH PARTNERS WERE EARNING OVER TEN MILLION DOLLARS ANNUALLY BETWEEN SALARY AND BONUSES. BOB FREEMAN WAS OFFERED A PLEA BARGAIN AND PLEAD GUILTY TO A CRIMINAL FELONY ACCOUNT OF INSIDER TRADING. EISENBERG, AT THE TIME WAS IN FEAR THAT HE TOO, WOULD BE INDICTED TO SIMILAR AND OTHER CRIMINAL CHARGES.

I ORIGINALLY MADE A CRIMINAL COMPLAINT AGAINST EISENBERG AS HE WAS THREATENING ME. IT WAS ONLY WEEKS LATER THAT HE FILED A CROSS COMPLAINT AGAINST ME WITH THE RETALIATORY COMPLAINT OF EXTORTION WHICH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT I BELIEVE ENCOURAGED HIM TO LIE FOR THEIR OWN PURPOSES IN SEEING ME DISMISSED. EISENBERG TESTIFIED THAT I ONLY WANTED MONEY ON ONE OCCASION ON 6/28/89 AND THAT I HAD NEVER REQUESTED

IT AGAIN. YET EXACTLY THE DAY BEFORE, I HAD ALREADY FILED MY REPORT WITH THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU OF THE NYPD - DIRECTLY WITH THE COMMANDING OFFICER, INSPECTOR BIEHLER. IT SEEMS PREPOSTEROUS FOR ANYONE TO BELIEVE THAT I WOULD FILE A CRIMINAL REPORT AGAINST EISENBERG AND GOLDMAN SACHS ON 6/27/89 AND THE VERY NEXT DAY TRY TO EXTORT MONEY FROM HIM WHERE I WAS LED TO BELIEVE THAT THERE WOULD BE A FULL INVESTIGATION INTO EISENBERG AND GOLDMAN SACHS. THIS COMMANDER OFFICER OF THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU DIRECTED ME NOT TO TELL ANYONE ELSE AND NOT TO FILE ANY WRITTEN REPORTS INCLUDING INTELLIGENCE REPORTS FROM THE PRECINCTS. HE WAS AFRAID OF ANY LEAKS. YET PARTNERS AT GOLDMAN SACHS FOUND OUT AND THEY WERE PETRIFIED OF ANOTHER SCANDAL AT THE COMPANY AS THEIR FORMER PARTNER BOB FREEMAN WAS ARRESTED AT THE TIME ALONG WITH THE INFAMOUS BOSKY AND LATER MICHAEL MILKEN.

THERE WAS A HUGE COVER-UP IN THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR HOW THEY PURPOSELY DID NOT INVESTIGATE THE CHARGES I BROUGHT FORTH ABOUT GOLDMAN SACHS. THIS WILL FIRST BE COMING TO LIGHT AS ONE OF MY MAIN WITNESSES I NEEDED TO TESTIFY THAT WOULD HAVE EXONERATED ME WAS **BOB RUBIN**, THE FORMER CO-CHAIRMAN OF GOLDMAN SACHS AND COMPANY.

I TRIED TO SUBPOENA HIM TO MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING BUT THE POLICE HEARING OFFICER REFUSED, AGAIN DENYING ME DUE PROCESS AND PROTECTING BOB RUBIN, HIS COMPANY, AND SUPERVISING MEMBERS OF THE ORGANIZED CRIME CONTROL BUREAU. BOB RUBIN HAS RECENTLY ACCEPTED PRESIDENT ELECT CLINTON'S POSITION AS THE FIRST NEWLY CREATED CABINET POSITION AS CHIEF ECONOMIC ADVISOR.

IF THE NYPD ACTUALLY BELIEVED ANY OF THE LAST FEW CHARGES THEY WERE UNDER THE LEGAL OBLIGATION TO PROSECUTE ME CRIMINALLY UPON WHICH

I INSISTED. THEY CHOSE NOT TO BECAUSE THEN I WOULD BE ENTITLED TO A FULL CRIMINAL TRIAL WITH ALL THE RIGHTS THAT GO ALONG WITH IT. THIS WOULD MEAN FOR ONE THING THAT HEARSAY EVIDENCE WOULD NOT BE ADMISSIBLE AND THAT NO CRIMINAL COURT WOULD EVER CONVICT ME BASED ONLY ON EISENBERG'S TESTIMONY. IF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WOULD HAVE LOST IN A CRIMINAL TRIAL THEN THEY WOULD HAVE READILY OPENED THEMSELVES AND GOLDMAN SACHS UP TO A CIVIL SUIT FOR MALICIOUS PROSECUTION AND ABUSE OF ABUSE ALONG WITH SEVERAL OTHER TORTS. IN FACT THE MANHATTAN DISTRICT ATTORNEY KNEW ALL THE DETAILS AND YET THEY CHOSE NOT CHARGE ME CRIMINALLY BUT LET THE POLICE DEPARTMENT HANDLE IT IN THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE COURT.

EACH CHARGE AND SPECIFICATION DISPLAYED INTENSE HARASSMENT AND DISCRIMINATION. THE NYPD WAS TRYING TO TERMINATE ME FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THROUGH A SELECTIVE ENFORCEMENT OF AN ARCHAIC POLICE GUIDELINE WHICH IS ONLY ENFORCED FOR THOSE THE POLICE HIERARCHY HATE.

THEY ALSO WANTED ME OFF THE POLICE FORCE AT THIS POINT AS THE GOLDMAN SACHS CASE COULD HAVE EXPOSED MAJOR CORRUPTION AMONG THE HIGHER POLICE OFFICIALS.

1/15/90 DET. KOALSKY FROM THE POLICE INTELLIGENCE UNIT CALLED ME TO INQUIRE ABOUT THE NEW BIAS DEATH THREATS I WAS RECEIVING. I TOLD HIM I BELIEVED THE CALLS WERE BEING MADE BY NEW YORK CITY POLICE OFFICERS AS THE VERBIAGE OF THE DEATH THREATS WERE COMMON JARGON AMONG COPS. HOWEVER AS BEFORE THEY DID NOTHING ABOUT IT.

1/15/90 CAPT. WALSH SPOKE TO ME PRIVATELY TELLING ME HE BELIEVED

MY STORY AND SAID, "WORD FROM THE TOP IS THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WANTS YOU OUT, TERMINATED." HE CONTINUED THAT THEY ARE PUTTING PRESSURE ON HIM TO DOCUMENT MORE COMPLAINTS, NO MATTER HOW SMALL AGAINST ME SO THEY COULD USE IT AGAINST ME AT MY UPCOMING ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING. HE CONTINUED SAYING ALL THE SUPERVISORS WERE ADVISED OF THIS AND FELT BY "WRITING YOU UP FOR COMPLAINTS", (COMMAND DISCIPLINES) THAT THEY WERE GAINING EXTRA POINTS WITH THE POLICE BRASS FOR THEMSELVES. HE CONTINUED, "THERE IS STRONG RESENTMENT AGAINST YOU FOR BEING AN OBSERVANT JEW". HE CAUTIONED ME TO BE CAREFUL AND STRESSED THAT IN NO WAY DOES HE PERSONALLY AGREE WITH THE POLICE HIERARCHY FOR WHAT THEY ARE DOING TO ME. HE WANTED ME TO KNOW THIS BECAUSE I TOLD HIM THAT SHOULD I BE FIRED BECAUSE OF THIS I WOULD FILE AN EMPLOYMENT EQUAL OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT OR A COMPLAINT IN FEDERAL COURT AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

1/25/90 I OFFICIALLY RECEIVED FOUR COMMAND DISCIPLINES FROM SIX MONTHS PRIOR WHEN I WAS ASSIGNED TO MANHATTAN CRIMINAL COURT. THE DISCIPLINARY MEASURES WERE AN OUTRAGEOUS DISPLAY OF HARASSMENT BY THE NYPD AS I RECEIVED THEM FOR SIGNING IN 20 MINUTES LATE WHEN I WAS OUT ON SICK REPORT AND I HAD TO VISIT THE POLICE SURGEON'S TO REPORT IN AS ALL COPS DO. ADDITIONALLY I WAS CHARGED FOR "UNLAWFUL ENTRY IN A POLICE LOG. I WROTE IN THE LOG I CAME IN AT 2:20PM AND THE FEMALE SGT. TOLD ME SHE SAW THAT IS WAS ALREADY 2:30PM. I CALLED LT. CYRON FROM THE MANHATTAN CRIMINAL COURT TO PROTEST THIS AND HE BECAME HOSTILE TOWARDS ME.

1/31/90 I CALLED FORMER HUMAN RIGHTS POLICE COMMISSIONER JOSE RAMOS WHO WAS NOW WORKING IN THE CORRECTIONS DEPARTMENT. I APPEALED TO HIM FOR ASSISTANCE AS HE HIMSELF PHYSICALLY WITNESSED A NAZI SWASTIKA ON MY LOCKER AT THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT AND QUESTIONED ME ABOUT IT THEN. I TOLD HIM THE ANTI-SEMITIC SITUATION HAS GOTTEN PROGRESSIVELY WORSE AND THAT I WAS READY TO FILE ANY FORMAL CHARGES BUT I NEEDED A STATEMENT FROM HIM ABOUT OUR PAST CONVERSATIONS OF ME INFORMING HIM OF THIS SITUATION. HE ENCOURAGED ME TO FILE ANY COMPLAINT AND THAT HE WOULD GET BACK TO ME WHICH HE NEVER DID.

2/90 I WAS CONSTANTLY BEING HARASSED AND ANNOYED. I WAS BEING ORDERED TO GET RETRAINED IN THE MOST BASIC PROCEDURES BECAUSE THE SUPERVISORS WERE STATING THAT I CANNOT COPE AND CAN NOT DO THE JOB PROPERLY. THE FACT IS THAT A RETARDED MONKEY COULD DO ANY OF THE POSITIONS DOWN THERE AND I WAS THE MOST EDUCATED OFFICER WORKING THERE SO IT WAS ABSURD FOR THEM TO TRY AND MAKE THAT ARGUMENT.

2/11/90 I MADE A COMPLAINT TO LT. ONUFRAK REGARDING THE ANTI-SEMITIC WRITING ON THE WALLS IN THE POLICE LOCKER ROOM. AMONG OTHER THINGS IT STATED, "BE A JEW AND GET OFF ON SATURDAYS". THE LT. TOLD ME IT WAS BEST NOT TO DOCUMENT ANY WRITTEN COMPLAINTS FOR IT WOULD BE WORSE FOR ME IF ANY OTHER POLICE OFFICER OR SUPERVISORS WOULD GET INTO TROUBLE BECAUSE OF IT. THE LT. WAS NOT EMPOWERED TO ADVISE THIS TO ME AND HE WAS RESPONSIBLE BY POLICE PROCEDURE TO TAKE A FULL REPORT.

2/21/90 I HAD AN INTERVIEW WITH WITH THE BROOKLYN DISTRICT

ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR A POSITION WORKING AS A DETECTIVE IN THEIR UNIT BECAUSE THEY NEEDED SOME OFFICER WITH MY QUALIFICATIONS TO WORK ON SOME CASES THEY WERE EMBARKING ON. I INTERVIEWED WITH THE SENIOR STAFF WITH DOUG LAVIEN AND JOE FISCH. I WAS TOLD AS USUAL THAT THERE IS STILL, "A CLOUD IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOLLOWING ME AND THAT I CAN NOT TRANSFER UNTIL IT IS LIFTED." I APPEALED TO THEM TO HELP ME AS THEY THEMSELVES WERE THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE RESPONSIBLE FOR A SEMBLANCE OF JUSTICE. I HAD PREVIOUSLY INFORMED AND APPEALED TO JOE FISCH AS A LEGAL REPRESENTATIVE IN THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AND AS AN ACTIVE JEWISH OFFICIAL IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY ABOUT THE CIVIL AND CRIMINAL DISCRIMINATION I WAS RECEIVING IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THEY WISHED ME LUCK BUT WOULDN'T HELP ME.

2/26/90 THERE WAS A PRISONER WHO WASN'T EATING BECAUSE HE ATE ONLY KOSHER FOOD. I INFORMED THE SUPERVISOR THEN AND HE DIDN'T CARE EVEN WHEN I INSISTED IT WAS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S RESPONSIBILITY TO FEED ALL THE PRISONERS WHICH INCLUDED ORDERING KOSHER FOOD. HE LAUGHED.

I WENT OUT ON MY BREAK AND I BOUGHT THE PRISONER KOSHER FOOD WITH MY OWN FUNDS. UPON LEARNING THIS A FEW OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS BEGAN TAUNTING ME ABOUT THE FACT THAT, "YOU ARE MORE CLOSER TO JEWISH PRISONERS THAN TO FELLOW COPS."

3/90 I HAD NAZI SWASTIKA DRAWN ON POLICE LOCKER. I COMPLAINED AND SHOWED THE SWASTIKA TO LT. ONUFRAK THE SUPERVISOR WORKING THEN.

THE LT. SPOKE TO ME FOR OVER AN HOUR TELLING ME IT WAS IN MY INTEREST NOT TO MAKE A WRITTEN REPORT BECAUSE THEN INTERNAL AFFAIRS WOULD HAVE

TO INVESTIGATE THIS ALONG WITH OTHER INVESTIGATIVE UNITS AND IT WOULD BRING INTENSE PRESSURE ON THE COMMAND INCLUDING THE CAPTAIN WHO HAS TO RATE MY PERFORMANCE WHEN I GO TO THE POLICE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING LATER ON. BASICALLY HE WAS THREATENING ME IF I MAKE A WRITTEN REPORT THEN I WILL BE IN TROUBLE LATER AND THAT NO ONE WOULD TALK TO ME ANYMORE.

HOWEVER HE TOLD ME THAT BY TELLING HIM WAS GOOD ENOUGH. I ADDITIONALLY SHOWED THE SWASTIKA TO ANOTHER OFFICER AS WELL. THE VERY NEXT DAY THE SWASTIKA WAS ERASED.

MONTHS LATER 10/23/90 WHEN I FINALLY FELT COMPELLED TO FILE AN EEOC COMPLAINT, I REPORTED THE ABOVE INCIDENT INCLUDING THE NAME OF THE SUPERVISOR I TOLD, LT. ONUFRAK. I INFORMED HIM OF THIS BECAUSE I NEEDED HIM TO CONFIRM MY REPORT AS THIS ACTUAL OCCURRED AT THE TIME.

LT. ONUFRAK INITIALLY TO MY FACE DENIED THAT I HAD EVER INFORMED HIM OF SUCH AN INCIDENT AND THAT IF I DID HE WOULD HAVE MADE AN OBLIGATORY REPORT. I DEMANDED TO KNOW WHY HE WAS LYING. THE LT. STOOD UP AND CLOSED THE DOOR AND PUT HIS HANDS ON MY NECK SEARCHING FOR A WIRE (TAPE RECORDING DEVICE). THE LT. SEARCHED ME ILLEGALLY TOP TO BOTTOM AND WHEN HE WAS CONVINCED I WASN'T WIRED HE TOLD ME THAT HE WOULD BE IN TROUBLE FOR NOT REPORTING THE INCIDENT ORIGINALLY AND THAT HE IS ON THE CAPTAIN'S LIST AND THIS COULD HURT HIS CHANCES.

I TOLD HIM HE MUST TELL THE TRUTH AS I WOULD CONTINUE TO SAY WHAT ORIGINALLY HAPPENED. HE WARNED NOT TO AND THAT IT WOULD BE HIS WORD AGAINST MINE AND THAT THE DEPARTMENT WOULD NEVER BELIEVE ME AS THEY ARE TRYING TO GET RID OF ME TO BEGIN WITH.

THE VERY NEXT DAY THE LT. DOCUMENTED THREE MORE COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR UTTER NONSENSE WHICH WAS CLEARLY SELECTIVELY ENFORCED.

I BROUGHT THIS TO THE ATTENTION OF THE NEW COMMANDING OFFICER THEN CAPT. INGRAM AND HE TOLD ME THAT IF I PURSUE MY ALLEGATION THAT LT. ONUFRAK HAD COVER-UP THE SWASTIKA INCIDENT THEN HE COULD WRITE ME UP AND CHARGE ME WITH "FAILING TO REPORT A CRIME (BIAS) AGAINST MYSELF". HE CONTINUED SAYING A POLICE REPORT SHOULD HAVE BEEN TAKEN WHICH I HAD FAILED TO DO. I EXPLAINED I REPORTED IT TO THE LT. ONUFRAK.

I CONTINUED TELLING THE CAPT. "NO ONE OUTSIDE THE POLICE WILL BELIEVE THIS NONSENSE THAT YOU ARE TRYING TO PUNISH THE VICTIM TO COVER UP YOUR MISHANDLING OF THE SITUATION. THIS IS LUDICROUS."

11/89 I WAS TOLD MY NEW SUPERVISOR WAS SGT. HOFFMAN WHO I ONLY JUST MET. HE WAS RESPONSIBLE FOR EVALUATING MY WORK UP UNTIL THIS POINT WHICH WAS ONLY A MONTH. HE WILLFULLY MISUSED THE EVALUATION PROCESS TO HURT ME PROFESSIONALLY BY GIVING ME AN UNSATISFACTORY BELOW AVERAGE RATINGS. VERY FEW OFFICERS IN THE WHOLE POLICE DEPARTMENT RECEIVE THIS RATING. I KNEW IT WAS UNJUST AS THE WORK AT CENTRAL BOOKING WAS QUITE LIMITED IN SCOPE. I PROTESTED AND REFUSED TO SIGN THE EVALUATION. I COMPLAINED TO CAPTAIN WALSH AND TOLD HIM I FELT THIS WAS DISCRIMINATORY BECAUSE I WAS JEWISH AND I ASSERTING MY RIGHTS IN CHALLENGING THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR ADMINISTRATIVE PROCESS.

HE ARRANGED A MEETING WITH MYSELF AND SGT. HOFFMAN WHO IS NOT JEWISH AND WHEN THE SGT. REALIZED THAT I WAS GOING TO MAKE A FORMAL COMPLAINT AGAINST HIM HE CHANGED HIS ENTIRE EVALUATION TO A A SATISFACTORY ONE.

SGT. HOFFMAN I BELIEVE WAS ORDERED TO DO THIS BY HIS SUPERVISORS IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

3/15/90 I RECEIVED ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR ANOTHER MINOR INFRACTION WHICH WAS SELECTIVELY ENFORCED BY SGT. CAPASSO WHO WAS A CLOSE FRIEND OF SGT. HOFFMAN. THIS SGT ON NUMEROUS OCCASIONS WOULD INTENTIONALLY SHOUT AT ME AND TRY AND INTIMIDATE THROUGH CONSTANTLY CRITICIZING EVERYTHING I DID. ON A COUPLE OF OCCASIONS HE THREATENED ME WITH PHYSICAL VIOLENCE WHEN HE FELT I DID NOT RESPOND FAST ENOUGH WITH GETTING A REPORT OUT TO HIM. HE PHYSICALLY STOOD OVER ME LEANING AGAINST AS I WAS WRITING, SHOUTING AT ME THAT I WASN'T WRITING FAST ENOUGH. I DEMANDED HE CEASE OR I WOULD FILE A COMPLAINT AGAINST HIM. HE ALLUDED TO THE FACT THAT HE IS NOT SGT. HOFFMAN AND IS NOT AFRAID OF ANY COMPLAINT I MAY MAKE AS I AM IN ENOUGH TROUBLE WHERE THE POLICE IS GOING TO THROW ME OUT ANYWAY. HE THEN PUSHED ME AGAINST THE WALL WITH HIS ROBUST BODY AND MADE THREATENING GESTURES. I DROPPED MY HAND OFFERING NO RESISTANCE AND CALLED FOR HELP.

THE YEAR THAT I HAD WORKED AT CENTRAL BOOKKEEPING I PERSONALLY HAD RECEIVED OVER 20% OF ALL THE COMMAND DISCIPLINES GIVEN OUT TO THE ENTIRE UNIT WHICH HAD OVER 120 OFFICERS ASSIGNED.

4/29/90 SGT. SHREINER GAVE ME ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE FOR COMING IN 10 MINUTES LATE.

5/14/90 LT. ONUFRAK AND SGT. SCHREINER BOTH GAVE ME COMMAND DISCIPLINES FOR 8 MINUTES LATE.

5/14/90 SGT. CAPASSO PERSISTED ON SEARCHING FOR ME WHEN I WAS ON MY PERSONAL BREAK. HE CLAIMED THAT "I DIDN'T REALLY HAVE TO USE THE

MEN'S ROOM" WHEN I TOOK MY BREAK. ACCORDING TO THE PATROL GUIDE ONE CAN TAKE A PERSONAL BREAK AS LONG AS ONE ENTERS IT IN THE DESK OR PERSONAL LOG BOOK WHICH I DID. SGT. CAPASSO WOULD ON OCCASION FOLLOW ME INTO THE MEN'S ROOM AND WAIT FOR ME TO FINISH AND THEN ORDER ME BACK TO WORK.

6/6/90 SGT. CAPASSO ISSUED ANOTHER COMMAND DISCIPLINE TO ME FOR RETURNING FROM MY MEAL BREAK FIVE MINUTES LATE. HE CONTINUED HARANGUING ME ALL DAY TAUNTING ME AT EVERY CHANCE HE GOT. HE GAVE ME WORK THAT DIDN'T HAVE TO BE DONE LIKE HE ORDERED TO DRAW AND FILL UP A PLAIN EMPTY NOTEBOOK WITH LINES WHEN HE COULD HAVE JUST GOTTEN A NOTEBOOK WITH LINES ALREADY. HE MADE LIFE ABSOLUTELY MISERABLE FOR ME AT EVERY CHANCE HE GOT AND HE CREATED A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT TOWARD ME AS OTHER OFFICERS WHO WERE WORKING TENDED NOT TO TALK TO ME WHEN HE WAS AROUND.

6/7/90 I WAS SENT DOWN TO MANHATTAN TRAFFIC COURT OVER A SUMMONS I ISSUED OVER 18 MONTHS PRIOR WHILE ASSIGNED TO THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT. I WAS STILL BEING FOLLOWED BY TWO MEMBERS OF INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT, LT. CASSIDY AND DET. HARDICK. THIS IS HARASSMENT. THERE WAS NO REASON FOR THEM TO HAVE FOLLOWED ME. IAD CLAIMED THAT I DIDN'T SIGN IN ON TIME BUT THE FACTS CAME OUT THAT I WAS TWENTY MINUTES EARLY. THEY WERE LOOKING AT THE WRONG BOOK AND THE POLICE ASSISTANT VERIFIED IT. IAD CONTINUED TO HARASS ME ON AND OFF DUTY IN THE ATTEMPT TO TRY AND FIND MORE DIRT ON ME SO THEY CAN DOCUMENT ENOUGH EVIDENCE TO DISMISS ME. THIS IS A BLATANT EXAMPLE OF

ENTRAPMENT. THERE WAS NO PROBABLE CAUSE OR REASONABLE SUSPICION THAT I WAS INVOLVED IN ANYTHING CRIMINAL OR I WAS VIOLATING ANY POLICE REGULATION. INTERNAL AFFAIRS CONTINUED TO HARASS ME BY INTERVIEWING PEOPLE IN MY NEIGHBORHOOD INCLUDING STORE OWNERS AND ASKING THEM QUESTIONS ABOUT ME. THEY WOULD SHOW MY PHOTO TO THESE PEOPLE AND INTIMIDATE THEM BY TELLING THEM I WAS IN TROUBLE AND THAT THEY SHOULD COOPERATE OR THEY GET INTO TROUBLE THEMSELVES.

6/12/90 CAPTAIN WALSH DIRECTED ME TO MEET WITH HIM IN HIS OFFICE. HE TOLD THAT HE WAS AWARE OF TENSIONS BETWEEN MYSELF AND SOME OF THE SUPERVISORS. HE TOLD ME THAT THE SGTs AND LTs UNDER HIS COMMAND FEEL THAT THEY ARE SCORING POINTS FOR THEMSELVES WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR ISSUING ME THESE COMMAND DISCIPLINES AS IT IS COMMON KNOWLEDGE FROM POLICE HEADQUARTERS THAT THE NYPD WANTS ME OFF THIS JOB. HE TOLD ME HE HAS TO BACK UP HIS SUPERVISORS AGAINST ME OR THEY IT WOULD CAUSE CHAOS FOR HIM. HE TOOK TWO HOURS OFF MY VACATION TIME AND HE SAID IT COULD HAVE BEEN MORE. CAPTAIN WALSH TOLD ME THAT HE BELIEVED THERE WAS A "CONSPIRACY" AGAINST ME TO HAVE ME THROWN OUT OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE RECEIVED THIS INFORMATION AT MEETINGS HE HAD WITH HIS COMMANDERS AT ONE POLICE PLAZA. CAPTAIN WASLH TOLD ME THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IS INTOLERABLE TO PEOPLE WHO ARE DIFFERENT THEN THEY ARE AND THAT THEY ARE ANGRY WITH ME BECAUSE THEY FELT I COULDN'T PROPERLY CONFORM TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THE WAY OTHER JEWS HAVE HAD. ADDITIONALLY HE MENTIONED THAT WERE ANGRY THAT I REFUSED TO ACCEPT A PLEA BARGAIN AT MY UPCOMING ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.

6/27/90 BOTH THE NYPD AND THE ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING ME GAVE ME MY LAST CHANCE TO PLEA BARGAIN AND KEEP MY JOB AS THE DEPARTMENT OF ADVOCATE'S OFFICE MADE AN ILLEGAL DEAL WITH MY ATTORNEYS THEN. IN REALITY, THEY WEREN'T EMPOWERED TO MAKE THIS TYPE OF DEAL. I REFUSED TO PLEA BARGAIN AS I DEMANDED THE RIGHT TO HAVE AN ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING. I PAID MY ATTORNEYS ALL THE MONEY I HAD AT THE TIME WITH THE AGREEMENT THAT THEY WOULD REPRESENT UNTIL THE END OF THE POLICE HEARING. THEY STRONGLY URGED AND ADVISED ME TO TAKE THE PLEA BARGAIN. I REFUSED. MY ATTORNEYS HAD THEIR OWN AGENDA. THEY KNEW IF THEY GO TO COURT IT COULD BE WEEKS. THEY TOLD ME IF I DO NOT TAKE THE PLEA BARGAIN THAT THEY WOULD MAKE A MOTION TO LEAVE MY CASE AND NOT RETURN MY MONEY.

7/90 I WENT ON MY VACATION TO STUDY LAW AT THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY IN ISRAEL. I FILLED OUT ALL THE PROPER PAPERWORK THE POLICE REQUIRED ME TO GO AWAY ON VACATION. DURING THIS TIME THE HEARING OFFICER SCHEDULED A MEETING WITH ME REGARDING THE PROBLEM WITH MY ATTORNEY. THE HEARING OFFICER WAS TOLD THAT I WAS ABROAD. INSTEAD OF WAITING UNTIL I RETURNED IN A FEW WEEKS, THE HEARING OFFICER GRANTED MY ATTORNEY'S MOTION TO WALK OFF MY CASE LEAVING ME WITHOUT AN ATTORNEY AND WITHOUT ANY FUNDS TO HIRE ANY OTHER ATTORNEY. I WAS NOT ENTITLED TO ANY LEGAL AID AS I WAS STILL EMPLOYED BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

9/18/90 OFTEN THERE IS A LULL AT CENTRAL BOOKING WHERE NO PRISONERS ARE BEING PROCESSED. DURING THIS TIME ALL THE POLICE OFFICERS USUALLY

TALK, READ NEWSPAPERS AND COMIC BOOKS OR WATCH THE EIGHT PERSONAL TELEVISIONS THAT ARE LOCATED THERE. I PREFERRED TO READ MY LAW BOOKS OR WRITE UP SOME LAW BRIEFS DURING THIS TIME. SGT. HOFFMAN ORDERED ME ON SEVERAL OCCASIONS TO STOP DOING ANY WRITING WHILE I AM ON THE JOB. I WAS THE ONLY ONE TO RECEIVE SUCH ORDERS AND THREATS.

9/23/90 WHILE GUARDING THE PRISON CELLS A PRISONER BEGAN TO RANT, THREATEN ME AND YELL VILE ANTI-SEMITIC SLURS. I IGNORED IT. MY SUPERVISOR THEN, SGT. RODRIGUEZ ATTEMPTED TO QUIET THE MAN DOWN BY TALKING TO HIM PERSONALLY IN THE CELLS. AS THE SGT. ENTERED THE CELL, THE WILD PRISONER ATTACKED AND ASSAULTED SGT. RODRIGUEZ. I IMMEDIATELY RAN INTO THE CELL TO ASSIST MY SUPERVISOR. DURING THE COURSE OF THE ALTERCATION I WAS THROWN INTO THE WALL INJURING MY BACK, NECK, HEAD, AND I SPRAINED MY RIGHT WRIST, MIDDLE FINGER, AND THUMB.

TWO OTHER OFFICERS WERE ALSO INJURED IN TRYING TO SUBDUE THIS PRISONER. ADDITIONALLY LT. ONUFRAK THE HEAD SUPERVISOR WHO WAS IN HIS OFFICE AT THE TIME CLAIMED FALSELY HE TOO WAS INJURED SPRAINING HIS NECK. HE NEEDED MORE DOCUMENTATION AS IT WAS COMMON KNOWLEDGE HE LIKE MANY OTHER SUPERVISORS WAS PLANNING TO LEAVE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT ON A DISABILITY PENSION ENTITLING HIM TO THREE QUARTERS OF HIS PAY FOR LIFE. ALL OF US WENT TO THE HOSPITAL AND WENT OUT ON "SICK REPORT", AS A LINE OF DUTY INJURY. THIS ENTITLES US TO GET A FOUR HOUR PASS TO LEAVE OUR HOMES FOR EXERCISE. OTHERWISE THE OFFICER MUST STAY HOME.

AS REQUIRED THE NEXT DAY I WENT TO SEE THE POLICE SURGEON TO VERIFY THE INJURIES. THE POLICE SURGEON DR. PROTEGE WHO EXAMINED

ME TOLD TO STAY OUT OF WORK FOR TWO WEEKS AND TO GET TREATMENT FROM MY PRIVATE ORTHOPEDIC DOCTOR. I REQUESTED A PASS TO LEAVE MY RESIDENCE SO I COULD ATTEND MY LAW SCHOOL CLASSES WHICH SHE GLADLY GAVE ME. IMMEDIATELY AFTER SHE GAVE THIS PASS THE SUPERVISOR OF THE HEALTH SERVICE SECTION CAME IN AND RESCINDED THE PASS STATING THAT, "IF I'M HEALTHY ENOUGH TO ATTEND CLASSES THAN I'M HEALTHY TO GO TO WORK." ALL THE OTHER OFFICER RECEIVED PASSES TO LEAVE THEIR HOMES EXCEPT FOR ME. I TOLD THE LT. THAT HE WAS DISCRIMINATING AND THAT I WOULD FILE A COMPLAINT WITH CHIEF POLICE SURGEON THOMAS, AND WITH THE CHIEF OF PERSONNEL WHICH I DID FORTHWITH. I TOLD THE LT. THAT I CANNOT AFFORD TO MISS ANY CLASSES AND IF HE WILL NOT LET ME LEAVE MY RESIDENCE THEN I WOULD RETURN TO WORK. DR. PROTEGE DID NOT WANT ME TO RETURN TO WORK AS SHE FELT I WAS INJURED AND WAS NOT FIT FOR DUTY UNTIL THE INJURIES HEALED. I EXPLAINED THE PROBLEM. SHE PUT ME BACK TO WORK AS I REQUESTED BUT ON IN THE MOST LIMITED CAPACITY. SHE GAVE ME A WRITTEN NOTE TO GIVE TO MY COMMAND STATING THAT I WAS NOT TO BE NEAR ANY PRISONERS, THAT I COULD NOT DO ANY WRITING FOR AT LEAST A WEEK, AND THAT I SHOULD BE ABLE TO SIT FOR PERIODS DURING THE DAY AND USE A HEATING PAD FOR MY NECK. ADDITIONALLY SHE WROTE THAT I WOULD BE ON PAIN KILLERS WHICH COULD MAKE ME DROWSY AND THAT I MUST GO TO A PHYSICAL THERAPIST SEVERAL TIMES OVER THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS DURING WORK TIME. IN THIS CONDITION I WAS SENT BACK TO WORK. I LODGED A COMPLAINT WITH THE PBA UNION, MY COMMANDING OFFICER, AND WITH AUTHORITIES MENTIONED ABOVE. THE LT. NEVER GOT REPRIMANDED.

9/90

I MET FORMALLY WITH CHIEF POLICE SURGEON ROBERT THOMAS.

I TOLD HIM I FELT CLEARLY DISCRIMINATED AGAINST AND THAT IT WAS HIS JURISDICTION TO CORRECT THIS SITUATION. HE CLAIMED THAT HE COULDN'T HELP ME. I TOLD HIM THAT I FELT HE WAS COOPERATING WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THEIR CONTINUED PURSUIT TO DISCRIMINATE ME. HE JUST TOLD ME THAT THERE ARE MANY POLICE OFFICIALS WHO HATE ME DOWNTOWN AND THEY WANT ME OFF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

9/25/90 MY POLICE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING WAS SCHEDULED FOR 10/22/90 AND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT (THE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE) WAS STILL HOLDING BACK THE NEEDED DISCOVERY MATERIAL. I CALLED UP THEIR OFFICE AND I WAS ORDERED NOT TO CALL ANYMORE. I SAID I MUST COMMUNICATE WITH THEM AS I WAS NOW REPRESENTING MYSELF. THEY SAID TO DO IT ONLY IN WRITING. THIS WAS TOO SLOW FOR IT TOOK ALMOST A WEEK TO RECEIVE A REPLY TO ANY QUESTION I HAD AND EVEN LONGER TO GET ANY NECESSARY RECORDS. I DID NOT RECEIVE THE BULK OF THE DISCOVERY MATERIAL UNTIL A WEEK BEFORE THE HEARING AND THEN FIRST RECEIVED OTHER IMPORTANT PIECES OF DISCOVERY DURING THE HEARING ITSELF.

9/26/90 I REQUESTED TO SUBPOENA ELEVEN CIVILIAN WITNESSES FOR MY DEFENSE, MANY WERE PARTNERS FROM GOLDMAN SACHS AND COMPANY. ALL WERE DENIED. I ADDITIONALLY REQUESTED TO SUBPOENA OR HAVE ACCESS TO 13 OFFICERS FOR MY DEFENSE AND ONLY TWO WERE GRANTED. THERE WAS ABSOLUTELY NO JUSTICE IN THIS POLICE ADMINISTRATIVE COURT. I ADDITIONALLY TRIED TO QUESTION THE ATTORNEY WHO WAS PROSECUTING THE CASE, MR. THOMAS PRASSO AS HE WAS UNETHICALLY AND ILLEGALLY TAKING ADVICE AND WORKING WITH GOLDMAN SACHS' ATTORNEYS AND WITH LEW

EISENBERG'S ATTORNEYS AGAINST ME. ADDITIONALLY THE DEPARTMENT OF ADVOCATE WAS GRANTED ALL HIS WITNESSES HE REQUESTED AGAINST ME BY THE HEARING OFFICER. THERE WERE SEVERAL ATTORNEY'S MONITORING MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING DAILY FROM GOLDMAN SACHS AND SEVERAL OTHERS FROM THE NYPD. MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING WAS PERHAPS ONE OF THE LONGEST IN ITS HISTORY. THE CASE SPANNED A FEW WEEKS AND WENT ON FOR FOURTEEN DAYS WORTH OF HEARINGS.

9/26/90 I FILED A FORMAL EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMPLAINT FOR EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BASED ON RELIGIOUS GROUNDS WITH THE NYPD'S EEOC UNIT. DET. DONNELLY TOOK MY COMPLAINT BUT HE WAS ALREADY FAMILIAR WITH IT AS I CAME TO HIM TWO YEARS EARLIER AND HE ADVISED ME NOT TO FILE IT THEN OR MY CAREER WOULD SURELY BE OVER. AFTER MEETING WITH THIS DETECTIVE AND HIS PARTNERS FOR HOURS THEY SAID THEY WOULD GET BACK TO ME. I NEVER HEARD FROM THEM AGAIN AND THEY NEVER RETURNED ANY OF MY PHONE CALLS.

10/15/90 LT. ONUFRAK OF THE QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING CONTINUED TO HARASS ME BY NOT ALLOWING ME TO GO TO MY REGULARLY SCHEDULED LAW CLASSES. I WAS FORCED UNNECESSARY TO WAIT AROUND TO SEE ONE OF THE ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEYS WHEN IT COULD HAVE BEEN ACCOMPLISHED EARLIER. THE LT. WAS ADVISED BY ME NUMEROUS TIMES OF MY CLASS SCHEDULE. I WAS FORCED TO DROP THE CLASS BECAUSE I WASN'T PERMITTED TO MAKE ENOUGH OF THE CLASSES BECAUSE OF THE LT'S UNNECESSARY RESTRICTIONS.

10/90 I INFORMED QUEENS ASSISTANT DISTRICT ATTORNEY ROSENBLUM OF MY BIAS PROBLEM I WAS EXPERIENCING IN THE NYPD. HE SUGGESTED BRINGING UP INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS AND SUPERVISORS ON CRIMINAL CHARGES.

10/19/90 I FILED FORMAL CHARGES OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION AT THE NEW YORK STATE EEOC OFFICE.

10/21/90 I ATTENDED THE ANNUAL SHOMRIM SOCIETY BREAKFAST AS I DID REGULARLY WHICH IS THE LARGEST JEWISH FRATERNAL POLICE GET TOGETHER.

I WAS HANDING OUT INDIVIDUAL MEMOS I WROTE UP CALLING FOR JEWISH COPS WHO WERE DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BECAUSE OF THEIR RELIGIOUS BELIEFS OR IDENTIFICATION TO COME FOREWORD PUBLICLY OR ANONYMOUSLY. SGT. WILLIAM SEKTZER A FORMER PRESIDENT OF THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY THREATENED TO HAVE ME THROWN OUT IF I CONTINUED. ADDITIONALLY OTHER SUPERVISORS TOLD THE SAME THING. I TOLD THEM I HAD EVERY LEGAL CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT TO DO SO AND I WASN'T VIOLATING ANY POLICE REGULATIONS AS I WAS OFF DUTY. AT THIS BREAKFAST I PERSONALLY APPEALED FOR HELP ONCE AGAIN TO MAYOR DINKINS, HIS ASSISTANT HERB BLOCH, SEYMOUR REICH THE PAST PRESIDENT OF SEVERAL NATIONAL JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS, DAVID POLLACK OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL, RABBIS BERKOWITZ AND KASS POLICE CHAPLAINS.

AT THE END OF THE BREAKFAST I WAS ABLE TO GET AN AUDIENCE WITH THE DEFENDANT THE NEW POLICE COMMISSIONER LEE BROWN. I BEGAN EXPLAINING TO HIM THE PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING AND OTHER PROBLEMS THE JEWISH COMMUNITY WAS EXPERIENCING AS A RESULT OF WHAT I BELIEVED WAS INSTITUTIONALIZED ANTI-SEMITISM. AS I WAS TALKING TO HIM, CAPTAIN

ALAN GOODMAN, THE PRESIDENT OF THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY AND THE ORGANIZER OF THE EVENT SHOUTED AT ME IN FRONT OF THE GUESTS TO GET AWAY FROM THE POLICE COMMISSIONER AND HE PHYSICALLY GRABBED ME BY MY COLLAR ATTEMPTING TO DRAG ME AWAY. HE APOLOGIZED TO THE COMMISSIONER AND SAID THAT I WAS CRAZY. I PLEADED WITH THE COMMISSIONER TO HEAR ME OUT THEN OR AT LEAST GIVE ME AN AUDIENCE AT A LATER DATE. HE NEVER RESPONDED. THE CAPTAIN CHASTISED AND BERATED ME IN FRONT OF GUESTS SAYING I EMBARRASSED HIM AND THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY AND THAT I WOULD MAKE THE SITUATION WORSE FOR OTHER JEWISH COPS BY COMPLAINING PUBLICLY LIKE I DID. THE CAPTAIN VIOLATED MY RIGHTS OF FREE SPEECH AND THE RIGHT TO ADDRESS A GRIEVANCE AND HE HUMILIATED ME IN FRONT OF MY COLLEAGUES AND FRIENDS BY CREATING SUCH A SCENE. THE POLICE COMMISSIONER HAD CONDONED THE CAPTAIN'S BEHAVIOR AS THE CAPTAIN WAS NEVER DISCIPLINED.

10/22/90 MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING BEGINS.

10/28/90 WHILE I WAS WORKING WITH OFFICER JOHN JOHNSON ON SUNDAY MORNING THERE WAS THE USUAL LULL. THE SUPERVISOR THEN WAS SGT. SCHREINER WHO WOULD EVERY SO OFTEN GIVE ORDERS TO ME IN GERMAN JUST TO AGITATE, ANNOY, AND HARASS ME CREATING A WORK ENVIRONMENT WITH ANTI-SEMITIC HOSTILE FEELINGS. AT APPROX. 0800 HOURS THE ENTIRE STAFF OF OVER 15 OFFICERS WERE EITHER READING NEWSPAPERS, COMIC BOOKS, WATCHING TELEVISION OR SLEEPING. THE SGT. HIMSELF WAS EVEN READING THE NEWSPAPER I BOUGHT. I WAS WORKING ON MY LEGAL STUDIES. SUDDENLY THE SGT. ORDERS ME TO PUT MY LAW BOOK AWAY AND STOP WRITING AS I COULD

NOT DO MY PERSONAL SCHOOL WORK AT THE JOB. HE TOLD ME TO JUST SIT AND WAIT FOR PRISONERS. I PROTESTED SAYING HE WAS ONCE AGAIN DISCRIMINATING AGAINST ME AND THAT HIS ORDER IS NOT A VALID ONE AS IT STANDARD PRACTICE AT CENTRAL BOOKING FOR EVERYONE TO BE ABLE TO READ, WRITE AND TALK. THE SGT. BEGAN SCREAMING AT ME SAYING THAT HE WAS GOING TO HAVE ME SUSPENDED BY CALLING THE DUTY CAPTAIN. I TOLD THE SGT. THAT I WOULD STOP MY LEGAL READING AND WRITING IF HE ORDERS ALL THE OTHER OFFICERS WORKING ALONGSIDE OF ME TO DO THE SAME OTHERWISE HE WOULD HAVE TO TRY AND SUSPEND ME. I CONTINUED TELLING HIM THAT IT IS CLEARLY AGAINST ALL REGULATIONS FOR POLICE PERSONAL TO WATCH TELEVISION, SLEEP AND DISAPPEAR FOR LONG PERIODS OF TIME AND THAT IF THE DUTY CAPTAIN ENTERS THE SGT. WOULD HAVE SOME EXPLAINING TO DO. THE SGT. ORDERED ALL THE COPS TO STOP READING AND BLAMED IT ON ME CREATING A CLIMATE OF HOSTILITY TOWARDS ME BY OTHER COPS. THE SGT. TOLD ME HE WOULDN'T HAVE TO SHOUT AT ME SOON AS WORD HAS IT THAT I WOULDN'T BE WORKING FOR THE NYPD SOON.

10/90 DURING MY ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING I ATTEMPTED TO BRING UP THE FACT THAT I WAS BEING HARASSED AND DISCRIMINATED AGAINST BECAUSE OF MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS AND BECAUSE OF MY PUBLIC WRITING. THE HEARING OFFICER WHO WAS RAE DOWNES KOSHETZ - THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER IN CHARGE OF TRIALS IN THE NYPD WOULDN'T EVEN BEGIN TO ENTERTAIN THIS NOTION.

I WAS FIRST GIVEN THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS INTERROGATION TAPES OF MYSELF DURING THE TRIAL. THIS DISCOVERY MATERIAL WAS ESSENTIAL TO MY CASE BECAUSE IT DEMONSTRATED UNEQUIVOCALLY THE ANTI-SEMITIC BEHAVIOR ON THE PART OF THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I WAS QUESTIONED FOR

OVER A HALF AN HOUR REGARDING MY RELIGIOUS BELIEFS. I WAS ACCUSED OF LYING TO THE POLICE DEPARTMENT BY FALSELY REPRESENTING MYSELF AS AN ORTHODOX JEW WHEN IN REALITY THEY SAID, "I JUST CLAIMED THIS STATUS TO BE OFF ON SATURDAYS TO WORK ANOTHER JOB." I HAD AN ATTORNEY PRESENT AT THIS POINT WHO WOULD VERIFY THIS LINE OF QUESTIONING AND ACCUSATORY STATEMENTS AS THE ATTORNEY THEN PROTESTED FOR THE RECORD.

I TOLD THE HEARING OFFICER THAT I HAD A RIGHT TO BRING THE DISCRIMINATION ISSUE INTO THE HEARING ROOM BECAUSE THE INTERROGATION WAS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE. WHEN I TRIED TO PLAY THE TAPES DEMONSTRATING THIS DISCRIMINATION, OVER THIRTY MINUTES WERE CONSPICUOUSLY ERASED. THE HEARING OFFICER ASKED THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS UNIT WHERE THE REST OF THE TAPES WERE. DETECTIVE HARDICK ADMITTED AT THE HEARING THAT SHE, "FORGOT TO TURN OVER THE TAPE" AND THAT SECTION WAS "ACCIDENTLY NEVER RECORDED."

11/13/90 THIS WAS ONE OF MY HEARING DATES. STANDARD CODE OF DRESS IS TO ATTEND THE HEARING IN CIVILIAN ATTIRE WEARING A SUIT. I CAME IN TO SIGN IN FOR WORK AND HAD TO LEAVE WITHIN 20 MINUTES TO GO DOWNTOWN TO THE HEARING. I WAS ORDERED BY SGT. WALSH TO CHANGE INTO MY POLICE UNIFORM. I TOLD THE SGT. THAT WHAT MY SCHEDULE WAS AND HE SAID THEN FOR THE 15 MINUTES LEFT NOW I SHOULD CHANGE BOTH TIMES MEANING TO PUT MY UNIFORM AND THEN CHANGED BACK. THIS WAS BLATANT HARASSMENT. I REFUSED STATING SINCE THE BEGINNING OF THE HEARINGS AS WITH ALL HEARING S FOR ALL OFFICERS, LT. MCCARTHY PERMITTED ME TO STAY IN CIVILIAN ATTIRE UNTIL I HAD TO LEAVE. SGT. WALSH GAVE ME A COMMAND DISCIPLINE REGARDLESS.

11/26/90 THE NEW COMMANDING OFFICER CAPTAIN BERER DIRECTED ME TO SEE HIM REGARDING ADJUDICATING SOME OF THE COMMAND DISCIPLINES. HE TOLD ME THAT A COUPLE OF THE POLICE CHIEFS WERE FUMING AT ME BECAUSE THEY RECEIVE THE MEMO THAT WAS CIRCULATING AROUND THE POLICE DEPARTMENT THAT I DISTRIBUTED ABOUT ANTI-SEMITISM IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. I WAS LABELED A TROUBLE-MAKER AND THE CAPTAIN TOLD ME THEY WILL DO ANYTHING TO TERMINATE ME FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. HE THEN CONGRATULATED ME FOR STANDING UP FOR MY RIGHTS AND MY BELIEFS. HE ALSO TOLD THAT HE WAS TOLD TO DOCUMENT MORE DISCIPLINARY MEASURES AGAINST ME AND MAKE LIFE MISERABLE FOR ME. HE ALSO TOLD ME HE WOULDN'T BECAUSE HE HAD A SIMILAR SITUATION WITH THE POLICE HIERARCHY WHERE THEY MADE LIFE MISERABLE FOR HIM.

12/6/90 I WAS INTERESTED IN DOING SOME OVER-TIME TOURS WHICH WAS AVAILABLE TO ALL OFFICERS WORKING IN MY COMMAND. WHEN I CALLED ROLL CALL TO ENLIST I WAS TOLD THAT THEY HAD ORDERS NOT TO ALLOW ME TO OBTAIN ANY OVER-TIME.

12/6/90 CAPTAIN BERER DIRECTED ME TO SEE HIM AND TOLD ME FOR THE COMMAND DISCIPLINES INVOLVING SGT. WALSH HE WOULD HAVE TO DOCK ME TWO VACATION DAYS. I PROTESTED AND SAID AGAIN IT WAS DISCRIMINATORY AND SELECTIVELY ENFORCED AND THE PUNISHMENT WAS GROSSLY INCONGRUENT WITH THE ALLEGED DISCIPLINARY VIOLATION. I TOLD HIM I WOULD EXERCISE MY RIGHT TO ADJUDICATE THIS AT A HEARING IN FRONT OF A BOARD OF OTHER CAPTAINS OR IN THE ADMINISTRATIVE COURTS.

12/13/90 ON MY VACATION DAY OFF, LT. EATON AND McCARTHY OF QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING PERSONALLY CAME TO MY HOME TO HAND ME THE POLICE HEARING OFFICER'S DECISION. I WAS FOUND GUILTY ON ALL COUNTS AND HE INFORMED THAT I WAS UNDER SUSPENSION BY ORDER OF SENIOR LEGAL ADVOCATE LEOPOLD OF THE NYPD. THIS WAS ALSO THE DAY THAT ALL POLICE OFFICERS RECEIVE THEIR SPECIAL UNIFORM PAY ALLOWANCE OF OVER \$1400-. THE PAYROLL FOR THIS WAS SCHEDULED TO BE DELIVERED AT ONE IN THE AFTERNOON. I WAS SUSPENDED A COUPLE OF HOURS EARLIER PURPOSELY SO THEY WERE ABLE TO DENY ME THE MONEY THAT I WAS ENTITLED TO BECAUSE THEY SAID I WAS TO BE FIRED. I PROTESTED BUT IT DIDN'T HELP. THE HEARING OFFICER'S DECISION WAS HORRIBLY BIASED AND UNTRUTHFUL AND SHE RECOMMENDED THAT THE POLICE COMMISSIONER DISMISS ME.

1/9/91 I FILED MY RESPONSE TO THE HEARING OFFICER'S BIAS DECISION. I BELIEVE THAT SHE WAS ORDERED TO HAVE ME FIRED RIGHT FROM THE VERY BEGINNING OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND THAT SHE WROTE HER OPINION AS SUCH TO PROTECT HER SUPERIORS TO JUSTIFY TERMINATING ME. I BELIEVE HER OPINIONS WERE ARBITRARY AND CAPRICIOUS AND WITHOUT MERIT. SHE WAS JUST ANOTHER PAID POLICE EMPLOYEE AS INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION IS.

1/14/91 I WAS OFFICIALLY NOTIFIED THAT I WAS DISMISSED FROM THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT.

3/91 I FILED AN ATTACHED RETALIATION COMPLAINT TO MY EEOC ACTION

WITH THE NEW YORK STATE HUMAN RIGHTS DIVISION.

POST NYPD EMPLOYMENT

1. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CONTINUES TO PERSECUTE ME BY NOT PERMITTING ME TO OBTAIN A NEW YORK CITY TARGET HAND-GUN PERMIT WHICH IS A PRIVILEGE ANY OTHER CITIZEN OF NEW YORK STATE IS ENTITLED TO UNLESS THEY HAVE BEEN ARRESTED FOR ANY FELONY CRIME. I HAVE APPEALED THIS DECISION #1602/92 AND I WAS STILL DENIED WITH THE STATED REASON, "INSUFFICIENT NEED" ON 10/22/92. IT WAS SIGNED BY SGT. GARNER OF THE LICENSE DIVISION WHO REFUSES TO ACKNOWLEDGE MY CALLS. ONE DOESN'T HAVE HAVE TO HAVE A SUFFICIENT NEED TO TARGET SHOOT.

I HAVE FOUR GUNS THAT ARE VOUCHERED WITH THE NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEY WILL NOT ALLOW ME TO TARGET PRACTICE AND THEY WILL NOT RELEASE MY GUNS.

2. ADDITIONALLY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL NOT GIVE ME A FULL GUN CARRY PERMIT. I AM LICENSED AND BONDED IN THE STATE OF NEW YORK AS A SECURITY WATCH-GUARD COMPANY. THE NYPD IS DENYING ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A LIVING. I CANNOT OBTAIN WORK WITHOUT THIS PRIVILEGE. I AM TRAINED IN THE USE OF FIREARMS AND I HAVE TAKEN ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTOR'S COURSES WITH THE NATIONAL RIFLE ASSOCIATION SO I COULD BE CERTIFIED TO TEACH WEAPONS AND SAFETY TO LAW ENFORCEMENT PERSONNEL. I WAS ABLE TO OBTAIN ALL THE NECESSARY DOCUMENTATION THE LICENSE DIVISION OF THE NYPD REQUIRES THAT STATED THAT THERE ARE COMPANIES AND BUSINESSES WHO WOULD HIRE MY SERVICES IF I HAD A GUN

PERMIT. YET THEY STILL DENY ME THIS RIGHT.

3. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CONTINUES TO HARASS ME BY RECENTLY RESCINDING MY RIFLE/SHOTGUN. THE SUSPENSION OF THIS PERMIT IS A CONSTITUTIONAL VIOLATION OF MY RIGHT TO BEAR ARMS. I RECEIVED A LETTER FROM THE FIREARMS CONTROL SECTION IN 11/89 FROM OFFICER BAUM THAT IF I HAVE ANY RIFLES OR SHOTGUNS THEY MUST BE TURNED IN. THERE WAS NO REASON GIVEN. AFTER TRYING TO CONTACT THIS UNIT FOR WEEKS OFFICER BAUM INFORMED ME THAT SOMEONE MADE A COMPLAINT AGAINST ME FOR MENACING AT THE POLICE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE. THE OFFICER WOULDN'T TELL ME WHO MADE THE COMPLAINT AND WHERE IT SUPPOSEDLY HAPPENED. I SENT A LETTER PROTESTING THIS AND DEMANDING A HEARING. I INFORMED THIS UNIT THAT I WOULD FILE A FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT TO SEE WHO IF ANYONE FILED ANY COMPLAINT AGAINST ME. I AM AWARE THAT MENACING IS AN ARRESTABLE OFFENSE AND NO ONE HAS ARRESTED ME OR HAS OFFICIALLY APPROACHED ME REGARDING THIS MATTER. ADDITIONALLY IF SOMEONE WANTS TO MAKE A COMPLAINT AGAINST ANOTHER PERSON IT IS DONE AT THE PRECINCT LEVEL AND NOT AT THE COMMISSIONER'S OFFICE UNLESS THAT PERSON WAS AN OFFICER WHICH I AM NO LONGER. ON 12.17/92 THE FIREARMS CONTROL UNIT SENT ME A LETTER STATING, "AFTER REVIEWING YOUR CASE IT IS THE DECISION OF THE UNIT THAT YOUR NEW YORK CITY PERMIT BE REINSTATED AT THIS TIME WITHOUT THE NEED OF A HEARING." IT SEEMS EVERY WEEK I HAVE TO WORRY WHAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WILL DO TO ME NEXT.

I BELIEVE THE HARASSMENT IS ONGOING BECAUSE I AM ENGAGED IN LITIGATION AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT IN THIS COURT.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION VIOLATION OF FREE SPEECH/PRESS

1. THE NYPD DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME AND VIOLATED MY FIRST AMENDMENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND THE PRESS BY CAUSING ME UNDUE HARDSHIP AT WORK AS A RESULT OF ME GIVING NUMEROUS INTERVIEWS ON RADIO, TELEVISION AND IN THE NEWSPAPERS REGARDING MY OUTSIDE ACTIVITIES OFF-DUTY CONCERNING MY WORK WITH A JEWISH POVERTY PROGRAM.

IRONICALLY, OFTEN I WAS ACTUALLY UNDER ORDERS TO GIVE INTERVIEWS WHILE I WAS WORKING BECAUSE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT WANTED TO USE ME FOR THEIR PUBLIC IMAGE IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY. I WAS THEIR TOKEN, "HILL STREET JEW".

THE FIRST INTERVIEW I WAS ASKED TO GIVE ABOUT BEING A JEWISH COP WAS 8/20/82 FOR THE JEWISH WEEK. I HAVE GIVEN INTERVIEWS TO SEVERAL JEWISH AND SECULAR NEWSPAPERS, TELEVISION, AND RADIO SHOWS ABOUT THE WORK I PERFORM OFF-DUTY AS AN ADVOCATE IN SOCIAL SERVICES. EACH TIME THE STORY WOULD COME OUT I WOULD RECEIVE HARASSMENT FROM MY SUPERIORS. I HAVE ILLUSTRATED IN THE ABOVE COMPLAINT OF HOW LT. McCAULEY BERATED ME WHEN HE WITNESSED RUTH MASON INTERVIEWING ME AT THE PRECINCT FOR THE FEATURE STORY OF THE JEWISH MONTHLY MAGAZINE.

ANOTHER ILLUSTRATION IS WHERE I WAS ORDERED TO DO AN INTERVIEW WITH THE POLICE CHAPLAIN RABBI ALVIN KASS ON THE TELEVISION SHOW "THE FIRST ESTATE". I HAD MORE PROBLEMS THEN FROM MY COMMANDING OFFICER. THERE ARE MANY OTHER EXAMPLES.

2. OVER THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS I BEGAN TO LECTURE PROFESSIONALLY

ON SOCIAL AND CRIMINAL JUSTICE ISSUES. I WAS LISTED WITH THE JEWISH LECTURE BUREAU. MANY INDIVIDUAL SUPERVISORS WARNED ME THAT I WOULD GET HURT IF I SAID ANYTHING THAT WOULD EMBARRASS THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

3. OVER THE LAST SEVERAL YEARS I BEGAN WRITING A SPORADIC COLUMN FOR THE JEWISH PRESS ENTITLED "ON THE STREETS". ADDITIONALLY I BEGAN TO FREE-LANCE WITH OTHER NEWSPAPERS. AGAIN I WAS CAUTIONED BY POLICE SUPERVISORS ON WHAT TO WRITE AND HOW TO SAY IT WITH THE IMPLICATION THAT LIFE COULD GET VERY BAD FOR ME IF I DIDN'T AGREE PUBLICLY WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT.

BOARD OF EDUCATION

THE CITY OF NEW YORK ALONG WITH THE NEW YORK CITY BOARD OF EDUCATION CONTINUED ITS DISCRIMINATORY CAMPAIGN OF BLACKLISTING ME FROM WORKING IN ANY OF THE CITY'S AGENCIES.

ON 4/15/92 I APPLIED FOR THE POSITION OF SCHOOL SECURITY COORDINATOR FROM THEIR NEW YORK TIMES ADVERTISEMENT. ON THE PHONE AND LATER IN WRITING I MADE FULL DISCLOSURES OF MY ENTIRE BACKGROUND TO BARBARA BARKINS OF THE BOARD OF EDUCATION WHICH INCLUDED THAT I WAS DISMISSED FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THAT MY CASE WAS IN LITIGATION THEN WITH AN ARTICLE 78 PROCEEDING AND WITH THIS COURT.

ON 5/14/92 I WAS INTERVIEWED FOR THIS POSITION BY A PANEL OF BOARD OF EDUCATION OFFICIALS AND PARENT TEACHERS ASSOCIATION OFFICIALS WHERE

I AGAIN MADE FULL DISCLOSURE OF MY BACKGROUND AND THE FACT THAT I WAS DISMISSED FROM THE NYPD.

IN 6/92 I WAS INFORMED THAT I WAS HIRED BY THE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

I WAS ONE OUT 20 CANDIDATES WHO WOULD BE HIRED OUT OF POOL OVER OVER 500 APPLICANTS WITH LAW ENFORCEMENT CREDENTIALS. THIS WAS A SUPERVISORY POSITION. I WAS INVITED TO AN OFFICIAL MEETING WITH THE OTHER NEWLY HIRED OFFICIALS AND WITH THE HIGH SCHOOL PRINCIPALS WE WOULD BE WORKING WITH. I WAS ASSIGNED TO STEVENSON HIGH SCHOOL, THE FOURTH LARGEST SCHOOL IN THE COUNTRY AND IT IS CONSIDERED A DANGEROUS SCHOOL. MY JOB WAS TO SUPERVISE THE DEANS, THE SCHOOL SAFETY OFFICERS, SCHOOL AIDES, AND A HOST OF TEACHERS WHO HAD TO WORK WITH THE DEAN'S OFFICE FOR A FEW PERIODS DAILY. I HAD AS MANY AS 60 PEOPLE THAT I WAS RESPONSIBLE TO SUPERVISE AND GIVE TRAINING IN CONFLICT RESOLUTION AND IN OTHER SECURITY RELATED MATTERS. I WAS IN CHARGE OF ALL ASPECTS OF SECURITY AND SAFETY IN THE SCHOOL AND WAS LATER INVITED TO GIVE ADDITIONAL TRAINING TO THE SCHOOL SAFETY OFFICERS IN VIOLENCE PREVENTION, CONFLICT RESOLUTION, AND IN RESTRAINING MARTIAL ARTS TECHNIQUES ON A BOROUGH WIDE BASIS.

ON 7/12/92 MY COMPLETE BACKGROUND APPLICATION WAS COMPLETED AND I TOOK MY MEDICAL TEST.

ON 8/25/92 I BEGAN MY FIRST DAY WORKING. WITHIN A COUPLE OF WEEKS THE INSPECTOR'S GENERAL'S OFFICE NOTIFIED ME THAT THEY WOULD HAVE TO INVESTIGATE ME FURTHER REGARDING THE FACT THAT I WAS DISMISSED

FROM THE NYPD. I SIGNED A STIPULATION AGREEMENT GIVING THEM FULL ACCESS TO ALL MY PERSONAL POLICE RECORDS AND DOCUMENTS. THEY TOLD ME THAT IT WAS NOT A SERIOUS MATTER AND THAT IT WAS STANDARD PROCEDURE AND THEY SENT ME A LETTER INFORMING MY THAT I WOULD BE PERSONALLY INTERVIEWED BY THEM IN FEBRUARY OF 1993.

AS OF 9/16/92 I RECEIVED MAIL REGARDING AN UPCOMING MEETING FROM CARMEN RUSSO EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF SCHOOLS IN THE BOARD OF EDUCATION.

ON 9/16/92 I HAD AN ARTICLE PUBLISHED IN NEW YORK NEWSDAY ON THE CROWN HEIGHTS MURDER TRIAL WHICH WAS ONGOING THEN.

ON 9/17/92 I ACCEPTED AN INVITATION TO DO A TALK SHOW ON THE BLACK RADIO SHOW WLIB.

ON 9/17/92 I RECEIVED THE LETTER FROM PAUL REYES THAT MY INVESTIGATION INTERVIEW IS SCHEDULED FOR 2/2/93.

ON 9/18/92 THE DIVISION OF HUMAN RESOURCES SENDS ME A LETTER OF DISMISSAL SAYING THAT I WAS BEING TERMINATED FROM THE BOARD OF EDUCATION CITING AS THEIR REASON, "FAILURE TO PROPERLY DISCLOSE REASONS FOR TERMINATION FROM THE POLICE DEPARTMENT." I WAS FORMALLY DISMISSED BY THOMAS RYAN, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE DIVISION OF HUMAN RESOURCES. I WASN'T GIVEN ANY DUE PROCESS. NO INTERVIEW, HEARING, ETC.

THE LETTER SENT TO ME BY THOMAS RYAN IS A DISMAL ATTEMPT TO COVER-UP THEIR GROSS DISCRIMINATION OF ME AND IN VIOLATING MY CIVIL RIGHTS WHICH WAS A CONTINUATION OF THE SAME PROBLEMS I WAS HAVING ALL ALONG IN THE OTHER NEW YORK CITY AGENCY, THE NYPD. HIS REASONS STATED IS JUST NOT TRUE. I ANSWER ALL QUESTIONS TRUTHFULLY ON MY APPLICATION WHICH I WILL PRESENT AT DISCOVERY. I MADE ALL MY POLICE RECORDS AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION INVESTIGATORS. THE REASON WHY I WAS FIRED WAS TWO REASONS WHICH I BELIEVE I CAN PROVE AT TRIAL.

FIRST, MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF FREEDOM OF THE PRESS AND SPEECH WERE CLEARLY VIOLATED AS I WAS FIRED THE VERY NEXT DAY AFTER I HAD MY ARTICLE PUBLISHED AND I APPEARED ON THE RADIO SHOW. THE NEXUS IS CLEAR. SOMEONE IN THE CITY GOVERNMENT, BOARD OF EDUCATION, AND THE NYPD USED THEIR INFLUENCE TO HAVE ME FIRED IMMEDIATELY. BEFORE THE ARTICLE WAS PUBLISHED, CARMEN RUSSO'S OFFICE ON 9/16/92 WAS EXPECTING ME TO ATTEND A MEETING AT A LATER DATE. HER OFFICE WAS UNAWARE CLEARLY UNAWARE OF THIS AND SHE IS THE DIRECTOR OF ALL THE HIGH SCHOOLS UNDER THE CHANCELLOR. THE INVESTIGATORS WERE NOT INFORMED OF THIS SUDDEN DECISION AS THEY SENT ME A LETTER THE SAME DAY THAT I WAS TO MEET THEM MONTHS LATER. IN FACT FOR WEEKS LATER THE BOARD OF EDUCATION INVESTIGATORS WERE STILL NOT AWARE THAT I HAD BEEN TERMINATED AS THEY KEPT SENDING LETTERS TO ANSWER AND THEY KEPT CALLING ME AT MY HOME TO CONFIRM OUR ORIGINAL APPOINTMENT.

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION HAD MY COMPLETED APPLICATION SINCE JULY OF 1992. SURELY THERE CAN BE NO OTHER EXPLANATION THAT I WAS FIRED BECAUSE I EXERCISED MY CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT OF FREE SPEECH. THE BOARD OF EDUCATION LIKE THE NYPD IS AFRAID OF MEMBERS WRITING PUBLICLY

BECAUSE IT COULD CAUSE UNFAVORABLE ATTENTION TO THE AGENCY. I DID NOT WRITE ABOUT THE SCHOOL OR ANY ASPECT OF MY JOB. THE REALITY IS THAT THE SCHOOL I WAS WORKING BECAME A HIGH PROFILE SCHOOL MONTHS AGO WHEN TEACHERS BOYCOTTED THE SCHOOL BECAUSE THEY WERE AFRAID TO ENTER THE SCHOOL FOR THEY WERE IN FEAR OF THEIR OWN SAFETY. THIS SCHOOL LIKE OTHERS HAD BEEN FALSIFYING THEIR CRIMINAL INCIDENT REPORTS TO THE BOARD OF EDUCATION TO TRY AND PLAY DOWN THE CRIME AT THE SCHOOL.

WITHIN THE FIRST TWO WEEKS AT THE SCHOOL WE ARRESTED OVER 15 STUDENTS FOR ALL SORTS OF CRIMES RANGING FROM TRESPASS TO ARMED ROBBERY TO GUN POSSESSION TO ARSON. THESE FACTS WERE ERRONEOUSLY REPORTED. I WAS INFORMED BY A COUPLE OF TEACHERS AT THE SCHOOL THAT THE BOARD OF EDUCATION WAS AFRAID THAT I WOULD WRITE ABOUT THEIR COVER-UPS.

THE OTHER REASON WHY I BELIEVE I WAS FIRED WAS BECAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION. ONCE IT BECAME PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE OF WHERE I WAS WORKING I WAS INFORMED BY A UNITED FEDERATION TEACHER'S OFFICIAL THAT HE/SHE WAS TOLD BY THE PRINCIPAL IRENE FITZGERALD THAT A HIGH OFFICIAL IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CALLED THE BOARD OF EDUCATION TELLING THEM TO FIRE ME BECAUSE I WAS INVOLVED WITH TRAINING ILLEGAL JEWISH SUBVERSIVE GROUPS. THE DUAL LOYALTY ISSUE WAS RAISED AGAIN.

I HAVE APPLIED FOR MANY OTHER CITY POSITIONS FOR WHICH I AM MOST QUALIFIED FOR AND I CAN NOT GET HIRED AS A RESULT OF DISCRIMINATORY PROBLEM WHICH EMANATED FROM THE NYPD.

AS A DIRECT RESULT I HAVE SUFFERED A FINANCIAL CALAMITY. I HAVE LOST THE OWNERSHIP OF MY HOME AND ALL MY PERSONAL ASSETS.

IN SUMMARY I WAS DISCRIMINATED AND DENIED OPPORTUNITIES TO ADVANCE BY WORKING IN THE FOLLOWING AREAS:

(OFTEN I WAS BEING DENIED THE RIGHT TO WORK IN VARIOUS UNITS UNDER THE PRETENSE THAT I CANNOT WORK ON THE SABBATH OR THEY WOULD SAY ONCE THEY SAW MY YARMULKE AT THE INTERVIEW, "THE POSITION IS FILLED ALREADY", EVEN THOUGH THEY HAD CALLED ME IN ORIGINALLY.)

WITHIN THE MID-TOWN NORTH PRECINCT:

STEADY SECTORS - A REGULAR POSITION IN A RADIO MOTOR PATROL CAR
 ANTI-CRIME
 CPOP - COMMUNITY POLICING
 RIP - ROBBERY INVESTIGATIVE PROGRAM
 YOUTH OFFICER
 WARRANTS
 STREET NARCOTIC UNIT
 PEDDLER SQUAD

OUTSIDE THE PCT.

MANHATTAN DISTRICT ATTORNEY SQUAD
 BRONX " " "
 BROOKLYN " " "
 QUEENS " " "
 POLICE ACADEMY - RECRUIT SCHOOL TEACHING SOCIAL SCIENCE, LAW,
 PHYSICAL EDUCATION 6/86
 POLICE ACADEMY - POST ENTRY LEVEL-INSTRUCTOR TRAINING (DESIGNED
 PROGRAM WITH TOURO) SGT. SHAUN, SGT. BORGIA - 6/86 3/88
 POLICE ACADEMY - IN SERVICE TRAINING 7/89 LT. BURNS
 POLICE ACADEMY UNDER JESSE MAHGHAN RESEARCH AND REVISING CURRICULM
 IN THE SOCIAL SCIENCE DEPT. (SCOUT I AND II) 8/86 GM WAS
 APPROACHED
 ETHICAL AWARENESS UNIT 6/86 LT. COLON
 OCCB- NARCOTICS TO RETURN 6/86 NASTA
 EMERGENCY SERVICE UNIT 7/86
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS MANHATTAN SOUTH 6/88
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS MANHATTAN NORTH 6/88
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS BROOKLYN NORTH 6/88
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS BROOKLYN SOUTH 6/88
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS BRONX 6/88
 COMMUNITY AFFAIRS QUEENS 6/88
 SPRINT 3100 - POLICE REPORTER (CAPT. BURKE) HE APPROACHED GM TO
 WRITE AS HE HEARD I WAS A WRITER 6/88 SGT. ? FEMALE
 RECRUITMENT SECTION (no jews) SEVERAL WOULDN'T INTERVIEW
 APPLICANT INVESTIGATIONS 12/88? INTERVIEW 8/87
 SENIOR CITIZENS ROBBERY UNIT - 10/83, 4/12/84 INTERVIEW W/SGT
 GERHOLD AND LT. THOMAS 6/86

" BKLYN	10/83	CHIEF COLLANGELO
BRONX	5/88	DET IRWIN SILVERMAN
BKLYN SOUTH COMMUNITY AFFAIRS	10/83	SGT HILSENWRATH
JOINT-FBI TERRORIST TASK FORCE	7/86	LT. JOHN LOCKE
BIAS INCIDENT INVESTIGATION UNIT -	10/83	INSPECTOR DONNALLY
COMMUNITY AFFAIRS DIVISION		COMM. HOLIDAY
COUNSELLING SERVICE UNIT (HEALTH SERVICES)		LT. SHALLER
CRIME PREVENTION DIVISION		
PUBLIC INFORMATION UNIT		SGT. SWEENY
GANG INTELLIGENCE UNIT	5/86	SGT. GALEA
HARBOR SCUBA UNIT -	1/83	SGT SMITH
HOSTAGE NEGOTIATIONS UNIT	7/87	LT. LAUDEN
INTELLIGENCE DIVISION -	11/83	LT. MEEHAN
PUBLIC SECURITY DIVISION	7/87	
LEGAL BUREAU	8/88	INSPECTOR
MISSING PERSONS UNIT	10/83	LT GREENBERG
POLICE ATHLETIC LEAGUE	7/86	LT. O"RORKE
PSYCHOLOGICAL SERVICES	7/86	
COUNSELLING HEALTH SERVICES	8/86	SGT. O"CONNEL
TACTICAL PATROL UNIT	8/87	
RESEARCH UNIT	9/86	JOHN KOZMO
RECRUITMENT RETENTION	8/86	SGT.
STREET CRIME UNIT	8/86	LT.
YOUTH DIALOGUE UNIT	5/86	SGT. WILSON
RUNAWAY UNIT	5/86	LT. DOYLE 8/18/87
		LT. MOORE "
RIP (ROBBERY INVESTIGATIVE PROGRAM)	5/86	LT. DICHIANO
SEX CRIMES UNIT	6/86	
SPECDA UNIT	6/86	LT SIERRA 7/19/88
STREET CRIME UNIT		
SPECIAL OPERATIONS DIVISION		
EMERGENCY SERVICES UNIT		
CRIMINAL JUSTICE BUREAU (WARRANTS)		
YOUTH SERVICES DIVISION		
CAREER PATH	6/86	LT. KREPAU

PRECINCTS APPLIED AND OR INTERVIEWED FOR AROUND 7/88
MID-TOWN SOUTH, 1, 6, 5, 7, 10, 13, 19, 20, 43, 45, 47, 49, 102, 103,
106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 115,

FORCED TO WORK PUNITIVE POSTS:

FIXERS - CONSULATES, PRISONERS, DOAs, (DEAD BODIES) HEADQUARTERS
SECURITY, GUARDING PRIVATE POLICE VEHICLES

UNITS IN THE POLICE DEPT. I SOUGHT HELP:

EMPLOYEE RELATIONS SECTION 6/86/7
EARLY INTERVENTION UNIT - SGT JERRY MINES "
MANHATTAN SOUTH BOROUGH -
PERSONNEL BUREAU - JOE FRANKEL
DEP. COMM HOLIDAY (AGAIN) WITNESS PHOTOGRAPHER

COMM WARD - TRIED TO SEE HIM PERSONALLY
 CHAPLAIN'S UNIT - RABBI KASS
 CAPTAIN STANLY STONE - IAD 3/84
 CAREER PATH ADVANCEMENT (HAD REQUIRED POINTS)

POLITICIANS AND GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS I APPEALED TO FOR HELP:

HERB BLOCK 5/88 TOLD HIM OF ANTI-SEMITISM -
 LOU WEISER -COUNCIL OF J/CIVIL SERVICE AND FORMERLY PD LT DET.
 FERNANDO FERROR BX BORO PRESIDENT
 MANNY BEHAR QUEENS ASST TO THE BORO PRESIDENT
 NOACH DEAR NYC COUNCILMAN
 DOV HIKIND NYS ASSEMBLYMAN
 ROBERT ABRAMS NYS ATTORNEY GENERAL 9/87
 ELLIOT ENGEL NYS ASSEMBLYMAN BX. 10/87
 JULIE FRANK ASST TO ANDREW STEIN PRESIDENT OF CITY COUNCIL
 CARLOS DIAZ OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AGENCY
 NEAL SHER OF DEPT. OF JUSTICE OFFICE OF SPECIAL INVESTIGATIONS 8/88
 JOE HYNES BKLYN DISTRICT ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

SHOMRIM SOCIETY MEMBERS AND OFFICIALS I APPEALED TO FOR ASSISTANCE.

HAROLD SCHIFFER PRES
 ELSON GELFAND PRES 4/84 CAPT THEN, NOW CHIEF
 CAROL WEINBERGER PRES
 WILTON SEKZER PRES
 JOEL FRANKEL PRES
 LOUIS WEISER PRES
 ALAN GOODMAN PRESCAPT
 RITCHIE GREENBERG PRES SGT.
 ANDREW MENKES PRES
 BOB HYMAN PRES SPOKE TO AND SENT CIVIL COMPLAINT-NO RESPONSE
 STEPHEN EPSTEIN CHAPLAIN'S UNIT SGT.
 JOE GARBER "
 DR. JACK SABLE " FORMER NYS COMMISSIONER OF HUMAN RIGHTS
 RABBI ALVIN KASS "
 SAMUEL LATWIN OLD RETIRED MEMBER
 STEPHANIE SCHEFFLER(RICH) PERSONAL
 STANLY STONE " CAPT.
 DAVID GOLDBERG
 HARVEY HECKER " SGT.
 MITCH CHOSAK DET.
 ABE KUSHNER LT. JEWISH LIAISON TO CHIEF'S OFFICE 7/83-ON/ABOUT
 ELI KLEIN - NYPD SURGEON

NOTE:THE SHOMRIM SOCIETY IS DOING EVERYTHING POSSIBLE TO SUPPRESS MY DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT AS THEY FEEL THAT OTHER JEWS WILL BE UNDER MORE SCRUTINY IF MY COMPLAINT BECOMES PUBLIC. THE FACT IS THAT THEY THEMSELVES ARE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT AND SHARE BLAME IN THIS DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINT AS THEY THEMSELVES WERE AWARE ABOUT IT FOR YEARS. ONE OF THE MAIN OBJECTIVES OF THE

SHOMRIM SOCIETY IS TO PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF THE JEWISH COPS. ALL THEY DID WAS TAKE THE SAME POSITION THE POLICE BRASS DID, WHICH IS BLAME THE VICTIM.

OTHER FRATERNAL POLICE ORGANIZATIONS I APPEALED TO FOR ASSISTANCE.

BLACK GUARDIANS - DET. ROGER ABEL PAST PRES.
HISPANIC SOCIETY - WALTER ALICEA

MEMBERS OF THE CLERGY I APPEALED TO FOR HELP:

RABBI FABIAN SCHONFELD - MY PERSONAL RABBI - THROUGHOUT CAREER
RABBI SHALOM RUBIN "

RABBI JACOB BRONNER 6/88 CALLED CHIEF JOHNSON - SENT LETTER
RABBI SHLOMO KLASS - JEWISH PRESS PUBLISHER 6/88 - SENT LETTER
RABBI ALVIN KASS THROUGHOUT CAREER
RABBI RAPHAEL BUTLER NATIONAL CONF. ON SYN. YOUTH (SEVERAL)
RABBI EDGAR GLUCK 6/88 AND EARLIER NYS POLICE LIAISON TO GOVERNOR

RABBI MICHAEL MILLER 6/88
RABBI SPIELMAN - 4/88 SPOKE TO CHIEF SCOTT AND JOHNSON
RABBI ALGAZE - 6/88
RABBI LENNY GUTTMAN 10/87
RABBI DAVID (REFORM-CENTRAL SYN.) 6/88
RABBI MANNY WEISER 8/18/87 SHOMRIM VOLUNTEER PATROL
RABBI MOSHE SILVERSTEIN 8/18/87 "

CARDINAL O'CONNOR REFERRED TO
MONSIGNOR McCARTHY SECRETARY VICKY LINCOLN GET HELP THROUGH PHONE CALLS TO CHIEF TO LEAVE ME ALONE AND ALLOW TO TRANSFER AND REF. LETTER 3/25/88 MET WITH 9/7/87
BARRY KUGEL ADMINISTRATOR FOR REFORM CENTRAL SYNAGOGUE

ORGANIZATIONS I CONTACTED FOR ASSISTANCE:

(JCRC) JEWISH COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL - DAVID POLLACK/MALCOLM HOENLEIN
PRESIDENT'S CONFERENCE ON MAJOR JEWISH ORGANIZATIONS
ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE
BOARD OF JEWISH EDUCATION - DR. ALVIN SCHIFF
CONGRESS OF RACIAL EQUALITY (CORE)
YOUNG ISRAEL OF NATIONAL COUNCIL - -ISSAC HAGLER, RABBI STURM, HAROLD JACOBS - 6/84
ORTHODOX UNION OF AMERICA - RABBI BUTLER
INSTITUTE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS
BNAI BRITHSEYMOUR REICH
COLPA DENNIS RAPPS
FEDERATION OF JEWISH PHILANTHROPIES - INTERGOVERNMENTAL DEPT.
AGUDAT ISRAEL OF AMERICA
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION

POLICE PERSONNEL I PERSONALLY TOLD AND/OR APPEALED TO FOR HELP:

DAVID GOLDBERG - POLICE ACADEMY
 DAVID DUHAN - SAW SWASTIKAS
 STU GOLDSTEIN MTN
 JOE HOCHBERG MTN
 DAVID GOLDENBERG
 MIKE FANDEL -
 BRUCE ROBERTSON - SAW SWASTIKA AND SPOKE TO THE SQUADS ABOUT IT THE
 PBA REPRESENTATIVE CAUTIONING THEM
 JOHN HABERLY -
 JOHN VANESCO MTN SDS
 DET. JOHN TUMULTY COMMUNITY AFFAIRS
 DET. WASHINGTON PRECINCT DETECTIVE UNIT OF MTN
 DET. CHARLIE JARDINES INTELLIGENCE REPORT/KNEW OF GM PROBLEMS 9/3/87
 PO ED KITRELL
 AL ROSANO PBA DELGATE - 4/88
 AL PIZZANO PBA MTN
 MARVIN WOLFTHAL "
 BRIAN McCABE "
 RITCHIE O'NEIL PBA CENTRAL
 FRANK TOSCANO " ATTORNEY
 LOU V'TIGLIO " "
 RICKY KARPEN SGT (SHOMRIM) TOLD OF PROBLEMS
 HOWARD SHALLER LT. PSYCH SERVICES AND SHOMRIM
 TRACY - PAA MTN
 PAUL SHRIZZO
 ED CASTELLO
 MAT BRENNAN
 KYLE MORTON
 DET. AL REGANHART
 ALEX (JEWISH DET. MTN PDU)
 EDITH LYN LT.
 JOE GARBER - SHOMRIM
 SGT. JERRY MINES - EARLY INTERVENTION UNIT
 HARVEY HECKER
 MORTY DZGANSKY
 DAVID GOLDENBERG
 LT. DAVID NADEL - 3/88 AND MANY MORE TIMES JEWISH COMMUNITY
 LIAISON TO THE CHIEF
 INSPECTOR McCABE OF DIV III - 5/88
 CHIEF WALSH OF MANHATTAN SOUTH
 INSPECTOR MARKOWITZ " DIVISION 111
 DAVID GORMAN - ADMINISTRATOR AND ASST. TO DEP COMM HOLIDAY
 SGT. DEMAR - QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKKEEPING
 SGT. MILLER - FORMERLY AT MTN PCT.
 SGT. RODRIGUEZ - QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING

ADDITIONALLY I WROTE TO OVER 700 CITY, STATE AND FEDERAL APPOINTED
 AND ELECTED OFFICIALS TO INTERVENE ON MY BEHALF REGARDING THE BLATANT

DISCRIMINATION I RECEIVED AND STILL CONTINUE TO RECEIVE.

IN SHORT FROM THE INCEPTION OF MY EMPLOYMENT UP TO THE PRESENT I HAVE BEEN SUBJECTED TO DISCRIMINATORY TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF EMPLOYMENT, HARASSED, DENIED PROMOTIONS AND DENIED TRANSFERS.

I BELIEVE THAT THIS FORMER ILLEGAL STATUS, DENIED OF PROMOTIONS AND TRANSFER AND DISCRIMINATORY TERMS AND CONDITIONS IS BECAUSE OF MY RELIGION (JEWISH) IN VIOLATION OF TITLE VII OF THE CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1964, AS AMENDED.

REMEDIES REQUESTED

10. PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING THE FOLLOWING REMEDIES:

I. FOR THE FOLLOWING TORTS COMMITTED AGAINST PLAINTIFF OF:

FIRST CAUSE HARASSMENT

SECOND CAUSE ABUSE OF PROCESS

THIRD CAUSE MALICIOUS PROSECUTION

FOURTH CAUSE DEFAMATION OF CHARACTER

FIFTH CAUSE INVASION OF PRIVACY

SIXTH CAUSE INTENTIONAL AFFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL HARM

SEVENTH CAUSE VIOLATIONS OF CIVIL RIGHTS - FREEDOM OF

RELIGIOUS PRACTICE AND FOR DEFENDANT'S

RETALIATION BY DISMISSING PLAINTIFF THEREBY

CAUSING PLAINTIFF TO FORFEIT ALL WAGES, PENSION

BENEFITS, AND FUTURE JOB OPPORTUNITIES

EIGHTH CAUSE VIOLATION OF THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT -
PLAINTIFF WAS DENIED ACCESS TO MANY OF HIS
PERSONAL RECORDS WHICH WERE NEEDED AT
PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING AND STILL
NEEDED AT THIS TIME FOR THIS CASE EVEN AFTER
PLAINTIFF FILED THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT
FORM IN THE NYCPD.

NINTH CAUSE VIOLATION OF PLAINTIFF'S CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHT
OF FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND PRESS, AND THE RIGHT
FOR A REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES.

PLAINTIFF HAS BEEN DAMAGED IN THE TOTAL SUM OF NINE MILLION
(\$9,000,000) DOLLARS.

II. PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING AN ORDER AGAINST DEFENDANTS TO
REINSTATE PLAINTIFF TO HIS RIGHTFUL POSITION AS A POLICE OFFICER
IMMEDIATELY WITH THE SAME PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES AFFORDED OTHER
OFFICERS WITH PLAINTIFF'S EXPERIENCE, TIME, AND EXPERTISE. SECOND,
PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING A RESTRAINING ORDER FOR POLICE OFFICIALS FROM
THE CONTINUED HARASSMENT ON AND OFF THE JOB OF PLAINTIFF. THIRD,
PLAINTIFF IS SEEKING THE COURT TO ORDER THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE
DEPARTMENT TO INVOKE SPECIFIC MEASURES, PROCEDURES, AND STANDARDS
THROUGH SPECIALIZED LEGAL AND SENSITIVITY TRAINING OF RANKING POLICE
OFFICIALS THAT CAN BE REASONABLY MONITORED AND ENFORCED TO PREVENT
PLAINTIFF AND OTHER OFFICERS FROM BEING VICTIMIZED BY THEIR OWN
DEPARTMENT.

11. IN ADDITION WHEREAS THE DEFENDANTS BY REASON OF THE FOREGOING WITH KNOWLEDGE, AND OTHERWISE, DID INDULGE IN THE FOREGOING MALICIOUS CONDUCT, EXHIBITING THEREBY A WANTON AND WILLFUL DISREGARD OF PLAINTIFF'S RIGHTS PLAINTIFF IS ENTITLED TO SIX MILLION (\$6,000,000) DOLLARS IN PUNITIVE DAMAGES THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF DAMAGES BEING NINE MILLION (\$15,000,000) DOLLARS TOGETHER WITH INTEREST, COSTS AND DISBURSEMENTS OF THIS ACTION.

12. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT IN A SIMILAR RECENT CASE OF SEXUAL HARASSMENT AND RETALIATION A FORMER FEMALE OFFICER WENT THROUGH SIMILAR HORRORS AND SHE WAS AWARDED THREE-QUARTERS OF A MILLION DOLLARS. MORE IMPORTANTLY THE DECISION BY THE CITY HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSION ALSO ORDERS THE NYPD TO HIRE A CONSULTANT TO REVIEW THE DEPARTMENT'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY TRAINING AND COMPLAINT-PROCESSING. THE WRONGS THAT WERE PERPETRATED ON HER INCLUDED VERBAL AND PHYSICAL THREATS BY MALE COPS, DENIAL OF ASSIGNMENTS THAT WOULD HAVE LED TO HER PROMOTION, BEING FOUND GUILTY ON BOGUS DEPARTMENT CHARGES, RETALIATORY TRANSFERS, HARASSMENT BY POLICE BRASS, AND DISCRIMINATORY DENIAL OF AN ACCIDENTAL-DISABILITY PENSION.

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMISSIONER DENNIS DELEON IN SIGNING THE DECISION ALSO ORDERED THE POLICE DEPT. TO PAY HER EVEN MORE MONEY THAN RECOMMENDED BY AN ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE WHO HAD RULED IN HER FAVOR EARLIER. THE COMMISSIONER STATES, "THE JUDGE'S ORDER GROSSLY UNDER-VALUES THE EXTENT OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS AND HUMILIATION. THE

RECORD SETS OUT A CLEAR PATTERN OF REPREHENSIBLE CONDUCT WHICH HAD AN EMOTIONALLY AND PHYSICALLY DESTRUCTIVE EFFECT WHICH LASTED FOR SEVERAL YEARS SERIOUSLY DEGRADING THE QUALITY OF HER LIFE.

13. A COPY OF FOLLOWING ARE INCLUDED IN SUPPORT OF MY COMPLAINT:
 - A. RIGHT TO SUE LETTER FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
 - B. ARTICLE 78 NOTICE OF PETITION
 - C. NEW YORK POST NEWSPAPER ARTICLE WITH DETAILS ON SEXUAL HARASSMENT CASE RECENTLY WON BY A FORMER NYC POLICE WOMAN AND WHERE THE JUDGE ORDERED THE NYPD TO HIRE A CONSULTANT TO REVIEW THE DEPARTMENT'S EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY TRAINING AND COMPLAINT-PROCESSING.
 - D. INTERIM LETTER SENT OUT BY PLAINTIFF TO OTHER MEMBERS OF THE NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT REQUESTING OTHERS TO COME FORWARD IN COMPLAINING ABOUT DISCRIMINATION.
 - E. LETTER ADDRESSED TO THE NYC POLICE CHAPLAIN RABBI ALVIN KASS BY THE PLAINTIFF REQUESTING HIS INTERVENTION IN PLAINTIFF'S DISCRIMINATION PROBLEM.
 - F. NOTICE OF NYPD-EEOC COMPLAINT DATED 9/26/90 BY PLAINTIFF
 - G. NOTICE OF EEOC COMPLAINT CHARGING DISCRIMINATION 10/19/90
 - H. REFERENCES. LETTERS, PROPOSALS, LITERATURE OF PLAINTIFF'S VOLUNTEER COMMUNITY SERVICE RECORD, AND ARTICLES ATTESTING TO THE TRUE CHARACTER OF PLAINTIFF WHICH WAS SUBMITTED DURING THE PLAINTIFF'S ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING.
14. IF RELIEF IS NOT GRANTED, PLAINTIFF WILL BE IRREPARABLY DENIED RIGHTS SECURED BY TITLE VII OF THE 1964 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT, AS AMENDED.

15. PLAINTIFF REQUESTS JURY TRIAL.

WHEREFORE, PLAINTIFF PRAYS THAT THE COURT GRANT SUCH RELIEF AS MAY BE APPROPRIATE, INCLUDING INJUNCTIVE ORDERS, DAMAGES, COSTS AND ATTORNEY'S FEES.

PLAINTIFF'S SIGNATURE

ADDRESS

TELEPHONE

DATED: 12/31/92 NEW YORK

NOTARY PUBLIC:

EXHIBIT I - LETTER SENT OUT TO FELLOW OFFICERS

TO: NYPD MEMBERS OF THE SERVICE OF THE JEWISH FAITH
FROM: P.O. GARY MOSKOWITZ, QUEENS CENTRAL BOOKING
RE: RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION AND ANTI-SEMITISM WITHIN THE
NYPD

HAVE YOU EVER FELT YOU WERE DENIED A SPECIALIZED ASSIGNMENT (DETAIL) OR A PROMOTION WHEN YOU KNEW YOU WERE MORE THAN QUALIFIED BUT FELT YOU WERE OVERLOOKED BECAUSE YOU WERE JEWISH? DID YOU EVER HAVE TROUBLE TAKING OFF ON THE JEWISH HOLIDAYS ON YOUR OWN VACATION TIME? IS YOUR LOYALTY TO THE NYPD AND TO THIS COUNTRY QUESTIONED BY YOUR SUPERIORS AND/OR COLLEAGUES BECAUSE YOU EXPRESS A STRONG CONVICTION TO THE STATE OF ISRAEL? HAVE YOU EVER BEEN ACCUSED FOR BEING A FIELD ASSOCIATE FOR THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION QUESTIONING YOUR TRUST TO OTHER POLICE OFFICERS? WERE YOU EVER INFORMED, OR DID YOU FEEL THAT YOUR SUPERIORS FELT, THAT YOU COULD NOT BE TRUSTED TO WORK ON SERIOUS/CONFIDENTIAL CASES? HAVE YOU EVER HAD NAZI SWASTIKAS DRAWN ON YOUR POLICE LOCKER BY POLICE PERSONNEL? HAVE SUPERIORS OR COLLEAGUES EXPRESSED THEIR PERSONAL OPINIONS TO YOU ON HOW JEWS THINK THEY CAN DO WHAT THEY WANT BECAUSE THEY CONTROL THE FINANCIAL CENTERS, PRESS, AND POLITICS IN THIS COUNTRY? HAVE YOU EVER BEEN REBUKED BY YOUR SUPERIORS FOR SHOWING FAVORITISM BY HELPING SOMEONE OF THE JEWISH

FAITH WHO WAS EITHER A VICTIM OF A CRIME OR ACCUSED OF A CRIME (E.G., GETTING KOSHER FOOD FOR A JEWISH PRISONER)? HAVE YOU EVER FELT THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION HAS HARASSED YOU BY PURSUING AN "ANONYMOUS TIP" AGAINST YOU AND PROLONGED AN INVESTIGATION WITH NO MERIT? HAVE YOU EVER WITNESSED ANTI-SEMITISM BY YOUR COLLEAGUES AND/OR SUPERVISORS TO MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY?

UNFORTUNATELY, EVEN IN TODAY'S ENLIGHTENED AGE OF PLURALISM, PEOPLE ARE STILL JUDGED BY THEIR RACE, NATIONAL ORIGIN OR THEIR RELIGION.

THE NYPD IS A CLEAR REFLECTION OF OUR SOCIETY AS A WHOLE. ONLY ONE WOULD, AND SHOULD, EXPECT A MUCH HIGHER STANDARD FOR AMERICA'S LARGEST POLICE AGENCY. ANTI-SEMITISM IS CLEARLY INSTITUTIONALIZED THOUGH MORE PRONOUNCED ONE'S JEWISH RELIGIOUS BELIEF IS, THE MORE HARASSMENT HE/SHE WILL HAVE TO COPE WITH.

IF YOU HAVE ANSWERED YES TO ANY OF THE ABOVE BUT FEEL SCARED, THREATENED OR INTIMIDATED TO SPEAK OUT BECAUSE YOU FEAR RETRIBUTION BY LOSING YOUR DETAIL, OR NOT GETTING ONE, OR YOU WOULD SUFFER UNDUE HARASSMENT BY YOUR SUPERIORS AND BE OSTRACIZED BY YOUR OTHER COLLEAGUES, THEN SPEAK OUT ANONYMOUSLY!!!

I HAVE ANSWERED YES TO ALL THE ABOVE. I AM FINALLY SPEAKING OUT AND URGE EACH AND EVERY ONE OF YOU WHO HAS EXPERIENCED ANTI-SEMITISM TO DOCUMENT YOUR SITUATION AND TO CONTACT ME. TOGETHER WE CAN TRY TO END THIS UNJUST BEHAVIOR WE'VE BEEN EXPERIENCING.

THE TIME TO SPEAK OUT IS NOW!!!

EXHIBIT

7/2/88

To: Rabbi Kass

From: PO Gary Moskowitz

Subject: Assistance regarding transfer

I am writing you because I haven't been able to speak with you directly on the phone about my situation. I thank you for returning my calls but due to our busy schedules we keep missing each other.

I have been a police officer for almost seven years. Ever since I entered the academy I've had to contend with harassment professionally and personally from colleagues and from supervisors.

At times there has been more intense pressure than others. The few problems I had with other police officers which include swastikas written on my police locker, receiving personal insults for my religious convictions and even physical threats of violence by a cop who works in my precinct I am able to deal with. I can talk to them, file charges against them or ignore them. However, when problems arise with supervisors it is quite difficult if not impossible at times to correct. Supervisors can literally make your life as a police officer miserable. They have total control over your assignments and can change it at their discretion without regard to the officer's interest and personal life. Often there is just subjectivity with regard to assignment. If there is a problem with one supervisor rest

assure the others will know about it soon and problems of harassment will be forthwith by the others as the supervisors rally to each other's interests. It is difficult to fight a reputation whether just or unjust. If you try to talk to them they become more incensed. they can't be ignored because it affects your life and the job performance.

If you attempt to file charges of discrimination you receive swift retribution from other supervisors and other officers will be afraid to associate with you and now you will be under a microscope where they will seek to write you up with complaints known as command disciplines for infraction of minor violations over the simplest things. They do this to gather paperwork data against the police officer in case the officer at a later date attempts to file charges against the supervisor. They use this as evidence for a subsequent hearing or trial to say that the officer has no basis in filing harassment charges against the supervisor. This is a common practice in the police department. Occasionally the supervisor even speaks condescendingly to the officer insulting him at every gesture baiting him to respond abusively so they can show disrespect and insubordination to a ranking officer. This has happened to me on several occasions and I never gave in to this childish nonsense. If the police officer attempts to transfer from the command often an undesirable phone call is made to the desired command where the officer is requesting to go informing the commanding officer there that this officer is a troublemaker. Suddenly the officer loses his chance to transfer even though he has the experience, education and expertise and has passed through the interview stages.

What is the police officer suppose to do? Contact the PBA? Employee relations? Fraternal organizations? Chaplain's unit? Personal bureau? Most of them advise wait a little longer because eventually the bosses will be transferred. However, the reputation stays. The obvious advice everyone makes is to have someone in the police brass make a phone call to assist you. However, that assumes one knows someone in that position. If a phone call is made on the officer's behalf and it is not from a high enough source, it backfires and puts the police officer in more trouble where the commanding officer becomes annoyed. It seems like a no win situation.

I am going through this problem now. I am a conscientious police officer. In addition to patrol I've worked in the youth outreach unit and as an investigator in the runaway unit. I also worked as an undercover officer in the Manhattan south narcotics buy and bust operation. Additionally, I worked temporarily with the FBI-NYPD joint terrorist task force and the Yeshiva University task force.

I realize my situation is unique in the department and from time-to-time I will come into contact with resentment by supervisors and colleagues depending on their education and personal philosophy.

However, it shouldn't affect my work assignments. Being an orthodox Jewish police officer poses no problem in the department except in the minds of the uneducated and bigoted. The fact that I need to be off on the Sabbath is no reason for resentment because many officers

are off on weekends in hundreds of assignments working steady days.

There must be at least forty officers alone in my precinct that are off on Saturday. The fact that I wear a skullcap (Kipa) covering my head as you know is the orthodox Jewish way of expressing one's belief in the fear of God serving as a constant reminder to the individual wearing it that God is above us and we are accountable at all times for our actions to him. The reason why I wear a kipa is often congruent for many Christians wearing their crosses.

Additionally, growing up in a religious home I was indoctrinated in doing community service and giving charity. Hence I have been very active since high school with helping the elderly, poor and assisting kids recreationally, culturally, educationally, emotionally and helping those with special problems such as drug and alcohol abuse and those who were suicidal. As a result of years of work in the community I created the National Association for the Jewish Poor (NAJP) which is partially funded by the city of New York. My work received some publicity as a human interest story and more importantly served to sensitize others into getting involved and that they too can make a difference. I have been awarded and commended by several community and city organizations for my effort in training youth in working with my inter-generational program. The most noted one was when I was an invited guest at the White House because one of my students I nominated won the national youth volunteer award by President Reagan. All this work began before I entered the department and I became a police officer as a direct result of my

desire to help others. This offered me a new avenue to pursue my work in public service. All this work with the NAJP is strictly accomplished on my own time off-duty.

I didn't realize that all this community work would have a negative effect in my position in the police department. If anything it should help it as it is a boost to police-community relations having officers become so involved in their personal life with volunteering their time with the elderly and troubled youth. Much of my work has been subject to TV and press news stories as I discuss issues clearly related to contemporary social problems not criminal ones. Additionally I always clear it with the public information unit in the department before I speak with the media and point out succinctly that I am speaking as president of the NAJP and not as a police officer.

However several supervisors have actually become antagonistic and nasty towards me regarding this publicity when it crosses their attention. They feel (as they told me personally) that I believe I am someone special (a hotshot) and "while I may be a big-shot out there I am just a _ _ _ _ cop here and that they won't let me forget it." They just don't understand what I am trying to accomplish. However on a positive note there several other officers (both Jewish and gentile) who admire and respect my work and have offered on a number of occasions to assist me in the NAJP.

I have been attempting to work as an investigator for almost two years. I have time on the job, the career path points needed,

experience, and expertise and education in several areas. I am a few credits shy of my masters in education and now I am currently studying law at Touro Law School. My only weakness on the job which is used against me is my former late habit. While I know it was wrong coming to work five - ten minutes late occasionally I feel that this was an unjust excuse used against me denying me several specialty positions as an investigator, community affairs, and the police academy, all of which requested me initially. I have been severely penalized for this I believe because I made a complaint to one of my supervisors brings to his attention that I am the only officer who is receiving complaints regularly for this infraction (something for which I can substantiate if I had to). It is quite obvious to my fellow officers and everyone around when they see that I probably have more complaints than any other police officer in the precinct of over 300 police officers. this is because one Lt. advised all the sergeants to monitor me in particular with simple procedures being questioned and procedural complaints being leveled at me without merit or substance. No one receives so many command disciplines for this unless they are looking to develop paperwork on you. I may have deserved a couple over the years but this is a cheap exaggerated excuse to stifle my upward mobility in the department I believe.

With all the problems I usually still don't have difficulty initially in getting through interviews for other assignments. However without the standard endorsement from my commanding officer no one will allow me in. My commanding officer will not even endorse

my career path application which I have the proper career points to transfer to a more desirable assignment utilizing my skills and making my time more effective in the police department. I appealed to my commanding officer that while I worked for him as the officer at Park West high school (which was the busiest post in the command) I taught a daily street law class, broke up gang fights regularly, made numerous felony arrests, which include attempted murder, gathered much intelligence information for the department on youth gangs and crimes by them and at the end I had my jaw dislocated on a gang fight between two rival hispanic gangs after I was attacked by over thirty students.

One student threw a chair at me striking me first on my back and then in my face. Upon return from line of duty sick leave I was told I was replaced by another officer and if I want to continue working steady days I would have to be reduced to a summonses officer. Because of the excessive violence, trouble and workload at the high school the position was a standard stepping stone to obtaining a choice position in plainclothes in the confines of the precinct such as anti-crime, warrants, etc. The other three officers before me all received these positions. I didn't. I was initially drafted into this position because I used to be a teacher in the high schools and I worked previously with youth gangs before in the department. When I requested to enter anti-crime I was told there was no position available as of now. In addition at that time I made an excellent off-duty armed robbery/burglary collar in Queens which I am up for an accommodation for. Everybody including the commanding officer tells me to my face that I am doing good work which seems to be of

no avail.

I am a good cop trying to do my job to the best of my potential. I cannot understand why the commanding officer will not allow me to transfer out if other details (investigative assignments) are willing to take me. If he doesn't like me I would assume he would be happy to let me go. The longer I stay here the more likely trouble will follow. There are other officers who have gotten in much worse trouble and yet somehow manage to move around in the department. In all my years working on the force I have never been subject to any civilian complaints. I have a good arrest and summons record. What else do they want?

Any assistance or advice you can offer me will be greatly appreciated.

Thank you for your time

Sincerely, PO Gary Moskowitz

70-73 153RD STREET
FLUSHING, NEW YORK 11367
OCTOBER 8, 1990

DEAR COMMISSIONER KOSHETZ:

I AM APPALLED BY THE INVESTIGATION OF POLICE OFFICER GARY MOSKOWITZ, BUT EVEN MORE SO, OF THE EMBARRASSING METHODS UTILIZED BY THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT TO HUMILIATE OFFICER MOSKOWITZ.

OFFICER MOSKOWITZ HAS BEEN AN EXEMPLARY OFFICER AND CITIZEN OF THIS CITY. IT IS A DISGRACE THAT THE NYPD IS TAKING UP SO MUCH VALUABLE POLICE TIME AND ENERGY IN TRYING TO FIND HIM GUILTY OF MANY UNFOUNDED ACCUSATIONS AND MINOR PATROL INFRACTIONS WHICH WERE SELECTIVELY ENFORCED UPON OFFICER MOSKOWITZ. IT IS QUITE CLEAR TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC, AND THOSE WHO PERSONALLY KNOW OFFICER MOSKOWITZ, THAT THESE ARE OUTRAGEOUS CHARGES WITH THE INTENTION OF CAUSING SERIOUS AND PERMANENT DAMAGE TO AN INDIVIDUAL WHO HAS DEDICATED MOST OF HIS ADULT LIFE TO HELPING OTHERS IN DISTRESS. HE HAS DEVOTED A LOT OF HIS TIME IN HELPING AND COUNSELING THE ELDERLY, THE POOR, ADOLESCENT DRUG ADDICTS, AND AMELIORATING INTER-ETHNIC TENSIONS THROUGH DIRECT INTERVENTION.

ENCLOSED YOU WILL FIND REFERENCES, RECOMMENDATIONS AND CITATIONS OF THE WORK THAT OFFICER MOSKOWITZ HAS PERFORMED ON AND OFF DUTY. A BRIEF PERUSAL OF THESE LETTERS WILL SHOW YOU THE TRUE CHARACTER OF OFFICER MOSKOWITZ.

IT IS OBVIOUS THAT A GREAT INJUSTICE IS BEING DONE TO OFFICER MOSKOWITZ AND IT IS ALSO QUITE APPARENT THAT IT IS POLITICALLY AND ECONOMICALLY

MOTIVATED.

SINCERELY,

ROCHELLE MESKIN

BOARD MEMBER

NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE JEWISH POOR

EDITORIAL:

CITY OF SHAME

SUBJECT:

DEMANDING AN INDEPENDENT IMPARTIAL INQUIRY INTO THE CASE OF POLICE
OFFICER GARY MOSKOWITZ

GARY MOSKOWITZ WAS A MEMBER OF THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR NINE YEARS. MANY OF US IN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY CAME TO KNOW GARY PERSONALLY THROUGH HIS MANY WORKS IN LAW ENFORCEMENT, HIS DEDICATED VOLUNTEER WORK WITH THE POOR, HIS OUTREACH YOUTH WORK, AND THROUGH HIS TEACHING OF MARTIAL ARTS.

GARY BECAME QUITE KNOWN TO THE GENERAL PUBLIC AS HE WAS ONE OF THE FIRST ORTHODOX JEWISH POLICE OFFICERS IN THE NYPD. HE PROUDLY WORE HIS KIPA (SKULLCAP) ON PATROL IN AND OUT OF UNIFORM. FOR THOSE WHO HAVE SEEN GARY IN ACTION IN THE MID-TOWN AREA WHERE HE PATROLLED FOR YEARS KNEW WHAT A KIDDUSH HASHEM (HONOR) HE WAS DOING FOR ALL OF US.

GARY OFTEN WOULD RECEIVE CALLS FOR ASSISTANCE AT HIS HOME. FROM THE MOST SIMPLISTIC MATTERS TO THE MORE SERIOUS PROBLEMS HE NEVER TURNED ANYONE DOWN AND ALWAYS FOUND TIME TO HELP. GARY HAS BEEN A WONDERFUL ROLE MODEL FOR OUR YOUTH AND A SOURCE OF PRIDE AND INSPIRATION FOR THE REST OF US. HE HAS BEEN THE SUBJECT OF NUMEROUS NEWSPAPER, RADIO AND TELEVISION INTERVIEWS AND HE LECTURES AND WRITES PROFESSIONALLY ABOUT HIS WORK IN THE STREETS OF NEW YORK.

MANY OLDER MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY STILL REMEMBER WHAT THE POLICE UNIFORM MEANT TO THEM WHILE THEY LIVED IN EUROPE WHERE THE POLICE WOULD EITHER PARTICIPATE OR TURN THEIR BACKS DURING A POGROM (ORGANIZED ATTACK ON THE JEWISH COMMUNITY). SO WHEN THE JEWISH COMMUNITY IS PRIVILEGED TO SEE ONE OF THEIR OWN WORKING AS A POLICE OFFICER IT SERVES AS A SIGN RELIEF AND COMFORT OF KNOWING THAT THEY ARE SAFE.

AT ONE TIME AN ORTHODOX JEWISH POLICE OFFICER COULDN'T FUNCTION IN THE NEW YORK CITY POLICE DEPT. AND PERHAPS STILL CANNOT. THE JEWISH

COMMUNITY RELATIONS COUNCIL IN NY BEGAN A CAMPAIGN TO TRY AND RECRUIT ORTHODOX JEWISH POLICE OFFICERS OVER 10 YEARS AGO WITH ASSURANCES FROM THE POLICE HIERARCHY THAT THE OFFICERS WOULD BE ABLE TO HAVE SHABBAT (SABBATH) AND JEWISH RELIGIOUS HOLIDAYS OFF.

GARY BECAME A POLICE OFFICER JAN. 1982 AND HE WAS ACTUALLY A PILOT PROJECT IN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. IT WAS VERY IMPORTANT TO THE JEWISH COMMUNITY THAT WE HAVE DEDICATED YOUNG STRONG JEWISH MEN AND WOMEN SERVING AS NYC POLICE OFFICERS FOR THEY WOULD BE MORE SENSITIVE TO THE NEEDS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY AS THEY ALREADY HAVE THE SHARED EDUCATIONAL AND CULTURAL BACKGROUND.

HOWEVER FROM THE VERY ONSET OF GARY'S APPLICATION INTO THE NYC POLICE DEPARTMENT HE WAS PLAGUED BY VIRULENT ANTI-SEMITISM WHICH MANIFESTED ITSELF A SERIES OF PROLONGED INVESTIGATIONS, QUESTIONS OF DUAL LOYALTY TO THE UNITED STATES AND TO THE NYPD, IMPROPER ASSIGNMENTS, BEING DENIED PROMOTIONAL OPPORTUNITIES, BEING SUBJECT TO NUMEROUS DISCIPLINARY COMPLAINTS WHICH FOR THE SAME INFRACTIONS OTHER OFFICERS WEREN'T SUBJECTED TO, HIS PERSONAL LOCKER BROKEN INTO AND HAVING IT SCRAWLED WITH NAZI SWASTIKAS AND OTHER ANTI-JEWISH EPITAPHS, AND WORST OF ALL SUBJECTED TO PHYSICAL AND EMOTIONAL HARASSMENT FROM FELLOW COPS AND SUPERVISORS.

GARY MADE NUMEROUS COMPLAINTS OVER THE YEARS TO VARIOUS JEWISH LEADERS TO SEE IF THESE PROBLEMS COULD BE RESOLVED QUIETLY. ALMOST ALL THESE SO CALLED JEWISH LEADERS WERE ONLY ABLE TO TELL HIM THAT IF HE MADE HIS COMPLAINT PUBLIC IT WOULD "ROCK THE BOAT" AND THAT THE "NICE" RELATIONSHIP THE JEWISH COMMUNITY COUNCILS ENJOYS WITH THE POLICE ADMINISTRATION COULD BE DAMAGED.

THE REALITY IS THAT ANTI-SEMITISM IS VIRTUALLY INSTITUTIONALIZED IN THE NYPD JUST AS IS RACISM IS. OUR JEWISH LEADERSHIP IS AFRAID TO CONFRONT POLICE OFFICIALS BECAUSE THEY LIVE IN FEAR THAT THEY WILL RECEIVE A NEGATIVE REACTION. MANY OF THEM WERE TOLD OVER THE YEARS BY POLICE OFFICIALS THAT IF THEY COMPLAIN PUBLICLY IT WILL CREATE MORE ANTI-SEMITISM FOR THEM IN AND OUTSIDE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. THIS IS INCONCEIVABLE FOR THE JEWISH COMMUNITY TO TOLERATE THIS FORM OF BEHAVIOR IN THE 1990S IN THIS COUNTRY IN VIEW OF OUR HISTORICAL PROBLEMS WITH ANTI-SEMITISM.

GARY HAS WITNESSED HORRIBLE CASES AGAINST OTHER MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY ON MANY LEVELS BY THE POLICE DEPARTMENT. SOME OF THESE INSTANCES RANGE FROM FALSELY AND ILLEGALLY SUPPRESSING BIAS CRIMINAL CASES FOR POLITICAL REASONS TO JEWISH PEOPLE BEING ARRESTED IMPROPERLY AND FALSELY IMPRISONED TO NOT BEING ABLE TO RECEIVE KOSHER FOOD IN JAIL TO OUTRIGHT BRUTALITY. EACH AND EVERY TIME GARY HAS SPOKEN OUT TO HIS SUPERIORS PROTESTING THESE KINDS OF BEHAVIORS IT CONTINUED CREATING A HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT FOR HIMSELF. HE WAS TOLD MANY TIMES BY HIS SUPERIORS THAT THEY WOULD FIND A WAY TO GET HIM OFF THE FORCE AND THAT "JEWS DON'T BELONG HERE WITH US, AND THAT HE SHOULD GO TO ISRAEL".

GARY FINALLY DECIDED TO FILE A COMPLAINT WITH THE HUMAN RIGHTS DEPARTMENT OF THE NYPD IN MAY OF 1988 WHICH UNFORTUNATELY EMPLOYS OTHER POLICE OFFICERS. THE OFFICERS TOLD HIM THAT IF HE PUTS THIS COMPLAINT IN WRITING HIS CAREER WOULD BE OVER. NO SUPERVISOR WOULD EVER PERMIT AN OFFICER TO WORK FOR THEM AFTER THE OFFICER FILED A COMPLAINT AGAINST THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FOR IT WOULD BE POLITICAL

SUICIDE. FURTHERMORE AN OFFICER WOULD BE SUBJECTED TO MORE INTENSE PROBLEMS WHERE FELLOW OFFICERS WOULD BE AFRAID OF WORKING WITH HIM AND THE OFFICER WOULD BE ETERNALLY PERSECUTED FOR HIS COMPLAINT WHICH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SEES AS A COMPLAINT WHICH WOULD EMBARRASS THEM WHICH IS THE ULTIMATE CRIME UNFORGIVABLE WITH THE TOP BRASS.

GARY THEN APPEALED TO RABBI ALVIN KASS, THE NYPD CHAPLAIN AND WHO IS THE CONSERVATIVE RABBI FOR THE MID-WOOD JEWISH CENTER. RABBI KASS HAD BEEN HELPFUL EARLIER IN GARY'S CAREER WHEN GARY WAS ORDERED EVERY SO OFTEN TO WORK ON THE SHABBAT BUT NOW RABBI KASS CLAIMED THERE WAS LITTLE HE COULD DO BUT HE WOULD TRY. ENCLOSED IS THE LETTER SENT TO RABBI KASS BY GARY IN JULY OF 1988 SEEKING HIS INTERVENTION AND RELIEF WHERE GARY WAS TRYING TO TRANSFER OUT OF HIS PRECINCT TO AN ASSIGNMENT HE WAS MORE THAN QUALIFIED FOR AND/OR TO A PLACE HE WOULDN'T CONTINUALLY BE HARASSED AND ASSIGNED MENIAL TASKS.

THIS HARASSMENT OF GARY AND TO MANY MEMBERS OF THE JEWISH COMMUNITY WENT ON QUIETLY UNTIL THE POLICE DEPARTMENT FINALLY SEIZED A VICIOUS MOMENT TO PERSECUTE, PROSECUTE, SUSPEND, AND FINALLY TERMINATE GARY FROM HIS CAREER AS A POLICE OFFICER.

OVER GARY'S ENTIRE PERIOD AS ONE OF NEW YORK'S FINEST NO CITIZEN HAS EVER MADE A COMPLAINT AGAINST HIM FOR IMPROPER BEHAVIOR OR BRUTALITY UNTIL SEPT. OF 1989.

THE POLICE INTERNAL AFFAIRS INVESTIGATION OF GARY WAS NOW IN FULL GEAR. BECAUSE GARY DIDN'T DO ANYTHING WRONG REGARDING THIS CASE THEY WERE UNABLE TO SUBSTANTIATE OR PROVE ANY WRONG DOING ON HIS PART ESPECIALLY WITH ONE COMPLAINANT AGAINST GARY WHO HAD CLEAR MOTIVATION

TO LIE. HOWEVER THE POLICE BECAME SO HOSTILE AND DESPERATE TO RID THEMSELVES OF HIS JEWISH PRESENCE THEY FINALLY DECIDED TO TRUMP UP CHARGES AGAINST GARY BY FALSELY ACCUSING HIM OF MISREPRESENTING HIMSELF AS AN ORTHODOX JEW. THE POLICE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION AT ONE POINT CLAIMED THAT GARY NEEDED SATURDAYS OFF TO WORK ANOTHER JOB. THIS WAS A CONTEMPTIBLE CHARGE AS EVERY ONE WHO KNOWS GARY KNOWS HE ATTENDS WEEKLY SERVICES AT THE YOUNG ISRAEL OF KEW GARDENS HILLS.

THE NEXT CHARGE THAT WAS LEVELED AT HIM WAS THAT HE PATRONIZED KOSHER RESTAURANTS WITHOUT DUE CAUSE. GARY CLAIMED THAT HE NEEDED TO EAT KOSHER FOOD SO WHEN HE WAS NOT WORKING IN AND AROUND AN AREA WHERE KOSHER FOOD WAS AVAILABLE HE REQUESTED AND WAS GRANTED TO GO OFF HIS POST DURING HIS LUNCH BREAK AND EAT IN A KOSHER RESTAURANT WITH WHICH IS IN KEEPING WITH THE PATROL GUIDE. THE POLICE INVESTIGATORS ACCUSED GARY OF NOT REALLY BEING KOSHER AS HE ONLY MADE THIS REQUEST TO ASSOCIATE WITH "OTHER JEWS IN THE DIAMOND DISTRICT FOR PERSONAL BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES". THEY BASED THEIR BRILLIANT TALMUDIC LOGIC ON THE FACT THAT THEY ONCE OBSERVED GARY DRINKING A DIET COKE IN A NON-KOSHER DINER. THE LIST GOES ON AND ON.

THERE WERE MANY OTHER SITUATIONS GARY WAS CONTINUOUSLY BEING PUT IN WHEREBY HE WAS BEING FALSELY ACCUSED OF VARIOUS VIOLATIONS WHICH USUALLY IMPLIED AND QUESTIONED HIS LOYALTY AS AN OFFICER AS OPPOSED TO HIS LOYALTY BEING A JEW. AT ONE POINT OTHER OFFICERS WERE FALSELY ACCUSING GARY HIMSELF FOR WORKING FOR THE INTERNAL AFFAIRS DIVISION WHICH MOST COPS HATE AS INTERNAL AFFAIRS ARE KNOWN TO BE USED POLITICALLY TO HURT COPS WHO SPEAK OUT ON ISSUES OR WHEN SUPERVISORS DON'T GET ALONG WITH INDIVIDUAL OFFICERS. IT BECAME SO BAD THAT A

FEW TIMES GARY WAS PHYSICALLY ATTACKED BY OTHER POLICE OFFICERS ON THE JOB WHERE THEY STRIPPED OPEN HIS SHIRT SEARCHING FOR A HIDDEN TAPE RECORDER WIRE AND ALL THEY FOUND WERE THE STRINGS OF HIS TZITZIT (RELIGIOUS ARTICLE WORN UNDER THE SHIRT).

GARY SUBSEQUENTLY FILED FORMAL CHARGES AGAINST THE NYPD WITH THE EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION (EEOC) OF THE NYS AND FEDERAL RIGHTS COMMISSION. UNFORTUNATELY DUE TO THE HIGH VOLUME OF CASES THEY RECEIVE IT COULD TAKE YEARS TO CONCLUDE THEIR INVESTIGATION INTO GARY'S CIVIL RIGHTS VIOLATIONS OF RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION WHICH SINCE FILING INCENSED THE NYPD.

GARY WAS BROUGHT TO AN ADMINISTRATIVE DEPARTMENT HEARING LATER WHERE THE NYPD HELD HEARINGS AGAINST GARY FOR OVER A FOUR WEEK PERIOD PERHAPS THE LONGEST HEARING HELD AGAINST ANY COP IN THE HISTORY OF THE NYPD.

THESE HEARINGS ARE PROSECUTED AND JUDGED STRICTLY BY POLICE PERSONNEL. SO MUCH FOR A FAIR TRIAL. THE POLICE DEPARTMENT OFFERED TO MAKE A DEAL WITH GARY THAT IF HE WOULD PLEA BARGAIN TO HARASSMENT ALL THE OTHER CHARGES AGAINST HIM WOULD BE DROPPED AND HE WOULD BE ABLE TO KEEP HIS JOB AND RETURN TO FULL DUTY. GARY BLATANTLY REFUSED THIS AS GARY ORIGINALLY MADE A COMPLAINT OF HARASSMENT AND AGGRAVATED HARASSMENT AGAINST HIS ACCUSER AND ONLY THEN DID HE MALICIOUSLY COUNTER-CLAIM GARY'S COMPLAINT WEEKS LATER.

EVEN BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE HEARING MANY OF THE CHARGES FILED AGAINST GARY WERE DISMISSED BECAUSE THEY WERE SO LUDICROUS.

THE POLICE DEPARTMENT'S PROSECUTOR AND HEARING OFFICER KNEW OF GARY'S COMPLAINT AGAINST THEM WITH THE EQUAL RIGHTS COMMISSION (EEOC)

REGARDING HIS SUIT FOR RELIGIOUS DISCRIMINATION. THE ENTIRE EPISODE WAS A MOCKERY OF JUSTICE.

GARY HAS SINCE FILED A RETALIATORY ACTION WITH THE EEOC AGAINST THE NYPD FOR WRONGLY DISMISSING HIM AND HAS FILED AN ARTICLE 78 LEGAL PROCEEDING IN NEW YORK STATE SUPREME COURT AGAINST THE NYPD TO OVERTURN THE MALICIOUS DECISION AGAINST HIM WHERE THE EVIDENCE WAS OVERWHELMINGLY IN GARY'S FAVOR.

BOTH THE JEWISH AND SECULAR COMMUNITY SHOULD BE OUTRAGED THAT OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT COULD ACT IN THIS MANNER WHERE THEY COULD BLATANTLY TRY TO SILENCE MANY CORRUPTIVE PRACTICES AGAINST THE JEWISH COMMUNITY BY FALSELY ACCUSING GARY OF THE AGE OLD ACCUSATION OF DUAL LOYALTY AND THEN TRYING TO JUSTIFY THEIR PERSECUTOR ACTIONS THROUGH OTHER FALSE COMPLAINTS. THE BIAS RECORD SPEAKS FOR ITSELF. THE INTERROGATIONS OF GARY, (WHERE SOME OF THE TAPES THAT WERE MADE OF IT WERE SUPPOSEDLY ACCIDENTLY ERASED OR LOST) THE HEARINGS, THE JUDGES' DECISION AND MOST IMPORTANTLY THE FOGEL LETTER - GARY'S TEDIOUS ANSWER TO THE JUDGE'S DISCRIMINATING DECISION IS PUBLIC RECORD.

WE CALL ON MAYOR DAVID DINKINS AND NYPD COMMISSIONER LEE BROWN TO ASSIGN AN OUTSIDE INVESTIGATIVE BODY TO REVIEW GARY'S ENTIRE CASE AND TO MAKE THESE RESULTS PUBLIC TO GIVE THE JEWISH COMMUNITY A SENSE OF FAIRNESS THAT IT TRULY DESERVES. IF A MAN LIKE GARY WHO AS AN ORTHODOX JEWISH COP AND A REPRESENTATIVE OF OUR COMMUNITY HAD CONTINUOUS TROUBLE IN HIS EMPLOYMENT AS AN OFFICER BECAUSE HE WAS A JEW THEN THIS IS A CAUSE OF GRAVE CONCERN FOR OUR COMMUNITY.

WE ARE DEMANDING AN OUTSIDE IMPARTIAL INQUIRY TO THE BEHAVIOR OF THE NYPD IN THIS CASE WITH SUBPOENA POWER OF OTHER NYPD OFFICIALS. WE WANT THE TRUE FACTS TO SPEAK FOR THEMSELVES. IT IS DIFFICULT FOR US TO BELIEVE THAT A MAN LIKE GARY WHO HAS RECEIVED NATIONAL AND INTERNATIONAL ACCLAIM FOR HIS COMMUNITY SERVICE WORK AND WHO WAS A COP THAT THE NYPD'S OWN POLICE ACADEMY HUNG UP A NEWSPAPER ARTICLE IN THE HALLS OF THE ACADEMY PRAISING GARY WHERE THEY TOLD THEIR NEW RECRUITS THAT THIS IS AN OFFICER TO EMULATE THAT HE WAS GUILTY OF ANY WRONG DOING. IN FACT MAYOR DINKINS HIMSELF HAS MET GARY PERSONALLY ON A FEW OCCASIONS WHEN GARY USE TO WORK WITH BLACK AND HISPANIC YOUTH GANGS. GARY TESTIFIED AT A HEARING THAT THE THEN MANHATTAN BOROUGH PRESIDENT HELD ABOUT HOW TO STEM THE TIDE OF VIOLENCE WITH OUR YOUTH.

GARY TESTIFIED ON HIS OWN TIME AND MADE KNOWN HIS IDEAS ON FORMING HIS THEN POPULAR IDEAS OF THE STUDENT COMMUNITY OUTREACH UNIT TEAM (SCOUT). THE MAYOR HIMSELF WROTE A BEAUTIFUL LETTER TO THE FORMER POLICE COMMISSIONER BEN WARD PRAISING GARY FOR HIS WORK AS A POLICE OFFICER AND FOR HIS VOLUNTEER ACTIVITIES IN HELPING THE ELDERLY POOR OF OUR CITY AND IN ASSISTING TO AMELIORATE TENSIONS BETWEEN BOTH JEWISH AND OTHER ETHNIC AND RACIAL GROUPS. THE LETTER CALLED ON THE COMMISSIONER TO UTILIZE GARY'S ENERGY AND TALENTS IN A MORE SIGNIFICANT AND APPROPRIATE MANNER.

WE URGE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY THAT THIS IS A TRULY JEWISH ISSUE WHICH COULD AFFECT ALL OF US IN OUR REPRESENTATION IN THE NYPD WHICH TRANSLATES WHETHER WE OBTAIN ADEQUATE POLICE SERVICE OR NOT. IT IS NO SECRET THAT THE POLICE DEPARTMENT UTILIZES TWO SETS OF OPERATIONAL RULES WHEN DEALING WITH OUR COMMUNITY AND WITH NEIGHBORING

COMMUNITIES. WE MUST NOT BE INTIMIDATED BY ANY GOVERNMENT AGENCY ESPECIALLY THOSE WHO REPRESENT LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES.

THE POLICE DEPARTMENT SHOULD ALLOW THE ISSUE OF ANTI-SEMITISM TO COME OUT. INSTEAD OF BEING EMBARRASSED AND TRYING TO HIDE IT THEY SHOULD CONFRONT IT AND TRY TO PUT AN END TO IT INSTEAD OF LETTING IT FESTER.

HOWEVER THE NYPD WILL NOT COOPERATE WITH OUT THE JEWISH COMMUNITY ASSERTING ITSELF IN A MORE POSITIVE RESPONSIBLE MANNER. THE JEWISH COMMUNITY IS STILL BEING HAMPERED WITH THE AGE OLD FEARS OF BELIEVING MORE ANTI-SEMITISM WILL OCCUR IF WE GO PUBLIC.

MOST COPS ARE GOOD HONEST CITIZENS WHO TRULY WANT TO HELP THEIR FELLOW CITIZENS ONLY THEIR EFFORTS ARE HAMPERED CONTINUOUSLY BY POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC CONSIDERATIONS OF THE POLICE HIERARCHY. AGAIN WE CALL ON THE POLICE COMMISSIONER AND THE MAYOR TO REINSTATE OFFICER GARY MOSKOWITZ.

ROCHELLE MESKIN/VICE PRESIDENT
NATIONAL ASSOCIATION FOR THE
JEWISH POOR